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## NONIUS MARCELLUS' DICTIONARY OF REPUBLICAN LATIN.

## i. The 'De Compendiosa Doctrina.'

Of the three large Latin Dictionaries or Encyclopaedias which have been transmitted from ancient to modern times, (I) Festus' Epitome of Verrius Flaccus 'De Verborum Significatu,' (2) Nonius Marcellus 'de Compendiosa Doctrina,' (3) the 'Etymologiae' of Isidore, the second excels in its wealth of quotations from the literature of the Republic. Most of what has been preserved of the lost Republican writers, such as Accius the tragedian, Lucilius the satirist, Sisenna the historian, we owe to the quotations with which Nonius has illustrated the words of his Dictionary.

Of Nonius himself little is known. From various indications it has been inferred that he lived in the fourth or fifth century A.D., and was a dignitary in the small town of Thubursicum in North Africa. He published a volume of letters ' On the Neglect of Study,' from which he quotes a pompous sentence in illustration of the word meridies ${ }^{2}$ (page $45^{1}$ of Mercier's edition). Some of his modern critics accuse him of an amount of ignorance that is hardly conceivable ${ }^{b}$. Without going so far, we may safely regard him as a man of very limited learning, a compiler rather than a researcher. His dictionary can hardly have belonged to anything but the 'scissors and paste' class.

In accordance with the fashion which regulated Latin lexicographical works ${ }^{\text {e }}$, Nonius divided his 'De Compendiosa Doc-

[^0]trina' into twenty books. The materials which he had collected did not lend themselves readily to this division, so that the books are awkwardly uneven in size. Book IV, the part which most exactly corresponds to the modern notion of a dictionary, is slightly larger than Books I and II together, and a good deal larger than the remainder of the work. The arrangement of the words in the several books is not alphabetical. Books II, III, and IV are indeed in our MSS. broken up into alphabetical sections, the first section comprising words beginning with the letter $A$, the second words beginning with $B$, and so on, yet the words comprised in each section do not follow the alphabetical order ; and it is possible that these books owe even this slightly alphabetical arrangement to a mediaeval editor. On the contrary, Nonius seems to have set down the words in his pages in the same order as he took them from his various sources. Almost at the beginning of Book I, for example, comes a series of words taken from the Comedies of Plautus: hostimentum from the Asinaria, v. 172, tolutim from v. 706 of the same play, capulum from v. 892, temulenta from the Aulularia, v. 355 , cinaedi from v. 422 of the same play, and so on. When the Plautus-series is finished, a series of words from Lucretius follows : austra from Lucr. V, $5^{15}$, veterina from Lucr. V, 862, crepera from Lucr. V, 1294 , etc. This arrangement has often been remarked by writers on Nonius ${ }^{\text {d }}$, and is indeed so patent as to be beyond possibility of doubt. But whether owing to incompleteness of treatment ${ }^{e}$, or defectiveness of method ${ }^{f}$ on the part of these writers, the full significance of this arrangement has not been presented with adequate result, and neither the recent editions of lost Republican writings, such as Ribbeck's 'Fragments of Roman Dramatic Poetry,' nor the last edition of Nonius' Dictionary (by Lucian Mueller, Leipzig [Teubner], i888) are sufficiently regulated by the knowledge that can
on various points of lexicographical, literary and historical interest, and the 'Etymologiae' of Isidore, are likewise divided into twenty books. So were some other works of this description that have not been preserved.
d Especially by A. Schottmüller 'Die Bestandtheile des ersten Capitels des Nonius' (in 'Symbol. Bonnens.' pp. 807-832), and P. Schmidt 'De Nonii Marcelli auctoribus grammaticis,' Leipzig (Teubner), 1868.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Schottmiller has treated only the first book of Nonius.
${ }^{i}$ Schmidt attempted, but without success, to refer to ancient commentaries on Plautus and other authors Nonius' account of words taken from these authors.
be gained from a close study of Nonius' manner of compilation.

If we can satisfy ourselves that we really possess the key to the composition of the 'De Compendiosa Doctrina,' the benefit to the textual criticism of Nonius will, of course, be enormous. But there will be another benefit of greater importance and reaching a wider circle of students. It is from the ' De Compendiosa Doctrina' that most of the fragments of the lost Republican literature come. No editor of these fragments has yet found any certain plan of arranging them in proper order. All that an editor could do hitherto, in arranging, let us say, the fragments of a tragedy of Accius or of the History of Sisenna, was to guess from the nature of each passage the incident to which it referred, and roughly assign to it this or that place in the sequence. But if it can be established that Nonius' quotations from authors, whose whole works we possess, follow a definite and unvarying order in his pages, corresponding to the order in which the passages quoted occur in these authors' works, we may surely infer 'from the known to the unknown' that his citations from these lost authors observe a similar sequence. We thus get a clue to the place occupied by each passage quoted from Accius or Sisenna in the particular tragedy or book of history in which Nonius found it.

The theory which I hope to establish in the following pages is briefly this, that Nonius collected the materials for the twenty books of his Dictionary partly from Glossaries or lexicographical works (e.g. the 'Noctes Atticae' of Aulus Gellius), partly from texts, apparently annotated texts, of certain authors (Plautus, Lucretius, Accius, Sisenna, Cicero, etc.) ; further, a point of main importance, that the order in which each item appears in each book is also the order in which it appeared in the pages of the authors used. Nonius evolved each book in the same mechanical fashion. He went through the lists of notable words compiled by him from the various sources which he used, Gellius' 'Noctes Atticae,' Plautus, Lucretius, etc., and selected from these lists the words suitable for the purpose of this particular book, setting them down in the order in which they came to hand. In Book I, for example, he took from his Gellius-list the three items (or 'lemmas,' as they are technically called), infestus (from Gell. IX, 12), maturare (from Gell. X, 1 I), lictor (from Gell. XII, 3), etc.; from
the list extracted from Cicero 'de Officiis' he took stigmatias (Off. II, 25), reserare (Off. II, 55), etc. : and these items or lemmas appear in his pages in the order in which they occur in the pages of the author from whom he culled them, that is to say, in the order in which he had entered them in his rough lists. When he found in this or that list a word which he remembered to have already entered from a previous list, extracted from another author who had been used by him earlier in the book, he added to the quotation, with which the lemma was already provided, the new quotation supplied by the new source. For instance, from his list excerpted from Cicero 'de Republica' he had entered the word portitores (p. 24 M .) with the sentence of Cicero in which it occurred, and with a parallel passage, supplied, I fancy, by a marginal note in his copy of Cicero, from the Menaechmi of Plautus. Later, when going through his 'De Officiis' list, he appears to have found the same word, and, turning back to the lemma 'portitores,' to have appended the quotation from Off. I, i50 to the Plautus-quotation.

A perusal of the following pages will, I hope, produce conviction that this is no mere possible or probable account of Nonius' method of procedure in the composition of his twenty books of the 'De Compendiosa Doctrina,' but is the statement of what actually occurred. It is quite in keeping with what we know of ancient glossaries, which often shew 'author-sequences' of words, that is a batch of words taken from one author followed by a batch of words taken from another author. In parts of Festus' epitome of the great dictionary of Verrius Flaccus we can see Catosequences, followed by Plautus-sequences, and so on, though in other parts an alphabetic order (by AB -or $\mathrm{ABC}-$ ) is followed g . But before I can hope to demonstrate the mechanical regularity with which Nonius has followed this method of compilation, there are strong à priori objections to be overcome. Is it likely, one asks oneself, that in the twentieth century there should be a possibility of following with precision each step taken by a lexicographer of the fourth century in compiling his dictionary, of getting a sight of him, so to speak, in his study, as he took up his rough lists of materials, one by one, and entered the items from them on his pages? Are there not a thousand chances of his having

[^1]departed from an order of procedure so repellently mechanical? Would he not be likely to bring words culled from one list into proximity with words, cognate in meaning or in form, which he had taken from another list? Even if his first draft of a book had been prepared in this inert fashion, would he not, before committing it to the criticism of others, have taken pains effectually to conceal all traces of its composition?

The only way of overcoming these very natural objections is to present in all completeness the actual facts, to give an analysis of the 'De Compendiosa Doctrina,' book by book ${ }^{\text {b }}$, exhibiting the words in the order in which Nonius has placed them, and stating the source from which each batch of words has come. Before the reader has gone very far he will be surprised with the precision with which the method I have mentioned has been followed, and will find that the batches of words from the various authors follow each other with so monotonous regularity that after one citation he can guess with a degree of accuracy what author or what book of what author will be cited next. When he has finished, he will, I trust, have no doubt that the arrangement of the words in this ancient dictionary followed a method that is clearly recognizable to-day. The only question will be to what extent any deviation from the normal procedure ever actually occurred.

This mechanical regularity is not inconsistent with what we can infer of Nonius' type of mind. We can see from his use of Aulus Gellius ${ }^{i}$ how little trouble he gave himself with the compilation of his materials; and various defects in composition, such as the recurrence of identical lemmas ${ }^{k}$ in the same book, shew us that his Dictionary was not revised by the author before publication. For surely the correction of repetitions like these would be the first result of any revision. There is indeed one form of departure from the normal arrangement that occasionally
${ }^{h}$ Books II-IV, owing to the suspicion that they incur of re-editing (see above, p. 2), must, for the present, Le omitted.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ See M. Hertz 'Opuscula Gelliana,' pp. 85 sqq., a revised and improved version of his earlier treatise on the subject.
$k$ If Nonius forgot that a word which he !found in one of his lists had already been entered by him in his Dictionary from a previous list, the result was a recurrence of the identical lemma. An example in Book I is occatio (42. II and 61. 24).
shews itself, but it is of a nature to confirm, rather than to weaken, the impression of Nonius' mechanical method of work. Now and then an extra-quotation supplied from a later list, which exhibited a word that had been already entered from an earlier list, has brought in its train a lemma or two taken from the later list. Nonius, after entering the extra-quotation, went on mechanically copying what was before him, instead of turning to the right page. (For examples, see the following analysis.)

Transpositions of this kind (they are very rare) are clearly due to Nonius himself. For others, we have to balance the possibility of Nonius having departed from his normal procedure against the possibility of a corruption in the text. Our MSS. of Nonius come, all of them, from one archetype, an archetype written apparently in minuscule script ${ }^{1}$, and therefore of no great antiquity. If it did not abound in transpositions, insertions, and omissions, it would be strangely unlike the other MSS. of its time; for the 'Compendiosa Doctrina,' being in dictionary form, offers unusual temptation to a scribe to overlook a lemma or to take it in its wrong order of sequence. We know of one loose leaf in this archetype (containing 406, $12-409,15$ ) which was wrongly inserted after the first leaf (ending with 3, 13) of the whole MS., and which our extant MSS. have all copied in its wrong place. They have also added errors of their own, not merely in the form of miscopyings and omissions, but in the more harmful form of insertions. Nonius' work was the Latin Dictionary of many a monastic library; and a studious abbot would have no scruple in adding in the margin or at the end of a chapter some word which he found in another part of the work. We can detect these errors by the help of extant MSS. which do not share them. But if similar liberties were taken with our archetype (and we have every reason to suppose that they were), the corrupt readings are of necessity reproduced in all MSS. of our author. Now and then these intruding quotations bear upon them evidence of their own spuriousness. For instance, we find in all our MSS. at $\mathbf{5 2 0}, \mathrm{r} 5$, under the lemma lateres, after a quotation from Varro, Vit. Pop. Rom. III, this insertion : et in alio idem 'later quod conquadravit regius.' Here the phrase 'et in alio idem ' convicts the entry of spuriousness, for it is not one of Nonius' phrases.

[^2]The quotation occurs in proper form in another part of the Compendiosa Doctrina, viz. 131, ro, where the same word, later, is discussed, and has clearly been inserted at 520,15 , at some later time.

Again, when we find in Book I (a book concerned with the use of words in their literal sense) a quite unsuitable extraquotation in the lemma privus, and on turning to Book II (a book dealing with peculiar uses of words) find the same quotation suitably used to illustrate a peculiar sense of privus, we can hardly doubt that some reader, possessed of that proverbially dangerous thing, a 'little knowledge,' had noticed the quotation in Book II and entered it in the margin at the place where the same lemma stood in Book I.

## ii. The sources of Nonius' rough lists of roords.

1. A glossary, taken mainly from the plays of Titinius and other Republican Dramatists (including Plautus); also from Varro. The symbol for it in my Analysis of Contents will be Gloss. i.
2. The $2_{1}$ 'Varronian' plays of Plautus in this order ${ }^{m}$ : Amphitruo, Asinaria, Aulularia, Bacchides, Vidularia, Cistellaria, Casina, Captivi, Curculio, Epidicus, Miles Gloriosus, Menaechmi, Mercator, Mostellaria, Persa, Pseudolus, Poenulus, Rudens, Stichus, Trinummus, Truculentus. The symbol for this list will be Plautusi.
3. Lucretius, all.
4. The Lycurgus of Naevius. Symbol, Naev. Lyc.
5. A volume of Accius, containing the Eurysaces, 'Erisaces,' Armorum Judicium, Astyanax, Oenomaus, Tereus, Alphesiboea, Amphitryo, Melanippus, Epinausimache, Pelopidae, Phoenissae, Medea, Philoctetes, Alcmeo and Telephus, in this order or something like it. Symbol, Accius i.
6. Pomponius, the volume containing the P-plays: Pictores, Prostibulum, Pannuceati, Pappus agricola, Piscatores, Pistor, Praeco posterior, fairly in this order; possibly also the Petitor and Porcus.
7. Novius, a volume containing apparently these plays (order very uncertain) : Fullones feriati, Paedium, Agricola, Zona; also perhaps the Decuma, Gallinaria, Ficitor, Tabellaria, Sanniones,
m The relative positions of Merc. and Most, are quite uncertain. For details of the arrangement of the several works of Plautus and the other authors used by Nonius, see the Appendix.

Maccus, Maccus exul, Milites Pometinenses, Pappus praeteritus, Praeco posterior, 'Eurysaces.'
8. A second volume of Accius, containing (more or less in this order) : Epigoni, Meleager, Aeneadae aut Decius, Stasiastae vel Tropaeum Liberi, Athamas, Clytaemestra, Bacchae, Neoptolemus, Erigona, Nyctegresia, Andromeda, Atreus, Phinidae, Agamemnonidae. Symbol, Accius ii.
(The Antigona, and possibly the Chrysippus, were included either in this volume or in the other.)
9. Lucilius' Satires, Books I-XX. The title 'Saturae' is always cited in this series. Symbol, "Lucilius i."
10. Ennius, a volume containing the Hectoris Lytra and Telephus (in this order) ; possibly also others of his tragedies.
ir. Turpilius, a volume with these plays, in this order: Boethuntes, Demetrius, Canephorus, Demiurgus, Epiclerus, Thrasyleo, Paedium, Philopator, Leucadia, Lindia, Lemniae, Paraterusa, Hetaera.
12. Pacuvius, these four plays, in this order : Atalanta, Periboea, Dulorestes, Hermiona; possibly also the Iliona and Medus.
13. Cicero de Republica. The title of this volume gave the author's name as ' M. Tullius,' not as 'Cicero.' Symbol, Cicero i.
(?) 14. A Glossary (?). Symbol, " Gloss. ii."
15. A volume of Varro's Menippean Satires, containing those whose Latin titles began with $P$, or whose Greek titles began with $\pi \epsilon \rho i:$ (1) E

 Cygnus, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau a \phi \hat{\eta} s$, (8) Sciamachia, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ u \dot{\phi} \phi o v,(9)$ Synephebus,
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s, \pi є \rho i ̀ \phi i \lambda o \rho \gamma v p i a s,(12)$ Papia papae, $\pi є \rho i$ є́ $\gamma \kappa \omega \mu i \omega \nu$, (13) Pseu-


 Periplus I, Periplus II, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \phi \iota \lambda a \sigma a \phi i ́ a s, ~(20) ~ O c t o g e s i s, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \nu о \mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́-~$

 theus Liber, (26) $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu o v ̄, ~(27) ~ T i t h o n u s, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega s,(28)$ Est modus matulae, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\jmath} \mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta s$, (29) Epitaphiones, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau a ́ \phi \omega \nu$, (30) Trihodites Tripylius, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ dj $\rho \tau \bar{\eta} s$ к $\kappa \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, and possibly others, e.g. $\pi \in \rho \grave{i}$ ai $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ and Vinalia, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ a ̀ \phi \rho o \delta ı \sigma i \omega \nu$. Symbol, Varroi.
16. Cicero (styled ' M. Tullius') de Deorum Natura, Book II. Symbol, Cicero ii.
? 17. A third volume of Accius, containing the Myrmidones and Diomedes. Symbol, Accius iii.
18. Sallust: Jugurtha (with title 'Jugurthae bellum,' or merely 'Jugurtha'), Histories (with title 'Historiae'), and Catiline (with title 'Catilinae bellum'), in this order.
19. Afranius, a volume containing the Vopiscus, Privignus, Fratriae and Exceptus, in this order ; possibly also the Divortium and Suspecta.
20. Cicero (styled 'M. 'Tullius') de Officiis, Book I. Symbol, Cicero iii.
21. The Danae of Naevius. Symbol, Naev. Dan.
22. Virgil.
23. Terence.
24. A volume of Cicero (styled ' M . Tullius'), containing the letters 'ad Caesarem iuniorem' (with this form of title), followed by the Verrine and Philippic orations. Symbol, Cicero iv.
25. Lucilius Satires, Books XXVI.-XXX. Curiously enough, the list compiled from these books began with Book XXX and ended with Book XXVI, presumably because Nonius had begun his task of excerpting with the last book of the Satires. The title 'Saturae' is never cited in this series. Symbol, Lucilius ii.
26. A Glossary (?). Symbol, Gloss. iii.
27. A Glossary of Verbs arranged in strict alphabetical order. Symbol, Alph. Verb.
28. A Glossary of Adverbs, arranged in strict alphabetical order. Symbol, Alph. Adverb.
29. A volume of Cicero (styled ' M . Tullius'), containing: de Officiis II-III, Hortensius, de Senectute, in this order. Symbol, Cicero v.
30. A volume of Plautus, with the A-plays: Amphitruo, Asinaria, Aulularia, in this order. Symbol, Plautus ii.
31. A second volume of Varro's Menippean Satires, containing these Satires, in this order : Marcipor, Andabatae, Lex Maenia, Mysteria, Agatho, Quinquatrus, Endymiones, Virgula divina, Gerontodidascalus, Parmeno, Hercules tuam fidem, Meleagri, Ta巾ŋ̀ мєvitatov, Sesqueulixes, Hercules Socraticus, Sexagesis, Г $\nu \hat{\omega} \theta_{\imath} \sigma \epsilon a u \tau o ́ \nu$, Eumenides. Symbol, Varro ii،
32. Gellius Noctes Atticae.
33. A third volume of Varro's Menippean Satires, with the Bimarcus, Manius, Modius, "Ovos גúpas, in this order. Symbol, Varro iii.
34. Cicero (styled 'M. Tullius') de Finibus. Symbol, Cicero vi. 35A. The first part of a Glossary (?), mainly from Varro, not alphabetical. This first part included Varro's Epistles. Symbol, Gloss. iv.
36. Sisenna, Historiae, Bks. III, IV.

35B. The second part of the Varro-Glossary (?) mentioned above. This second part included Varro Rerum Humanarum lib. XX. Symbol, Gloss. iv.
37. Cicero (styled 'Cicero') Orator and de Oratore (in this order). Symbol, Cicero vii.

38A. Part of a Glossary in rough alphabetical order. Symbol, Gloss. v.
39. Cicero (styled 'Cicero') Academica and Tusculanae disputationes (in this order). Symbol, Cicero viii.
40. Varro de Re Rustica, Bk. I. Symbol, Varro iv.
$3^{88}$. The other part of the Glossary in rough alphabetical order. Symbol, Gloss. v.
41. Varro (I) de Vita populi Romani, (2) Catus vel de liberis educandis (in this order). Symbol, Varro v.

> iii. Analysis of Contents ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ of the 'Compend. Doctr.', Books $I, V-X X$.

Book I, de Proprietate Sermonum, i.e. the Etymology of Words, and Words used in the older literature in their etymological sense.

Gloss. i.

| I senium | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Titin. }\end{array}$ Velit., |  | 756 ; bona aetas |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Nov. | Gallin., |  | M. Tull. Sen.) |
|  | (?)Caecil.Ephes. | 3 velitatio | Plaut. Asin. 307 |  |

[^3]phrygiones Titin. Barbat. Virg. Aen. IX

## Plautus i.

hostimentum Plaut. Asin.172,
377
4 tolutim
capulum
5 temulenta cinaedi
6 exercitus
tenus
inlicire ${ }^{p}$
pelices
calvitur
7 frigere defloccare depexum ${ }^{q}$
sartores
8 nautea
tricae
caperrare
9 examussim
Plaut. Asin. 706
Plaut. Asin. 892
Plaut. Aul. 355
Plaut. Aul. 422
Sall. ${ }^{\circ}$ Jugurt. bell. 71, Plaut. Bacch. (frag.) Plaut. Bacch. 792
Naev. Lycurg. (quotation, pro. bably Plaut. Cist. 37, omitted) Plaut. Cas. 169
Plaut. Cas. 267
Plaut. Cas. 967
Ter. Heaut.
Plaut. Capt.
Plaut. Curc. 99
Plaut. Curc. 613
Plaut. Epid.
Plaut. Men. 50 (amussim, Amph. 843; emussitata, Mil. 632)
mutus ${ }^{5} \quad$ Naev. Lycurg.
dispennere Plaut. Mil. 1407
10 focula
Plaut. Pers. 104
bardum Plaut. Pers. 169
inlex et exlex ${ }^{8}$ Plaut. Pers. 407
lurcones Plaut. Pers. 42 r concenturiare Plaut. Pseud.
finitores Plaut. Poen. 48
passum [Plaut. Stich. 369 ] Poen. 312
12 expes ${ }^{t}$ Acc. Eurys.
exules ${ }^{t} \quad$ Virg. G. III
vestispici Plaut. Trin.
suppilare Plaut. Truc.
Lucretius.
$\mathrm{r}_{3}$ austra Lucr. V 516
veterina Lucr. V 865
crepera Lucr. V 1296
14 Avernus Lucr. VI 740
Naev. Lyc.
vitulantes Naev. Lycurg.
Accius i.
extorris Acc. Eurys.
I5 enoda Acc. Eurys.
grummus Acc. Oenom.
torrus Acc. Melan.
r6 expectorare Acc. Phoen.
extispices Acc. Medea
lactare Acc. Medea
succussare Acc. Philoct.

## Pomponius.

r 7 strena Pomp. Pictores adulatio M. Tull. Deor. Nat. II
manducones Pomp. Pictores senica Pomp. Pictores gradarius Lucil.Sat. XIV exdorsuare ${ }^{\text {u }}$ Plaut. Aul. 398
r8 delirare Pomp. Prostib.

- Inserted from 295. 5 ?
p Either from a note on tenus or from Plaut. Bacch. II5I.
9 From a note on defloccare. Cf. 62. 23 'delibratum,' decorticatum, ut 'deartuatum,' per artus discissum.
${ }^{r}$ From a note on examussim (cf. Paul. Fest. 54 Th. quidam 'amussim' esse dicunt non tacite, quod muttire interdum dicitur loqui) or possibly from Plaut. Mil. 664 mutum mare.
${ }^{3}$ The proper place of the lemma toralium, which the MSS. wrongly insert in the middle of this lemma is uncertain. Possibly it belongs to the 'Gloss. i ' series. Cf. 537. 19.
${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ These two lemmas should, I fancy, stand beside extorris (p. 14 M.).
u Perhaps inserted from 95. 12.

| centuriatins | Pomp. Prostib. |
| :---: | :--- |
| rumen | Pomp. Prostib. |
| rudus | Lucil. Sat. XI |
| rutrum | Pomp. Pannuc. |
| nebulones | Pomp. Pannuc. |
| 19 truam | Pomp. Pannuc. |
| evannetur | Pomp. Pannuc. |
| vafrum | Pomp. Praec. |
| 20 particulones | Post. |
|  | Pomp. Praec. |
|  | Post. |

Novius (this list in Book I has supplied only extra-quotations, e.g. to the lemma tolutim, p. 4 M. See section v.)

Accius ii.

| clepere | Acc. Epig. |
| :--- | :--- |
| corporare | Enn. Androm., |
|  | Acc. Stas. vel |
|  | Trop. |
| circus | Acc. Androm. |
| medicina | Acc. Phin. |

Lucilius i.

|  | cernuus | Lucil. Sat. III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | stricturae | Virg. VIII ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |
|  |  | Lucil. Sat. III |
|  | quiritare | Lucil. Sat. VI |
|  | caries | Lucil. Sat. VII |
|  | virosae | Lucil. Sat. VII |
| 22 | capronae | Lucil. Sat. VII |
|  | cerebrosi | Lucil. Sat. XV |
|  | gliscit | Turpil. Philop., |
|  |  | Acc. Aencadae |
|  | prostomis | Lucil. Sat. XV |
|  | tricones ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Lucil. Sat. XI |
| Ennius (only extra-quotations, e.g. to enoda, p. 15). |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Turpilius.

sagae
Lucil. Sat. VII, Turpil. Boeth.

Pacuvius.
23 lapit moenes

Pacuv. Perib.
Pacuv. Dulor.
Cicero i.
petulantia M.Tull Rep.IV
procacitas M.Tull.Rep.IV
24 Kalendarum Varro V.P.R. I consulum et
praetorum VarroV.P.R II
ignominia M.Tull.Rep.IV
fidei M.Tull.Rep.IV
portitores M.Tull.Rep.IV
25 seditionis M.Tull.Rep.VI
Gloss. ii.
hamiotas Varro Bimarc.
valgum
vatax Lucil. XXVIII
catax Lucil. Sat. II
silones $\quad$ Varro $\Gamma \nu \omega \theta_{l} \sigma \epsilon$
bronci Lucil. Sat. III
compernes Lucil.Sat.XVII
vari Lucil. Sat.XVII

Varro i.
lingulacae Varr. Pap. pap. rabulae Varr. Pap. pap.
ebullire $^{z} \quad$ Cic. Tusc. III
rapones Varr. Pap. pap.
27 strabones Varr. Flaxt.
extermina-
tum ${ }^{2} \quad$ Lucil. XXIX
exodium Varr. Hecat.
putus Plaut. Pseud. 989, Varr. Hecat.
28 compedes Varr. Prom. fulgura Varr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { i }} \mathrm{K} \epsilon \rho$. coagulum Varr. Est Mod.
Cicero ii, Accius iii (not used).

Sallust (only extra-quotations, e.g. to calvitur, p. 6 M.).
y Possibly inserted from 338. 10.

* Possibly inserted from 524. 2.
${ }^{z}$ From note on rabalae, Varr. Pap. pap.?
a From note on exadium, Varr. Hecat. ?

Afranius.
mulierosi
flagriones
edulia merenda

Afran. Vopisc. Afran. Vopisc. Afran. Privign. Afran. Fratr.
Cicero iii.
29 pedetemp. M. Tull. Off. I tim calces ${ }^{\text {b }}$ subligaclum ${ }^{120}$
Virg. v
M. Tull. Off. I 129
mediocritas M. Tull. Off. I 130
30 modestiam M. Tull. Off. I 142
Naev. Dan. (not used). Virgil.

| antes | Virg. G. II |
| :--- | :--- |
| camurum | Virg. G. IIII. 55 |
| immune | Virg. G. IV |
| dirum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Virg.G.III |
| exordium | Virg. A. IV 284 |
| inops | Virg. VIII 1oo |

## Terence.

31 $\begin{array}{cc}\text { defrudare } \\ \text { sudum }{ }^{\text {d }} & \text { Ter. Phorm. } 44 \\ \text { inritare } & \text { Virg. VIII. } 520 \\ \text { Lucil. Sat. I, }\end{array}$
ablegare
32 arcanum ${ }^{\text {e }}$
tormines
monumenti M. Tull. ad Caes. Epist. II ${ }^{\text {f }}$
rivales
gestire
involare
33 propinare
Virg. G. II
Virg. G. III. 55 Virg. G. IV
Vig.G. 11468 Virg. VIII 100 Ter.Phorm. 932
Ter. Hec. 4 I 4
Virg. A.IV 422 Cic. Tusc. II

Ter. Eun. 353
Ter. Eun. 558
Ter. Eun. 648
-
Ter. Eun. ${ }^{1087}$
[pedetemp- Cic. Verrinae tim actio I]
Cicero iv.
insulsum M. Tull. ad Caes.iun.Epist. II
ignavum Virg. G. IV 168 , III. $4^{2 \mathrm{~g}}, \mathrm{M}$. Tull. ad Caes. iun. II
calamito- M.Tull. Verrin. sum Divinat.
serium Afran. Privign.
34 interpolare M.Tull. in Verr. act. II (II, i)
everriculum M. Tull.Verrin. V de signis (II, iv, 53 )
divaricari M. Tull. de signis (Verr. II, iv, 86 )
vacillare M. Tull. Phil. III
Lucilius ii.
praestrin- Plaut.Mil.glor., gere ${ }^{\text {b }}$
35 angina
arquatus
privum
nugator
foramina ${ }^{i}$
discernicu-
lum
fratrum
36

[^4]

[^5]cerriti
calcitrones
45 votitum
verberare
cassum
croccitum
sublevit investes

Plaut. Amph. (frag.)
Plaut. Asin. 391
Plaut. Asin. 789
Plaut. Aul. 42
Plaut. Aul. ig 1
Plaut. Aul. 625
Plaut. Aul. 667
Virg. A. VIII

Varro ii.
inferum
46 Syrus
evirare
ludibria
febris
vulpinari
sufflatum
vespertilio Varr. Agath.
47 exporrectum Varr. Endym.
iugatum Cic.Tusc.IIII7
prodius Varr. Virg. Div.
granaria Varr. Gerontod.
torculum Varr. Gerontod.
cingillum Varr. Gerontod.
Tutilina Varr. Herc. t. f.
Tutanus Varr. Herc.t.f.
48 silicernium Ter. Adelph., Varr. Meleag.
suffundatum Varr. Tap. Men.
edones Varr.Taф. Men.
elixum Varr. Taф. Men.
parochos Varr. Sesqueul.
49 Trossuli Varr. Sesqueul.
proboscis Varr. Sexag.
cetari
tonimus
dierecti
praesepia
50 subrigere
lingulacae Plaut. Cas.
Gellius.
fures
ventorum
proprietates ( $=$ Gell. II 22)
51 penus (=Gell. IV i)
laevum (=Gell. V I2)
rudentes $\quad(?=$ Gell. VIII
14)
infestus (=Gell. IX 12 )
maturare $\quad(=$ Gell. X ir)
lictor $\quad(=$ Gell. XII 3)
52 soror $\quad(=$ Gell. XIII
io)
lues Lic. Mac. Ann.
II
humanita- (=Gell. XIII tem 17)
ador ${ }^{\circ} \quad$ Varr. R.R.I 9
faciem (=Gell. XIII
30)

53 vestibula $^{p} \quad$ (=Gell. XVI 5)
bidentes (=Gell. XVI 6)
iugeri ${ }^{q}$ Varr.R.R.I io
faenus (=Gell. XVI
12)

54 recepticium (=Gell. XVII 6)
siticines ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \quad(=$ Gell. XX 2)
rumentum $\quad\left(=\right.$ Gell. $\mathrm{XX}_{\mathrm{I}}$, 28)

55 arcera
(=Gell. XX 1 , 29)

## Varro iii.

tropaei Varr. Bimarc.
luxum
colinam Varr. Modius
modestum Varr. Modius infans Cic. Orat., Lucil.XIX,XV, Virg. A. II, Varr."0 dos $\lambda$ ípas sarcinatricis Varr."Ovos $\lambda$ ipas
Cicero vi (supplies extraquotation to enoda, p. 15 M.).

## - Cf. iugeri, below.

$p$ The first etymology of the word probably comes from a note on Cic. Orat. 50 , the extra quotation.
$q$ Cf. ador, above. r Should follow arcera, below,


| Gloss. v B. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| politiones | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enn. Sat. III, } \\ & \text { Ann. IX } \end{aligned}$ |
| praeficae | Plaut. Frivol., Plaut. Truc., |
|  | Lucil. XXII |
| 67 pareutactoe | Lucil. IX, |
|  | XXVIII, Varr. Comp. Sat. |
| proletarii | Cato de Trib. |
|  | Mil.,Cass.Hem. |
|  | Ann. II |
| prosapies | Cato Orig. I |
| Varro v. |  |
| optiones | Varr. V.P.R. |
|  | III |
| legionum (and assas, enixae ?) be- |  |
|  |  |
| longed to this series and were |  |
| entered at p. 57 by Nonius in |  |
| suite of the extra-quotation to |  |
| petauristae. See above, p. 6. |  |

Book V, De Differentia Similium Significationum, i.e. Synonymous Words.

Gloss. i. (not used).
Plautus i.
42 I cupido et Plaut. Bacch. amor (frag.) amare et diligere ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Cic. ad Brut.
422 perire et interire

Plaut.Capt. 690 alere et educare tollere et dum
auferre ${ }^{t}$ Virg. A. VIII horrendum Virg. A. III, et horri- Plaut. Pseud.

Plaut. Men. 98


Virg. A. VIII
Virg. A. III,
Plaut. Pseud.

423 menetrix et prostibu-
lum " Plaut. Cist.
genetrix et
mater ${ }^{\text {u }} \quad$ Plaut. Men. 19
pudet et Plaut. Pseud. piget 281
424 osculum et
savium ${ }^{x}$ Varro V.P.R. I
sacrificare Virg. A. IV, et litare Plaut. Poen.

Lucretius.
expleri et
satiari Lucr. III
425 arcus et
arquus Lucr. VI.
Naev. Lyc. (not used).
Accius i.
fors et fortuna Acc. Astyan.
ferus et
ferox Acc. Tereus
anticus et Virg. G. II 209, antiquior Varr. R.H.XX, Acc. Phoen., Lucil. XIV, M. Tull. de Amic.
426 faustus et festus

Virg. A. VI 70 cuius et cuiatis Acc. Teleph.
Pomponius (not used), Novius (not used).

Accius ii.
animus et anima Acc. Epig.

Lucilius i.
$427 \underset{\text { vultus et }}{\text { facies }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Sall. Cat. } \\ & \text { Lucil. Sat. I }\end{aligned}$,

[^6]t Taken from note on Plant. Men. 98?
u Should these stand before alere et educare?
$\times$ Probably from a note on some line of Plautus.

audacia et Sall. Cat. bell. audentia 58 , Virg. A. X merx et M. Tull. Rep. mercatura III (IV ?)
Varro i (not used).
Cicero ii.
superstitio M. Tull. Deor. et religio Nat. II 7 I
$43^{2}$ peius et Virg. G. IV, A. deterius VIII
circus et M. Tull. Deor. globus Nat. II 47 manubiae M. Tull. Lex et praeda Agr.

## Accius iii.

433 pervicacia et pertin-
acia Accius Myrm.
sensus et Cic. de Or. (I) sensa 32

Sallust.
iuventus et Virg. G. II, Sall, iuventa Catil. bell. 14

Afranius.
morata et morosa Afran. Vopisc.
434 vegetus et
vigens
profesti et festi

Afran. Privign.
quaerere et
requirere Afran. Fratr.
Cicero iii.
specula et Virg. A. X, M. speculum ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Tull. in Clod., et Cur., Varr. Eum.

[^7]confiteri et profiteri 435 ulcus et vulnus quartum et Varr. Discipl. quarto V, Enn. (Ann.) ( $?=$ Gell. X, 1 , 6)
turpe et M. Tull. Off. I foedum 123
formae et M. Tull. Off. I figurae 126
dignitas et M. Tull. Off. I venustas 130

Naev. Dan. (not used).

## Virgil.

monstra et Virg. A. III 58
prodigia and 365
(?) Terence.
436 celare et Ter.Andr., Virg. caelare

Cicero iv.
ignoscere M. Tull. ad et conce- Caes. iun. I dere
contemnere M. Tull in Verr. et despi- act. II (i), ad cere Caes. III

## Lucilius ii.

cupiditas et
cupido Lucil.XXIX(?)
437 aemulatio Virg. A. VI, M. et imitatio Tull. in Caesarianis (pro Marc.)
probatum et specta-
tum
Lucil. XXVI
bellum et
proelium Lucil. XXVI
cavere et vitare

Lucil, XXVI
Gloss. iii. or Alph. Verb.
vetustiscere NigidiusComm. et veteras- Gramm. X, M. cere (Tull.) ad Hirt. VII

Alph. Adverb (not used).
Cicero v.
$43^{8}$ nocens et nefarius M. Tull. Off. II innuere et Ter. Ad., Virg. adnuere ${ }^{c}$ A. XII, M.Tull. Hort.
noxa et Virg. A. I, VII, noxia M. Tull. Hort.
plus et Virg. G. I 94, M. Tull. Epist. ad Cat.

## Plautu's ii.

439 sperata et dicta Plaut. Amph.
mutuum et fenus

Plaut. Asin. 248
simulare et dissimu-
lare ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \quad$ Sall. Catil. bell.
nutare, adnuere, nictare

Plaut. Asin. 784
440 castitas et Virg. G. II, A. pudicitia VI, VII

Varro ii.
pascere et alere ora et orae

Varr. Gerontod Virg. (A. I), XII, I
extinguere et obprimere

Cic. Amic.
passage quoted (along with the passage from Cic. in Clod. et Cur.) in the 'Cicero iii' sequence in Book IV, s.v. longum (p. 339).

- The lemma fagrare et fragrare is inserted in the middle of this lemma in the MSS.


## Gellius.

morbus et
vitium $\quad(=$ Gell. IV 2)
44 meminisse
et in me-
moriam
redire (=Gell.VIII 7 )
die quarta et
die quarto ( $=$ Gell. X 24)
mentiri et
mendacium
dicere (=Gell. XI II)
festinare et $(=$ Gell. XVI properare 14)
442 matrona et (=Gell. XVIII mater fami-6)
lias
Varro iii.
avarus et Virg. G. II, avidus Sall. bell. Catil.
audacia et Sall. (Cat.) 58, audentia Virg. A. X, IX, VIII
prospicere et
respicere Varro Manius
Cicero vi (not used), Gloss. iv, Sisenna, Cicero vii (supply extra-quotations, e.g. to horrendum et horridum, p. 422 M., priores et primores, p. 427 M.)

Cicero viii.
443 furor et in- Cic. Tusc. III sania II
confidentia
et fidentia Tusc. III 14
invidia et Cic. Tusc. III invidentia 20
aegrotatio Cic. Tusc. III et aegritu- 23
do
iracundus Cic. Tusc. IV et iratus 27
444 laetari et Cic. Tusc. IV gaudere 66
pernicitas et
velocitas Cic. Tusc. $V_{45}$
metus, ti-
mor, etc. Cic. Tusc. $V_{52}$
innocens et
innocuus Virg. A. X
Gloss. v.
legere et Varro de Ruseligere ${ }^{\ominus}$ ticatione I
445 miserari et Acc. Furys., misereri Acc. Eris.
acerosum et
aceratum Lucil. XV, IX
multum et
satis Lucil. V. XIX
446 omne et Lucr. III, Virg. totum Buc. X, etc.
eniti, inniti Virg. A. VI, G. et obniti IV, etc, Ter. Andr.
inlix et in- Plaut. Poen., lex Plaut. Pers.
447 ergastylum et ergasty-
lus Lucil. XV
fremere et Virg. G. II, A. frendere III, Pac. Antiopa (bis), Plaut. (frag.), Acc. Troad.
fora et fori Virg. A. VI Varro v.
educere et educare Varr. Catus
$44^{8}$ aborsus et abortus

Book VI, De Impropris, i.e. Words used metaphorically.

Varro iii.
edolare Varr. Bimarc.
sulcus Varr. Bimarc.
penula Varr. Manius
vitreum Varr. Modius

[^8]Cicero vi (not used).

## Sisenna.

| tela | Sis. Hist. III |
| :---: | :--- |
| 449silentium <br> fieri <br> caecum | Sis. Hist. IV |
| labra | Sis. Hist. IV |
|  | Virg. Buc. V, |
|  | Sis. Hist. IV |

(?) Gloss. iv.
interfici et Plaut. Men, occidi Lucil.(inc.), etc. 450 gannire Varro, Lucr. V, etc.
Cicero vii (and viii?) dotatam Cic. de Or. I putidus Cic. de Or. III 51
cincinni ac
fucus de Or. III 100
abdicare Pacuv. Atal.
Varro iv (not used). Varro ii.
equisones Varr. Marcipor.
451 meridies Varr. Marcipor. raeda Varr. Marcipor.
fascea, 'pro
cortice' Varr. Gerontod.
liber, 'pro
cortice' Virg. G. II ${ }^{\text {f }}$
viscus Varr. Parm.
calcar Varr. Sesqueul.
ebrii et
ieiuni Varr. Eum.
$45^{2}$ gibber Varr. Eum.
torrere Varr. Eum.
zedifican-
dum Virg. A. II

## Gellius.

squalere (=Gell. II 6)
453 bibere Virg. Buc. III
libido Sall. Catil. bell.

Plautus ii.
usu
furtum

454 ingredi Plaut. Amph. (frag.)
obsequia Plaut. Asin. 6I
versutos Plaut. Asin. 119
devorari Plaut. Asin. 649
bicipitem Varr.V.P.R.IV
defecata Plaut. Aul. 79
malitiam Plaut. Aul. 215
455 beluam Plaut. Aul. 561
grocire Plaut. Aul. 624 Gloss. i.

| rostrum | Plaut. Men. |
| :--- | :--- |
| fatum | Virg. A. VII |
| rictum | (bis), IV |
|  | Titinius |

## Plautus i.

456 stuprum Plaut. Amph. 883
thensaurus Plaut. Amph. (frag.)
compos. Plaut. Epid.
vivus Virg. A. I
indoles Plaut. Mil.
457 alacritas ${ }^{8}$ Cic. Tusc. V. 48
catuli Virg. G. III, Plaut.Truc. 268
conpressus Plaut.Truc. 497
opifex $^{\text {h }} \quad$ Cic. Tusc. V 34
(?) Lucretius.
semina Virg. G. II
458 sumen Lucil. Sat. IV
exuvias et Virg.G.III 437,
spolia Accius Bacch., Lucr. (IV)
divortia Virg. A. IX
Naev. Lyc., Acc. i (not used).

Pomponius, Novius (supply extra-quotations to rictum, rostrum, p. 455), Accius ii (supplies extra-quotation to exu. vias et spolia, p. 458), Lucil. i (cf. sumen, p. 458), Ennius, Turpil. Pacuv. Cic. i'(not used).

| Varro i. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| gines | Varro |
| xae | Virg |
| ransi | Varr. Flaxt. |

Cicero ii, Accius iii (not used). Sallust (supplies extraquotation to stuprum, p. 458), Afranius, Cicero iii (not used), Naev. Dan. (supplies extra-quotation to compotem, p. 456).

## Virgil.

| 459 | os | Virg. A. IX, etc., G. III 453 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dorsa et |  |
|  | tergora | Virg. G. III 361 |
|  | virgo ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Cic. Orat. 64 |
|  | nidi | Virg. G. IV 16 |
|  | imbre | Virg. G. IV II4 |
| 460 | pecudes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Virg. G. IV } 327 \\ & \text { and } 168 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | pax | Virg. G. IV 534 |
|  | profundus | Virg. A. I 58 |
|  | procacitas | Virg. A. I 536 |
|  | putidus | Cic. Orat. 27 |
|  | devotus | Virg. A. ${ }^{1} 12$ |
| 461 | reus ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Virg. A. V 237 |
|  | dapes ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Virg.A. III 630 |
|  | domus | Virg. A. VI 8 |
|  | incestus | Virg. A. VI 149 |
|  | revocare pedem | Virg. A. IX 124 |
|  | murices ${ }^{1}$ | Virg. A. V 205 |
|  | dentes | Virg. G. II 406 |
|  |  | A. VI 3 |

Terence (not used).
Cicero iv.
locuples M. Tull. ad Caes. iun. II
monumenta M.Tull. inVerr. act. II (i)
promeritum Plaut. Amph. $57^{\circ}$

Lucilius ii.
pallor Lucil. XXX
bonus Lucil. XXX
multare Naev. Colax
463 discipli- Cato de $\operatorname{Re}$ nosus Milit.
propitii Lucil. XXVII
Gloss. iii (cf. multare, disciplinosus, above).

Alph. Verb.
cachinnare Acc. Phin.
tacere Virg. A. IV. (bis)

Alph. Adverb.
adfari ${ }^{m} \quad$ Acc. Tereus
Cicero v.
fastigia Virg. G. II ${ }^{n}$, M. Tull. Off. III 33
464 numerus M. Tull. Off. III 50

## Gloss. v.

| fervor | Virg. G. I |
| :--- | :--- |
| templum | Virg. A. IV |
| vestigia | Virg. A. V |
| parere | Caecil. Chalc., |
| viri | Virg. A. XI |
|  | Virg. Buc. VII, |
|  | G. III |

[^9]

Book VII, De Contrarils Generibus Verborum, i.e. Abnormal Verb-forms.
(r) Active Voice Section.

Gloss. i.

| 467 aucupavi | Titin. Velit., <br> Plaut. Truc., <br> Plaut. Men., <br> Enn. Medea, <br> Pac. Chrys. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plautus i. |  |
| vagas suffragant | Plaut. Mil. |
|  | Pomp. Macc. |
|  | Praet. |
| meret | Plaut.Men.359, |
|  | 707 |
| auspicavi | Plaut. Pers. |
| deluctavi | Plaut. Trin. |
| 469 scruto ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Plaut. Aul. |

Accius i.
auguro Acc. Oenom.
adsensit Acc. Neopt.
cunctant Acc. Alphes.
accinge Pomp. Macc.
Gem.
contempla Acc. Philoct.
470 altercas Pacuv. Iliona
arbitrabunt Plaut. Stich.
amplexa Acc. Alcm.
criminat Enn. Sat. III
Accius ii.
dignavi Acc. Meleag.
miserarent Acc. Athamas
largi Lucil, XIV
Turpilius.
intuietcon-
tici Turpil. Paed.
proficisceret Turpil.Hetaera
Pacuvius.
moderant Pacuv.Periboea
47 I sortirent ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ Varr.Rer. Hum. XX
Varro i.
polliceres Varr. Anthrop.
(?) Sallust.
populat Virg. G. I
Cicero iii.
punitur M. Tull. Off. I 88
ruminat Virg. Buc. VI
fabricantur M. Tull. Off. I. 147

Lucilius ii.
472 palpatur Lucil. XXIX
luctant Enn. (Ann.) IX
bellantur Virg. XI
partiret Lucil. XXIX
inpertit Lucil. XXVII

[^10]Gloss. iii.


Alph. Verb.
poti Pacuv. Iliona praestolat Turpil. Paed.
476 revortit Pomp. Maial. rhetoricasti Novius Asinus tutant Plaut. Merc.
(II) Passive Voice Section.

Plautus i.

| patitor | Plaut. Asin. |
| :--- | :--- |
| copulantur | Plaut. Aul. |
| praesagitur | Plaut. Bacch. |
| expalpabi- |  |
| tur | Plaut. Vid. |
| reddibo | Plaut. Cas. |
| ludificata |  |
| ero | (Plaut. Mil.) |
| osculavi | Titin. Insubra |
| expedibo | Plaut. Truc. |

## Pomponius.

477 manduca- Pomp. Papp. tur Agric.

Turpilius.
miseretur Turpil. Epicl.
muneratur Turpil. Thrasyl.
rixat Varr. Arm. Jud.
Pacuvius.
adiutatur Pacuv. Dulor.
Cicero i.
pigneraretur M. Tull. Rep. I
Varro i.
 excalceatur Varr. Pseud. Apoll.
nutritur et nutricatur Varr. Testam.

Cicero ii (supplies extra-quotation to nutritur et nutricatur).

Lucilius ii.
volam Lucil. XXVIII

Gloss. iii.
commanducatur Lucil. IV
479 esuribo Pomp. Augur fatiscuntur Acc. Epinaus. conscreabor Plaut. Pers. fruticari Cic. Att. XV exugebo Plaut. Epid.

Alph. Verb.
copulantur Plaut. Aul. invenibo Pomp. Bucc. Adopt.
poeniuntur M. Tull. Tusc. I
480 sacrifican- Varr. Her. Div. tur XIV
discrepuit ${ }^{u}$ Cic. de Or. III spolor Afran. Crimen Cicero v.
verecundatur M. Tull. Hort. Plautus ii. convertitur Plaut. Amph.

Varro ii.
ruminatur Varr. Sexag.
precant Varr. Eum.
miras Varr. Eum.
Sisenna.
48 I nolitote Sis. Hist. III
Gloss. v.
gliscitur Sempr. Asellio $\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Hist. IV } \\ \text { ignescitur } & \text { Laber. Colax. }\end{array}$ luxuriabat Tubero Hist. XIV, Virg. G. III

Varro v.
focilatur Varr. V.P.R. II
emunge-
rentur Varr. Catus
(III) Appended Section.

Gloss. i.
libertatem
uti
Titin. Quintus potior illam rem Ter. Adelph.

Plautus i.
482 opus est il-
lam rem Plaut. Cist.

## Pomponius.

callet illam
rem Pomp. Pictor.

Book VIII, De Mutatis Declinationibus, i.e. Abnormal Noun-Stems.

Gloss. i.
apricatio
itiner Varro Prans. Par. Titin. Quintus Enn. (Ann.) X, Hemina Ann. IV

## Plautus i.

mansuem Plaut. Asin.
quaesti Titin. Full., Plaut. Aul.
tumulti Plaut. Cas.
484 victi
aesti
senati Plaut. Epid.
vas
sumpti ${ }^{x}$ Plaut. Trin. $25^{\circ}$
485 iteris Naev. Lycurg.
exerciti Acc. Arm. Jud.
aspecti Acc. Astyan.
scriptio Cic. de Or. II
${ }^{n}$ Transposed from p. 481 M. ? Or is it not rather an interpolation?
x The lemmas sumpti-parti appear to be in reality extra-quotations to senati, above.

|  | salti | Acc. Melanip- |  | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Acc. Pelop |  | vulgariam | Novius Zona Pomp. Macc. |
|  | lucti parti | Acc. Pelop. <br> Pacuv. Atal. |  | pannibus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Pomp. Macc. |
|  | frons ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Virg. G. II, Varr. Parm. |  | Acci | us ii. |
|  | ibus | Titin. Gem., |  | decori | Acc |
|  |  | Plaut. Mil. | 489 |  | ac |
|  | excelsitas | M. Tull. Off. |  | sublima | Acc. Phin |
|  | pans | III 24 <br> Plaut.Trin. |  |  | ius |
|  | per | M. Tull. pro S. |  | ]abosum | Lucil. Sat. III |
|  |  | Rosc., Sis.Hist. |  | nefan | . Sa |
|  |  | VI ( = Gell. IX, |  | gracila | Lucil. Sat. VIII |
|  |  | xiv, 8) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | bacchana- |  |
|  | herem | Naev. Gymn. ${ }^{\text {z }}$ |  | liorum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Sallust. |
|  | L | tius. |  |  |  |
| 487 | ge | Lucr. V |  |  |  |
|  | vapos | Lucr. VI 95² |  |  | Pav. Paul |
|  |  |  |  | rep | Enn. Hec. Lytr. |
|  |  | S 1. |  | admirabi- | M. Tull. Off. |
|  | pervico | Acc. Arm. Jud. |  | litas | II 38 |
|  | Ioni | Plaut. Aul. 556 |  | itiner | Enn. Teleph. |
|  | antistitam | Acc. Astyan. |  | rocia | Pacuv. Teuc |
|  | gemi | Plaut. Aul. 722 |  | deleritas | Laber. |
|  | specis | Acc. Alcm. |  | holerorum ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Lucil. Sat. XV |
|  | gallum | Varr. Eum. |  | mixtura et |  |
|  | generibus | Acc. Alcm. |  | oder |  |
|  | Argus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Plaut. Amph |  | tura ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Varr. V.P.R. I |
| 488 | augura | Acc. Tel. |  | guberna ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Lucil. Sat. XX |
|  | humu | Varr.Prans.Par. |  |  |  |
|  | flucti | Acc. Tel. |  | Tur | ilius. |
|  |  |  | 491 | singularia | Turpil Demetr. |
|  |  |  |  | lubidinitas | Laber. Scylax |
|  | piscati | Pomp. Piscatores |  | fructi | Turp. Caneph. Turp. Het. |
|  | poematis | M. Tull. Off. III |  | n | Cic. Orat. 177 |
|  | cultio | $15$ <br> M. Tull.Sen. 56 |  | volutabundus |  |

[^11]$\begin{array}{ll}\text { porti } & \text { Turpil. Demi. } \\ \text { domuis } & \text { Var. Taф. M } \epsilon .\end{array}$ Pacuvius.
soniti Pacuv. Dulor. glutino Lucil. XXVI

## Cicero i.

cautio
492 ferocia
Cic. de Or. II
M.Tull. Rep.VI

Varro i.
gelo Varr. Sesqueul.
cestis Varr. Devicti
fructuis Varr. Meleag.
quaesti Varr.Prom.Lib.
senecta ${ }^{\bullet}$ Virg. A. VI
Sallust.
inermis Sall. Jug. bell. Galliae Sall. Hist. IV

## Afranius.

493 effigi Afran. Vopisc. intemperia ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Gell. I ${ }^{1} 7$ poematorum

Afran. Privign.
Terence.
cautio Ter. Andr.
acritas Acc. Neopt.
largitas Ter. Adelph.
dextrabus Liv. Odyss.
curatura Ter. Eun.
Lucilius ii.
inberbi Lucil. XXX
sescentum Lucil.XXX(bis)
Alph. Verb.
494 pauperies ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Enn. Hecuba
Varro v.
aedis
Varr. V.P.R. I
victuis Varr. V.P.R. I
graduis Varr. V.P.R. II
puerilitas Varr. Catus
anuis Varr. Catus
praecantrix Varr. Catus
rituis Varr. Catus
pronis ${ }^{\text {b }} \quad$ Varr. Parm.
495 teneritas Cic. Fin. V

Book IX, De Numeris et Casibus, i.e. Irregularities of Syntax.

Gloss. i.
Acc. Sing Sis. Hist. IV, pro Gen. Titin. Velit., Plur. Titin. Gemin.
496 Acc. pro Plaut. Amph. Dat. ${ }^{1} \quad 257$
Gen. pro
Acc. Titin. Psalt.
(veretur illam rem Afran.Compit., Afran. Simul., Afran. Susp.)

497 Acc. pro
Abl. Titin. Gemina
(Acc. vel Nom. pro Abl. Turp. Demetr.)
498 Gen. pro Plaut. Trin., Abl. Cic. Tusc. IV
499 Dat. pro Acc. Titin. Barbat.
Acc. pro M. Tull. Off. II, Gen. Virg. Buc. VII

## Plautus i.

500 Abl . pro Gen.

Plaut. Pseud.

## Pomponius.

Acc. pro Pomp. Praec. Nom. post.

- Cf. iuventus et iuventa, p. 433.

I Perhaps in reality an extra-quotation to effigi.
g Cf. 507. 18, s.v. ezenat.
h Inserted from 178. 31?
i Possibly some of the lemmas in this series are really of the nature of extraquotations, e.g. 'Acc. vel Nom. pro Abl.'

Novius.
Nom. pro
Dat. Nov. Agric.
Accius ii.
501 Gen. pro
Dat.
Acc. Neopt.
Turpilius.
Nom. pro
Gen. Turp. Epicl.
Abl. pro
Dat. Lucil.

## Cicero i.

Gen. pro
Nom. M.Tull.Rep.VI
(?) Gloss. ii.
Abl. pro
Acc. Virg. A. V.
Varro i (supplies extra-quotations, e.g. to 'Acc. pro Abl.', p. 497).

Accius iii. Sallust.
502 Acc. pro Acc. Myrm., Gen. Sall. Hist. III
Afranius. Lucil. ii.
Acc. pro Lucil. XXVI, Dat. Afr. Vop.

Sisenna.
Dat. pro
Abl. Sis. Hist. III
Nom. pro
Abl.
Sis. Hist. III
Book X, De Mutatis Conjugatiunibus.

Gloss. i.
fervit
Lucil. IX, Acc. Nyct., Titin. Setina
(fervěre Afran. Epist. Lucil. Sat.
IX, Virg. A. IV) (fervat Pomp. Full.)

Plautus i.
503 lavit Virg. G. III ${ }^{k}$, A. $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{k}}$, Plaut. Pseud.
(lavere Naev. Danae, Enn.
Telam., Enn. Hecuba) (lavite
Titin. Psalt.)
Lucretius.
504 sonere Lucr. III
Accius ii.
505 expedibo Pacuv. Atal., Acc. Nyctegr.
Pacuvius.
nolito ${ }^{1}$ Lucil. XXX axim Pacuv. Perib.

Afranius.
'fervitur
pro fer-
vetur'
(503.34) Afran. Vopisc.

Gloss. iii.
mantat Caecil. Hypobol Rastr.
artivit Nov. Dotata
audibo Enn. Telam., etc.
Lucil. Sat. VII, etc.
(fulgere Lucr. V, etc.)
es Lucil. XXIX, Pomp. Macc. gem.
Alph. Verb.
amplant Pacuv. Teucer aperibo Pomp. Verniones


## Plautus ii.

deplora-
bundus
coctum
macet

Plaut. Aul. 317
Plaut. Aul. 325
Plaut. Aul. 564

Book XI, De Indiscretis
Adverbils.
Gloss. i.
humaniter M . Tull. ad Pans. I
diserte Afran. Divort.
disertim Titin. Velit.
insaniter Pompon. Auctoratus
severiter Titin. Prilia
510 communi-
tus Varro Rer. Div.
blanditer Titin. Gemina
celere Pacuv. Paulus
benigniter Titın. Gemina
festiviter Nov. Gemini
Plautus i.
avariter Plaut. Curc.
amiciter Plaut. Pers.
probiter Varr. Octog.
munditer Plaut.Poen. 235
saeviter Plaut.Poen. 335
5rr maestiter Plaut. Rud.
Lucretius.
propritim Lucr. II
ampliter ${ }^{\circ}$ Lucil. XIII, Pomp.Her.Pet., Plaut. Stich.
aliquan- Quadrig. Ann.
tisper VI
5 r 2 duriter Ter. Andr.
Accius i.
cautim Acc. Alphes. strictim Cic. de Or. I

Lucilius i.
firmiter Lucil. Sat. X fidele Plaut. Capt. aequiter Pacuv. Atal.
513 publicitus Lucil. Sat. XII proterviter Enn. Pancrat. ignaviter Lucil. Sat. XVI

censere et Varr.Rer.Hum. arbitrari XX, Plaut.Rud. affici M. Tull. Off. I decuriones Varr.V.P.R.III
lateres Varr.V.P.R.III modestia,
moderatio Varr. Catus
521 proventus Lucil. XXVI
Accius i.
inbuere Acc. Arm. Jud. Accius ii.
compos Acc. Clyt.

## Lucilius i.

mira et
miracula Lucil. Sat: I
Turpilius.
522 dies Turpil. Paed.
victor Virg. G. II
ver sacrum SisennaHist.IV
apud Lucil. XXX, Acc. Eurys.,
Turpil. Leucad.
523 pro
Virg. A. VIII
(?) Afranius.
operari Pomp. Praec.
Post., Afran. Except. (bis)
sexagenarios
per pon-
tem
Varr. V.P.R. II
(?) Virgil.
stricturae Virg. A. VIII
524 proximum Virg. A. V
memini Virg. Buc. IX
turba et
turbae ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Acc Atham.
525 demensum Ter. Phorm. superva-
cuum Varr.V.P.R.IV
caecus Varr.V.P.R.IV
annos, dies ${ }^{8}$ Virg. G. II, etc.

526 impotentia Sisenna Hist. III
dona Virg. Buc. VI quando Virg. A. VI Lucilius ii.
vel M. Tull. Epist. ad Brut. VIII, Lucil. XXIX
528 de Lucil. XXVI
Pilumnus et
Picumnus Varr. V.P.R. II caduceus Varr. V.P.R. II Plautus ii.
calvi Plaut. Amph.
lucis Varr. Hebdomades
opera Virg. A. IV, Plaut. Asin.
Varro ii.
529 vile Varr. Virg. Div.
praeter Varr. Eum.
faetiales Varr. V.P.R. II, III
Gellius.

530 \begin{tabular}{c}
atque <br>
intra

$\quad$

$(=$ Gell. X 29) <br>
$(=$ Gell.XIII3)
\end{tabular}

Varro iii.
negativas
duas Varr. Bimarc.

## Varro v.

glabri, lydii Varr. V.P.R. I deinsuper Sall. Hist. I
53 I sifilare
nubentes Virg. G. I 3 I, Varr. V.P.R. I
secundum Varr. V.P.R. I
fornum et Virg. A. VIII,
fornaces Varr. V.P.R. I
532 aere diruti Varr. V.P.R. II negativae duae

[^12]tabernae Varr. V.P.R. II Statilinus, etc.

Book XIII, De Genere NaviG1orum.

## Plautus i.

| celox | Plaut. Asin. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 533 corbita | Plaut. $\quad$ Poen. |
|  | (bis) |
| horia | Plaut.Rud.(bis) |
| cercyrus | Plaut. Stich. |

## Turpilius.

534 lembus Acc. Deiph., Turpil. Leucadia

Cicero i.
myoparo M.Tull.Rep.III
Varro i.
faselus Varr. Desultor.

## Sallust.

lenunculus Sall. Hist. II
535 actuariae Sall. Hist. II
lintres Virg. G. I
scaphae Sall. Hist. II
codicariae Sall. Hist. IV
pristis Clodius Rer. Hum. XII

## Afranius.

cumba Afran.Exceptus
(?) Sisenna.
536 onerariae Sis. Hist. III
(?) Gloss. v.
anquinae Lucil. (inc.)
prosumia Caecil. Meretr., Caecil. Aethrio

Book XIV, De Genere Vestimentorum.

Gloss. i.
tunica 'Titin. Velit., Cic. Catil., Virg. (Aen.), Titin. Quintus
537 calautica
paenula
aulaea
plagae
stola
palla ${ }^{\text {t }}$
538 strofium
abolla
sagum
paluda-
mentum
539 chlamys
M.Tull.in Clod. Pomp. Pannuc. Varr.V.P.R.III Varr.V.P.R.III Enn. Telephus (bis) Plaut. Men. 469 Turpil. Philop. Varr. Cosm. Sall. Hist. II

## Plautus i.

regilla Plaut. Epid. 223
ralla Plaut. Epid. 230
rica Plaut. Epid. 232
caesicium Plaut. Epid. $23{ }^{\circ}$
indusium Plaut. Epid.231
540 patagium Plaut. Epid. 231
supparum Plant. Epid. 232
exoticum Plaut. Epid. 232
plumatile Plaut. Epid. 233
Novius.
mollicina NoviusPaedium

## Lucilius i.

amphitapoe Lucil. Sat. I
Turpilius, Varro i, Sallust. (See note on palla in "Gloss. i" sequence.)

## Afranius.

|  | toga |
| :---: | :--- |
| 54 I | Afran. Fratr. |
| laena | Virg. A. IV u |

[^13]meretricum Afran. Excepvestis tus

Virgil. Cicero iv.
carbasus Virg. A. VIII, XI, M. Tull. in Verr. de Suppl. (II, v, 30 )
toga præ- M. Tull. de texta Suppl. (Verr. II, $v, 3^{6}$ )

## Plautus ii.

limbus ${ }^{x}$ Plaut. Aul. 519
flammeus ${ }^{x}$ Plaut. Aul. 510
Varro ii.
542 ricinium Varr. Taф. Mev. reticulum Varr. Sesqueul. tapete Varr. Herc. Socr.
Cicero viii.
culcita Cic. Tusc. III

## Varro v.

subucula Varr. V.P.R. I
capitia Varr. V.P.R. I encombo-
mata Varr. Catus

Book XV, De Genere VasoRUM.
Plautus ii.
543 aula

| aula | Plaut. <br> (frag.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| matella | Plaut. $\quad$ Amph. <br> (frag.) |
| mortarium | Plaut. Aul. 95 |
| pelvis | Laber. Virgo <br> fidelia |
| Plaut. Aul. 622 |  | Varro ii.

patella Varr. Eum.

Gloss. iv.
544 cupae et tinae Varr. V.P.R. I urnula Varr. V.P.R. I cadi Lucil. XVIII urnarium Varr. Taф. Mev. polybrum Liv. (Odyss.)
simpuium Varr. Est. Mod. lenis
545 obba Varr. Est. Mod.
cantharus Virg. Buc. VII
dolia
Varr. Est. Mod

## Cicero viii.

alabaster Cic. Acad. II
scyfus Virg. (A. VIII)
batiola Plaut. Colax.
calices Varr.Est.Mod. ${ }^{y}$, Cic. Tusc. III Virg. A. V
cymbia
crateres Virg. A. VII
Varro iv.
546 orcae Varr. R.R. I ${ }_{3} 3$
nassiterna Varr. R.R. I 22
catinus Varr. R.R. I 63
Gloss. v.
calamistrum Cic. Orat.
carchesia Virg. A. V 77, G. IV 380, Lucil., Catullus Veronensis
hirnea Plaut. Amph. mixtarium Lucil. V

## Varro v.

calpar Varr. V.P.R. I
547 trulleum Varr. V.P.R. I armillum Varr. V.P.R. I sinum et Virg. Buc. VII, galeola Varr. V.P.R. I

[^14]lepistae Varr. V.P.R. I
creterra Naev. Lycurg., Varr.V.P.R. III

Book XVI, De Genere Calciamentorum (lost).

Book XVII, De Coloribus Vestimentorum.

Gloss. i.
cumatilis Titin. Setina molochinum Caecil. Pausim. Plautus i.
impluviatus Plaut. Epid. 224 caltula et Virg. Buc. II, crocotula Plaut. Epid.23I cerinum Plaut. Epid. 233 549 ferrugi- Virg. G. IV, neum Plaut. Mil.

Turpilius.
ostrinam Turpil. Hetaera Virgil.
murex Virg. Buc. IV43
luteus Virg. Buc. IV44
Plautus ii.
crocota $^{2}$ Plaut. Aul. 52 I
violacia * Plaut. Aul. 5 ro Varro v.
pullus Varr.V.P.R.III 550 anthracinus Varr.V.P.R. III

Book XVIII, De Genere Ciborum.
Varro ii. asparagos Varr. Virg. Div. blitum Varr. Eum.

Varro iii.
lapatium Varr. Modius

Cicero vi.
menae M. Tull. Fin. II
Cicero viii.
acipenser Cic. Tusc. III nasturcium Cic. Tusc. V

Varro iv.
mariscae Varr. R.R. I 6
ocinum Varr. R.R. I 3 I
Gloss. v.
lopades Plaut. Paras. Med.
murrina Varr. Anthropop.
portulaca Varr. Discipl. VIII
Varro v.
lora Varr. V.P.R. I
sapa Varr. V.P.R. I
passum Varr. V.P.R. I
moriola Varr. V.P.R. I
552 turunda Varr. Catus
Book XIX, De Genere ArMORUM.

Gloss. i.
catapulta Plaut. Curc., Titin. Setina
velites Titin. Barbatus

## Lucilius i.

rorarii Lucil. Sat. VII Varro i.
553 antesignani Varr. ' $A \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú Sallust.
funditores Sall.Jug.bell. 46 glandis Sall.Jug.bell. 57 scorpio Sall. Hist. III tragula Sall. Hist. III pugio Sall. Hist. III
554 aclydes Virg.A.VII 73C ancile

Virg.A. VII 188

[^15]|  | lanceae | Sall. Catil. bell. | (?) Glo | . iv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | falerae | 56 | 556 conti | Virg. A. IX 5 10 |
|  | parma | Sall. Hist. IV |  |  |
|  | ferentarii | (III) | Sisen | na. |
|  | ferentari1 | Cato de ReMil., Sall. Catil. bell, | manipuli | Sis. Hist. III |
|  |  |  | materae | Sis. Hist. III |
|  | verutum | Sall. Hist. III | malleoli | Sis. Hist. IV |
|  |  | il. | catafractae <br> falces et | Sis. Hist. IV |
| 555 | cetra peltae | Virg.A.VII $73^{2}$ <br> Virg. A. I | harpagae | Sis. Hist. IV |
|  | gaesa | Virg. A. VIII |  |  |
|  | falarica | Virg. A. IX 705 | Glos | s. v. |
|  | sparum | Virg. A. XI | ansatae | Enn. (Ann.) V |
|  | Luc | us ii. | coryti | Virg. (A. X) |
|  | ballistae | Lucil. XXVIII |  |  |
|  | Var | iii. | Book XX, De | Ropinquitatum |
|  | venabulum | Virg. A. IX $_{553}$, <br> Varr."ovos גúpas | Vocabulis tations). | contains no quo |

## Results of the Analysis.

We have now examined the whole of the facts by which the theory stated on p. 3 may legitimately be tested; for the three Books (II-IV), omitted from our analysis, indicate by their alphabetical arrangement that they may owe their present form to a mediaeval editor. That the theory is fully established, no one, I think, can venture to deny. It needed only a full exposition of the facts to demonstrate the mechanical regularity with which Nonius composed his dictionary, going through one list after another of words which he had culled from various authors and entering in each book the suitable words from each list in the same order as he found them, that is to say, in the order of their occurrence in each author's pages. In the case of the authors whose works have been preserved to us, Plautus, Lucretius, Cicero, etc., we see this order to have been followed by Nonius, and we cannot reasonably doubt that he followed the same order with the others, Accius, Pomponius, Novius, Lucilius, Ennius trag., Turpilius, Pacuvius, Cic. Rep. and Hortens., Varro Menipp., (also the V.P.R. and Catus), Afranius, Sisenna. We have thus
obtained a criterion for the arrangement of the fragments of these Republican authors, which supersedes the guess-work hitherto practised by editors; and these fragments must, in this respect at least, be re-edited.

We have obtained also a valuable aid to the restoration of the text of the Compendiosa Doctrina, a work which, besides its actual value as a dictionary, is our great store-house for the fragmentary remains of the literature of the Republic, and has preserved for us a great deal of ancient grammatical lore. Since all our MSS. come from a single mediaeval archetype, the text they transmit cannot fail to be marred by omissions, insertions and transpositions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ as well as other faults. But before applying the criteria we have obtained to the emendation of the text, it will be well to proceed to the analysis of the remaining books. For, as has been already mentioned, the alphabetical re-arrangement of these books is very slight. All that has been done, whether by Nonius himself or by a later editor (e.g. some mediaeval abbot), is the grouping together of words beginning with the letter $A$ in one section, of words beginning with the letter $B$ in another section, and so on, without regard to alphabetical arrangement (even by ABC - or $\mathrm{AB}-$ ) inside a section. The arranger would appear to have gone through the lemmas, entering in its proper section each lemma as he came to it, so that the original order was not wholly reversed.

Although therefore a certain amount of caution is necessary in making inferences from the features of these books, it will not do to neglect their evidence altogether. And possibly a detailed analysis of them may throw light on the question whether they owe their present form to Nonius himself or to another hand.

[^16]iv. Analysis of Contents ${ }^{\text {b }}$ of Books $I T-I V$.

Book II, De Honestis et Nove Veterum Dictis, i.e. Words used by good authors in an unusual form or sense.

A-Section.

## Sisenna.

| 68 apud $^{\text {c }}$ | Sis. Hist. IV |
| :---: | :--- |
| apisci $^{\text {c }}$ | Sis. Hist. IV |
| abstemius ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | (Varr. |
| (Vat. |  |
| 69 | adipatum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Men. ? | Cic. Orat. 25 |

## Cicero viii.

adamare
adstipulari
Cic. Acad. II
Cic. Acad. IV
(II)
addictum Cic. Tusc. II
Varro ii.
aquilex
aequimen-
tum
aerificum

Varr. Quinquatr.

Varr. Sexag.
Varr. $\Gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta \iota \sigma \epsilon$

Gellius.
apludas $\quad(=$ Gell. XI 7 )
70 adulterio (=Gell. XVI 7)
Varro iii.
anticipare Varr. Bimarc.
aurigatur Varr. Modius

## Cicero vi.

architectari Cic. Fin. II 52
aiat Cic. Fin. II 70
anellus Cic. Fin. V 3
(?) Gloss. iv.
amatio Plaut. Rud.
adesum ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Sis. Hist. III

## Cicero vii.

71 adtendere Cic. de Or. (I) 161
adcommodat Cic. de Or. II

[^17]| Gloss. i. |  | Lucilius ii. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| autumnitas | Varr.Serr., Varr. | aera | Lucil. XXIX |
|  | Quinquatr., | adpetones | Laber. Virg. |
|  | Varr. Ephem. | advocasse | Lucil. XXIX |
|  | Naval. | armillum | Lucil. XXIX |
| aritudinem | Varr.Prom.Lib. | adulescen- |  |
| aboriatur | Varr. Gallus ad | turire | Laber. |
|  | Fund. | auxiliatum | Lucr. V |
| adulescen | Varr. Tò éni ty | ardum | Lucil. XXVII |
| ti | Факй | averruncare | Lucil. XXVI |
| 72 ancillantur | Titin. Prilia, | apisci | Lucil. XXVI |
|  | Acc. Meleag. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |
| absonum | Cic. de Or. (I) | Alph | Ver |
|  | II5 Androm | accepso | Pacuv. Teucer |
| algu | Acc. Androm., Varr "Ex $\omega \sigma{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 75 adauctavit | Acc. Aeneadae |
|  | Varr. "EX $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{f}}$, Lucil f | adaxint | Plaut. Aul. |
|  | Lucil. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | adfectare | Plaut. Bacch. |
| Plautus i. |  | adiugare | Pacuiv. Chrys. |
| aetatem | Plaut.Asi | aedituor | Pompon. Aedit. |
| altile | Plaut. Cist. | aeternare | Varr.Rer.Hum. |
| assulatim | Plaut. Capt.s |  |  |
| anxitudo ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Acc. Eurys. | albicatur | Varr. Cave |
| 73 albitudo | Plaut. Trin. | apscondidit | Caecil. Exul, |
| Lucretius. |  |  | Pomp. Macc. |
| adhaesum | Lucr. III, IV |  | gem. |
| amolimini | Ter. Andr., | attigat | Turpil. Leucad. |
|  | Pacuv. Hermi- |  | Acc. Epigoni, |
|  | ona |  | Plaut. Bacch. |
|  |  |  | Enn. Androm. |
| Nae | Lyc. | atrox | Naev. Bell. |
| acrimonia | Naevius Lycur- |  | Poen. III |
|  | gus |  |  |
| Accius i. |  | Alph. Adverb. |  |
|  | Pacuv Me | adquo | Afran. Promus, Afran. Privig- |
| abiugat augustitas | Pacuv. Medus Acc. Oenom | adqo |  |
|  | Acc. Alphes. |  |  |
| Afranius. |  | alternatim | Quadrig. Ann. IV |
| adsestrix | Afran. Fratr. | apricari | Varr. Myst. |
| atri dies | Afran. Fratr. | apsente no- | Plaut. Amph., |
| 74 adiutamini | Pacuv. Chryses | bis $^{\text {i }}$ | Afran. Auctio |
| Probably an 'extra-quotation.' ' Are these extra-quotations? |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {B }}$ The extra-quotations from Sueius Pulli and Plaut. Men. 859 come ap- |  |  |  |
| parently from the 'Alph. Adverb.' list. |  |  |  |
| h From note on albitudo, below? In the S-section of this book the lemmas |  |  |  |
| in the 'Plautus i' series consist wholly of nouns in tudo. <br> ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Cf. praesente 154 . II in 'Alph. Adverb.' list. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Cicero v.
antiquari M. Tull. Off. II adlectat M. Tull. Sen.

Plautus ii.
abusa Plaut. Asin.
Varro v.
77 assa voce Varr. V.P.R. II
arci Varr.V.P.R.IV adminiculavi Varr. Catus

B-Section.
Gloss. i.
baetere Varr. Tov̂ $\pi$ atoós belliores Varr. Testam.

Lucretius.
brutum Lucr. III
Lucilius i.
78 bulga
Lucil. Sat. VI
Cicero iv.
bacillum M. Tull. de suppl. (Verr. II v)

Alph. Verb.
bacchari Virg. G. II; Santra Nunt. Bacch.
blaterare Afran. Augur, Afran. Incend.
79 bount Pacuv. Medus
Plautus ii.
baiulare Plaut. Asin.
Varro ii.
bipennis Varr. Marcip. (bis)
biviras Varr. Lex Maenia
Gellius.
bovinatores (=Gell. XI 7)

Varro iii.
bubulcitare Varr. Manius
basis Varr."Ovos $\lambda$ úpas
80 badius Varr." ${ }^{\text {Opos } \lambda \text { ópas }}$
Sisenna.
binum mil-
ium numero Sis. Hist. III
Gloss. iv B.
balbe Varr.Rer.Hum. XX

Cicero vii.
baiolum Cic. de Or. II
Cicero viii.
balbuttire Cic. Acad. IV
Varro iv.
bura
Varr. R.R. I
Gloss. v.
blitea Plaut. Truc., Laber. Tusca Lucr. II Lucr. V Caecil.

## Varro v.

buas
Varr. Catus
C-Section.
Gloss i.
comest
Varr. Marcopolis, Titin. Gemina, Titin. Fullon., Afran. Aequales
cuia ${ }^{k} \quad$ Lucil. XXX
contollere Plaut. Aul.
claritudo Sall. Jugurta
conluvio M. Tull. Sen.
conmalaxare Varr. Flaxt.
cetra Varr. Devicti
Corax Cic. de Or. III
k Possibly entered here in suite of extra-quotation from 'Lucil. ii' list to comest.

| canitudo Var | Varr. Aborig. |  | Plau | us ii. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d |  |  | casteria | t. As |
|  | usc. |  | coquinatum | Plaut. Aul. 408 |
| nscri |  | 86 | curio | Plaut. Aul. $5^{6} 3$ |
| lavi | Varr. Col. Herc. |  |  | o ii. |
| 83 conmictilis | Pompon.Praec. Post. |  | culcita | Varr. Quin- |
| tent | Plaut. Asin |  |  |  |
| caries | Varr. Cosmot |  | cytrus | Varr. Gerontod. |
|  | Varr. Pap. Pap. |  | caecuttiunt | Varr. Gerontod. |
| cupa | Varr. Est Mod. |  | caballus | Lucil. III ${ }^{\text {n }}$, |
| comedi | Plaut. Bacch., |  |  | Varr. Parm. |
|  | Cic. ad Varr. |  | coda | Varr. Taф. Mev. |
|  | Epist. Paeti |  | carnales | Varr. Sexag |
| 84 con |  |  | crassum canum |  |
| conspicill | Caecil. Ploc. <br> Plaut. Medic |  |  | Virg.A.I ${ }^{\circ}$, Varr. Eum. |
| colustra | Lucil. VII |  |  | ius. |
|  | Laber. Virg. |  |  |  |
| creterra ${ }^{1}$ | Naev. Lycurg. | 87 | lissium | 1) |
| Plaut | us i |  | copiatur | ( $=$ Gell. XVII |
| co |  |  |  | ( |
| - | Plaut. Cist. |  | Varr | iii. |
| $\mathrm{co}$ | Plaut. |  | nfutare | arr. Maniu |
| conlutulet congenerat | Plaut. Trin. Acc, Phin. |  | conpluries ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | ( = Gell, V 21) |
| . | Lyc. |  | cognomines | nius. <br> fran. Divort. |
| cette | v. Lycurg. |  |  | ence. |
|  |  |  | clipeat | Pacuv. Hermi- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| castitudo | c. Phoen. |  | (galeare convasare | nna Epigramm.) Ter. Phorm. |
| Acciu | is |  |  |  |
| comitass | Acc. E |  | Lucili | us ii. |
| Lass |  |  | cluet | Lucil. XXX |
| r | ro | 88 | cibicid | Lucil. XXVII |
| cituma ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | M.Tull. |  | ntentur | Lucil. XXVII |
|  |  |  | canicas | Lucil. XXVII |
| Turpi | ilius. |  | concorditas | Pacuv. Hermi- |
| claret ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | Turpil. Pae- |  | amentum | Plaut |
|  | dium |  | cribrum | Lucil. XXVI |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| have been transposed | d. |  | 16. 28 ? | - Inserted from |
| 3. 22 ? P | ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Should perhap |  | fore cissi | ve. |

contemnifi-
cum
cordi est
(?) Gloss. iii.

| 89 congenu- | Caelius |
| :---: | :--- |
| clare | VII |


| Alph. Verb. |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| causari | Acc. Medea, Af- | ran. Emanc., Pac. Arm. ind.

celebrescat Acc. Diomedes coepere ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Caecil. Hypob. Rastr., Plaut. Men. Plaut. Truc.
certiscant Pacuv. Chrys. celebre ${ }^{r}$ Acc. Aegisth. calliscerunt CatoBell.Carth. commetare Novius Bubulc. Cerdo, Afran. Virgo
90 concalluit M.Tull.Att.IV, Deor. Nat. III
conciere Acc. Medea, Afran. Depos., Pac. Dulor.


Alph. Adverb.
9i caduciter Varr. Aetrio cupienter Acc. Philoct., Enn. Phoenix

Cicero v.
conmemo-
rare
M. Tull. Sen.

Cicero vi.
consec-
tarium M.Tull. Fin. IV

## Sisenna.

concubia
nocte Sis. Hist. IV
cistae
Sis. Hist. IV
centones et cilicia
crebritudinem
92 caementa
Sis. Hist. IV
Sis. Hist. IV
Sis. Hist. IV
Gloss. iv B.
cis
Sall., Varr. Rer. Hum. XX
quadrifariam Varr.Rer.Hum. XX

Cicero vii. concalfacere Cic. de Or. II cavillantur Cic. de Or. III

Cicero viii.
catus
Cic. Tusc. II
contrahi
Cic. Tusc. III
Cic. Tusc. $\mathrm{V}_{3} 8$
Cic.'Tusc. Vio8
Gloss. v A.
cibarium Varr. Modius, Varr. "o oos, Cic. Tusc. V 97
comedones
colem
continuari
94 cinefactum

Varr. Modius
Varr. R.R.I ${ }^{1}$ I, Virg. A. XII
Sis. Hist. VI Lucr. III

[^18]

| descobi- |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| natis | Varr. Meleag. |
| desubulare | Varr. Sesqueul. |
| roo dormitio | Varr. Sexag. |
| dissenta- |  |
| neum | Nigidius |
| decidua | Laber. |

Gellius.
duoetvice-
simo (=Gell. V. 4)
diurnare (=Gell. XVII 2, 16)
duritudo (=Gell. XVII 2, 20)
deliciam (=Gell. XIX 8)
$\operatorname{diritas}^{x} \quad$ M. Tull. Sen.
Varro iii.
derisissi-
mus
diis
Ior dicteria
Varr. Bimarc. Varr."O oos $\lambda$ úpas Varr."Ovos $\lambda \dot{\rho}$ pas

## Sisenna.

dispalare Sis. Hist. III

## Cicero vii.

diloricare Cic. de Or. II
divitior Cic. de Or. III
Gloss. v.
deturbare Sall. II, Virg. Aen. V, VI
dividae Acc.Phoenissae deintegrare, Caecil., Pacuv. deiugare, Chrys., Cass. denasci Hem. Ann. II

E-Section.
Gloss. i.
elurescat Varr.Prom.Lib. moz evallaro Titin. Prilia

Plautus i.
excantare Plaut. Bacch. extundere ${ }^{y}$ Afran. Epist. exculpere Plaut. Cist. e regione Cic. Acad. IV exorbebo Plaut.Epid. 188
103 egurgitem Plaut.Epid. 582 expapillato Plaut.Mil.Glor.

Lucretius.
exos Lucr. III
(P) Afranius.
extrarium Afran. Suspecta
Virgil.
errabundus Virg. (Buc.)
excandescentia ${ }^{z}$ Cic. Tusc. IV

Terence.
emungere Ter.Phorm. 682
effutire Ter.Phorm. 745
Lucilius ii.
elevit
Lucil. XXVI
eluviem Lucil. XXVI
(?) Gloss. iii.
ro4 exponere Cic. Acad. II
Alph. Verb.
expetunt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pacuv. Iliona expalpare Plaut. Poen., Pomp. Colleg., Plaut. Vidul.
expergo Acc. Antig., Santra Nunt. Bacch.
extrabunt Afran. Auctio
Alph. Adverb.
efflictim Plaut. Poen., Pomp. Dotata

[^19]expulsim Varr. Serapis, Nigid. Comm. XX

Cicero v.
eluvio
exhiberi
eculei
emancupatus
M. Tull. Off. II M. Tull.Off.III M. Tull. Hort.
M. Tull. Sen.

Plautus ii.
exiurare Plaut. Amph.
exornare Plaut. Aul.
Varro ii.
equiso Varr. Trih. Trip.,Marcipor Io6 eunuchare Varr.LexMaen. equila Varr.Lex Maen. esurigo Varr. Taф. Mєע.
ericius

## Gellius.

elucificare (=Gell. X 17 )
exigor (=Gell.XV 14 )
edulcare (=Gell.XV 25)
equitem (=Gell.XVIII
5, 4)
equitare $\quad(=$ Gell. XVIII 5,9 )

Varro iii.
107 exequiantur Varr. Bimarc.
Cicero vi.
exedra M. Tull. Fin. V
Sisenna.
ergo Virg. A. V, Sis. Hist. IV
enixim Sis. Hist. IV
exinanita Sis. Hist. IV
Cicero viii.
exanclare Cic. Acad. IV (II)

| Gloss. v. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | eugium | Lucil.inEpod |
|  |  | Laber. Centonarius |
|  | exoticum ebriulare | Plaut. Most. |
|  |  | Laber.Hetaera, |
|  |  | Laber. Aulu- |
|  |  | laria |
| exfundare |  | Caelius Antipater VII |
| externavit excissatum |  | Catullus |
|  |  | Plaut. Cist. |
|  |  |  |

Edusa et
Potina Varr. Catus
esculentum Varr. Catus
ephippium Varr. Catus
F-Section.
Gloss. i.
rog famulantur M. Tull. Rep. III

Plautus i.
firmitudo Plaut. Asin. fortunare Plaut. Trin.
(?) Novius. Naev. Lyc. falla NoviusDecuma ficitas Novius Ficitor fimbriae ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (Naev.Lycurg.) (flora) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Naev. Lycurg.

Accius ii, iii.
famulitas Acc. Androm. fidelitas Acc. Myrm.

- Sallust.
iro falsum
habuit Sall. Jugurta
famul ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Enn. (Ann.) IX
flaccet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lucil. VII
Naev. Dan.
fulgorivit Naev. Danae

[^20]
## Virgil.

friget Virg. Aen. VI
Lucilius ii.
favitorem Lucil. XXIX folliculum Lucil. XXVI

Alph. Verb.
fligi Liv. Aegisth., Acc. Epinaus., Acc. Aegisth.
III fragescere Acc. Aegisth. fuam Pacuv. Dulor.

Alph. Adverb.
facul Lucil. VI
famulanter Acc. Tereus
fluctuatim Afran. Pompa
fortunatim Enn. Ann. I
112 frustatim Pompon. Verr. Aegr.
fastidiliter ${ }^{d}$ Varr.CrasCredo Cicero v.
fugere M. Tull. Off. III
fatigare Virg. A. VIII
Plautus ii.
frausus Plaut. Asin.
Varro ii.
fax Varr.Gerontod.
Gellius.
flavisas (=Gell. II ıо)
113 formidolosus
frunisci (=Gell. XVII
2)

Varro iii.
fellare Varr. Manius
Cicero vi.
fallacilo-
quentiae M.Tull. Fin. IV
fabellae M. Tull. Fin. V

Sisenna.
fera vite Sis. Hist. IV foramen Sis. Hist. IV

Cicero vii.
flexanima Cic. de Or. II
Varro iv.
114 frons Virg. G. $I I^{\circ}$, Varro R.R. I

Gloss. v.
falae
Enn.(Ann.)XV
foria
floces Pompon. Maccus, Laber. Panilic. Caecil.

Varro v.
februare Varr. V.P.R. I

G-Section.
Gloss. i.
grunnire Varr. Aborig.
115 grandire Varr. Rer. Div. II
granditatem Sis. Hist. IV
gravidinosi ${ }^{f}$ Cic. Tusc. IV
glaratores Varr. Mut. Mul. Scab.
grandiloqui Cic. Orat.
(?) Plautus i.
guttatim Plaut. Merc.
(?) Accius i.
if6 gracilitudo Acc. Amph. grandae-
vitas Acc. Alcm.
(?) Pacuvius.
geminitu-
dinem Pacuv. Atal.
d Cf. II2. 9 s.v. mutatiliter.
c Inserted from 486. 7 ?
${ }^{1}$ Inserted from 32. 13?
(?) Gloss. ii (i ?)
gnaritas Sall. Hist. III gallulare Novius Exodium
gratulari Enn. Hec.
garrire Cic. de Or. II
gargari- Varr. Epist. ad diare Fuf.
gallinas Cic. Acad. IV
gabalum Varr. ' $\Delta \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ genialis Santra de Ant. Verb. III
gangrena Lucil. Sat. I
Terence.
genius Ter. Phorm.
Lucilius ii.
gumiae Lucil. XXX
if 8 gluma Varr. R.R. I 48 gerdius Lucil. XXX

Alph. Verb.
gravidavit Caecil. Titthe
Alph. Adverb.
germanitus Pompon. Praef. Morum

Cicero v.
generosus ${ }^{8}$ M. Tull.Off.III 86
gratiosus ${ }^{g}$ M.Tull.Off.III 58
gratificari M. Tull. Hort.
Plautus ii.
gerrae Plaut. Asin.
Varro ii.
Ir9 gallare Varr.Eum. (bis)
Varro iii.
ganeones Varr. Modius
Varro iv.
glubere Varr. R.R. I 55

Gloss. v.
gramiae Caecil.
gigeria Lucil. VIII glis Laber. Aq. Cald., Plaut, (inc.)
genius Laber. Imag.

H-Section.
Gloss. i.
habentia Claudius VII
I20 Hora Enn. Ann. I
Plautus i.
hallec Plaut. Aul.
halophanta Plaut. (Curc.)
hippocampi Laev. Sirenoc.
hara Varr. Prom.lib.
hilaritudo Plaut. Mil. glor,
Accius i.
hiscere Acc. Arm. iud.
honestitudo Acc. Oenom,
Lucilius ii.
n2r hilum Lucil. XXX :
hornum Lucil. XXVIII
AIph. Verb.
hilaresco Varr. Epist. Lat. I
hostire Pacuv. Teuc., Laev. Erotopaeg.

Gellius.
halucinari (cf. Gell. VIII lemma iii)

Cicero vi.
hilaretur M. Tull. Fin. II
Cicero viii.
heres
Cic. Acad. II


[^21]

Sallust.
laxitas Sall. Hist. IV
Gloss. iii.
lamentas ${ }^{n}$ Pacuv. Hermiona
laetare Liv. Aegisth.
litterosus Cass. Hem. Ann. III

Alph. Verb.
latibulet Laev. Erotop., Publil. Putatores
lupari Atta Aq. Cald., Lucil. V

Varro ii.
lusus Varr. Marcipor.

## Gellius.

laboriosum ( $=$ Gell. IX 12)
lutescit (=Gell. XVIII II)

Varro iii.
libellionem Varr. Manius
limum
Varr. Manius
Cicero vii.
lacessere Cic. Orat.
Cicero viii.
I 34 lentitudi-
nem
Cic. Tusc. IV
Gloss. v.
leae
ligellum
licitari
longiscere Enn. (Ann.)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { longiscere } & \text { Enn. } \\ & \text { XVII, Enn.) } \\ & \text { Enn. }\end{array}$ (Ann.)
ligurrire
Lucr. V. Plaut. Aul. Enn. (Ann.), Caecil. Hymn. Hor. (Sat.), Catull. (frag.)
latrocinari Plaut. Cornic., Enn. (Ann.)
Laverna Plaut. Cornic., Lucil. XVII
${ }^{1} 35$ luculenti- Caecil.Hymnis, tatem Laber. Tusca
lustratus Plaut. Cas.
lusciosi Varr. Discipl. VIII, Varr. Andab.

Varro v.
lemures Varr. V.P.R. I

M-Section.
Gloss. i.
mollitudi-

| nem | Varr. Pap. Pap. |
| :--- | :--- |
| mirius | Varr. $\Gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota}$ |
| mandare | Sis. Hist. III |

Plautus i.
136 macritudine Plaut. Capt.
mustum Naev. Gymnast ${ }^{\circ}$

Lucretius.
maximitas Lucr. II
multesima Lucr. VI
Accius i.
miseritu-
dine Acc. Astyan. maestitudo Acc. Teleph.

Accius ii. magnitate Acc. Bacch.
(?) Lucilius i. macellum Lucil. VI

## Pacuvius.

macore Pacuv. Perib. matrescam Pacuv. Dulor.
n Does this come from the Pacuvius list, having been transposed with laxitas? o See below, section vii.
(?) Gloss. ii.

## munia

metari Virg. G. II mammeatam musimones Lucil. VI maestas Laber Tusca mictilis Lucil. XX

Accius iii.
maestaret Acc. Myrm.
Sallust.
I38 madore
SalI. Hist. IV
mercatis Sall. Hist. V
Afranius.
maceries Afran.Exceptus
Naev. Dan.
manubias Naev. Danae
Lucilius ii.
mutuum Lucil. XXVII mordicus Lucil. XXVI monstrificabile

Lucil. XXVI
Alph. Verb.
mendicarier Plaut. Vid.
mertaret Acc. Anten., Acc. Deiph.
I 39 muginari Lucil. VII, Atta Aq. Cald.

Alph. Adverb.
medullitus Varr. Cras Credo, Plaut.Truc., Enn. Sat. III
meritissimo Turpil.Parater., Caecil. Asot.
minitabili- Acc. Aeneadae,
ter Pac. Antiopa
morsicatim Sueius Pulli mutatiliter Varr. Cras Credo

Plautus ii.
mordicibus Plaut. Aul.

Varro ii.
140 Maeander Varr. Ta $\phi$. Mev. mansum Varr. $\Gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \theta_{2}$ mulieravit Varr. Г $\nu \omega \bar{\omega} \theta_{\imath}$

## Gellius.

memordi, $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { etc. } & (=\text { Gell. VI 9) } \\ \text { metus } & (=\text { Gell. IX 12) }\end{array}\right)$
mendicimo-
nium, etc. (=Gell.XVI 7)
Varro iii.
141 medioxime Varr. Modius
masoulum Varr."Ovos $\lambda$ ípas
Cicero vi.
mancum M.Tull.Fin. IIl
Gloss. iv A.
minutum Varr. Epist. Lat.

## Sisenna.

maceriae Sis. Hist. III manipulatim

Sis. Hist. IlI
multifariam Sis. Hist. III
marsup-
pium Varr. Catus
142 molimen-
tum
Sis. Hist. IV
Gloss iv. B.
modimpe- Varr.Rer.Hum. ratores XX

Cicero vii.
magnilo-
quentia
ministra-
trix
male audiam
multitudo Cic. de Or. Ill (bis)

Cicero viii.
mulierositas Cic. Tusc. IV

Gloss. v.
memoria Pacuv. Chrys. murmuril- Plaut. Rud., lum Plaut. (inc.)
${ }^{1} 43$ mediastri- Lucil.XV,Cato nos

Praec, ad fil.
Varro v.
cf. marsuppium, above, p. 141.

N-Section.
Plautus i .
nuperum Plaut.Capt. 718
neminis Plaut.Capt. 764
Accius i.
noxitudo Acc. Arm. Jud. Pomponius.
nubere Pompon. Pannuc.

Accius ii.
nitiditatem Acc. Bacch.
Virgil.
numen
Virg. A. II
Lucilius ii.
noenum Lucil. XXX
Alph. Verb.
I 44 nevult
nigret Pacuv. Chrys., Acc. Bacch.
nitidant Enn. Cresph., Acc. Theb.
nixurire Nigidius
Comm.Gramm.
XXV
notificem Acc. Atreus
Plautus ii.
numellae Plaut. Asin. nugivendos Plaut. Aul.

Varro ii.
145 nidus Varr. Quinquatr.

## Gellius.

nidulantur ( $=$ Gell. III го) nescium ( $=$ Gell. IX 12) noctescere ( $=$ Gell. XVIII

Ir)
(?) Cicero vi.
nepam Plaut. Cas. (Enn. trag.), M. Tull. Fin. V

## Sisenna.

nex Sis. Hist. IV
Varro v.
nenia
Varr.V.P.R.IV
O-Section.
Plautus i.
146 obscaevavit Plaut. Asin. 266 opimitas Plaut. Asin. 282 obba ${ }^{p} \quad$ Varr. Est. Mod. opulentitas Plaut. Mil. glor. Accius i.
orbitudinis Acc. Amph.
Pomponius.
ocquini-
scere Pomp. Prostib.
Accius ii.
oblitterare Acc. Agam.
Afranius.
offendo Afran. Vopisc.
147 olat Afran. Fratr.
Alph. Verb.
obsorduit Caecil. Hypob. Rastr.
obstrigillare Enn. Sat. II
obvarare Enn. Ach.

| Alph. | Adverb. |
| :---: | :--- |
| obsecun- | Nigidius de Dis |
| danter | I |
| oculitus | Plaut. Cornic. |
| ossiculatim | Caecil. Fallacia |

## Cicero v.

officere M.Tull. Off. III I48 olivitatem Varr. Gloria

Plautus ii.
occepso Plaut. Amph. 673
osa sum Plaut. Amph. 900

Varro ii.
orbitum Varr. $\Gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \theta_{l}$
Gellius.
opuliscere (=Gell. XVIII II)

Cicero vii.
occule Cic. de Or. II

## Cicero viii.

opinabilem Cic. Acad. I

P-Section.
(?) Gloss. iii.

| paenu- <br> larium | Novius Fulloni- <br> cum |
| :---: | :--- |
| I49 plebitatem | Cato pro Ve- |
| petilum | turio |
| Lucil. XXII |  |
| paces | Varr. V.P.R.III |
| pondo mille |  |
| octingen- |  |
| tum | Varr.V.P.R.III <br> panus |
|  | Lucil.IX,Afran. <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Matert., Nov. <br> Oratio |

penicula- Enn. XI Ann., mentum Lucil. XIX, Caecil. Fenerator
${ }^{1} 50$ prognariter Plaut. Persa
perperos Acc. Pragm. (bis)
praecox Enn.Ann.VIII, Lucil. III, (Varro Syneph.), Novius
populatim Pompon. Maccus
praemiatores
nocturni Naev. Agrypn.
populacia Laber. Late Loq.
possestrix Afran. Libertus
perpetuassit Enn. Ann. IX
151 praecisum et
omasum Naev. Nervol.
pisculentum Cato Orig. V, VII
pasceolus Plaut. Rud.
Plautus ii.
piem Plaut. Asin. 506
portisculus Plaut. Asin. 515
perplexabile Plaut. Asin. 792
praesegmina Plaut. Aul. 312
152 pipulo Plaut. Aul. 445
picos Plaut. Aul. 701

## Varro ii.

percellere Varr. Parm.
pinsere Varr. Taф. Mev.
porcas Varr. Taф. Mev.
putidum Varr. Taф. Mev.
paenitu-
dinem ${ }^{9}$ Pacuv. Teuc.
praebitio Varr. Eum.
${ }^{1} 53$ pueros Varr. Eum.
paxillus Varr. Eum.

## Gellius.

proxumi (=Gell. X 24)


Alph. Adverb.
palaestricos Afran. Exceptus populatim Caecil.Obolost. praesente Pompon. Syr., Acc. Melan., Fenestella Ann. II, Nov. Minta med.
primiter Pompon.Macc. Gem., Lucil. ${ }^{r}$
prognariter Plaut. Pers.
properatim Quadrig. Ann. II, Pomp.Bucc. adopt., Caecil. Ploc., Pac. Teuc., Acc. Teleph.

## Cicero v.

r 55 praefractum M.Tull. Off. III
Gloss. i.
pulcritas
Caecil. Harpaz.
proletarii
prospica Enn. Ann.
pollentia
propitiabilis Enn. Caupuncula
pauxillisper Plaut. Truc.
156 ponderi-
tatenı
puritia

Acc. Pragm. I Varr.Rer.Div. I
praelum- 'Novius Vinbare dem.
puerae Varr. Devicti
pupae Varr. Aborig.
pipare Varr. Aborig.
procudere Varr. Tò $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \stackrel{\imath}{n} \phi$.
praecox Varr. Syneph.
157 pauperat Plaut. Mil.glor., Plaut.Acharist.,
Titin. Privigna,
Titin. Varus
potus Lucil. XXX
pauciens Titin. Gemina (bis)

## Plautus i.

pollictores Varr.Mut. Mul., Cosmot., Plaut. Asin.
putamina Plaut. Capt. Lucretius.
I58 pestilitas Lucr. VI Accius i. paeniturum Acc. Epinaus. Accius ii. pausa Acc. Epigon. prosperari Lucil. XXVI
puellos ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Varr. Testam.
pecus Plaut. Rud.
I59 percitum Plaut. Amph.
pecua Naev. Gymn.
putret Pacuv. Teuc., Acc. Erig.

## Lucilius i.

protollere Lucil. Sat. I
priva Lucil. Sat. I
prodigitas Lucil. Sat. VI Pacuvius.
r6o porcet prolixitudinem Pacuv. Dulor.

[^22](?) Gloss. ii.
perfica Lucr. II
Afranius.
petiolus Afran. Exceptus

Lucilius ii.
petigo Lucil. XXX
porrigo Lucil. XXX
profligare " M. Tull. Tusc.
V, (=Gell. XV
$5^{v}$ )
Varro iii.
161 patritum Varr. Manius pertidere Cic. Orat. popinones Varr. Manius

Cicero vi.
putidum M. Tull. Fin. V percursionem

Cic. Tusc. IV
Sisenna.
praefesti- Sis. Hist. IV natim (III ?)
praestolari Sis. Hist. III
162 permittere Sis. Hist. III
proicere Sis. Hist. IV
Cicero vii.
petulantiam Cic. de Or. II pressu

Cic. de Or. III
(?) Gloss. iv.
paupertates Varro V.P.R. I paupertinumVarro V.P.R I plumarium Varr. Catus

Cicero viii.
purpurascit Cic. Acad. II
163 perpendi-
culi Cic. Acad. II
${ }^{\sim}$ Cf. permaties, p. 153 M.
pingue Cic. Acad. IV (II)
probatu dif-
ficile
palpo Plaut. Merc.
Varro iv.
peminosum Varr. R.R. I ${ }_{51}$
pisare Varr. R.R. I $6_{3}$
Varro v.
praecida-
neum Varr.V.P.R.III
palangae Varr.V.P.R.III
pondo du-
centum Varr.V.P.R.III
R-Section.
(?) Gloss. i. ${ }^{\text {x }}$
164 rutunde Cic. Fin. IV ruta $^{y} \quad$ Cic. de Or. II

Plautus i.
ravum ${ }^{2}$ Cic. Acad. IV
ravis Plaut. Aul.
rurant Plaut. Capt.
rarenter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Caecil. Ploc., Pomp. Ergast.
ructus Plaut. Pseud.
Accius.
165 resupinas Acc. Antig.
Terence.

| ringitur <br> riscus | Ter. Phorm. <br> Ter. Eun. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lucilius ii. |  |$\quad$| reciproca | (Lucil. ?) |
| :--- | :--- |
| repedare | Lucil. XXVI |$\quad$| Alph. Verb. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| redandru- | Lucil. IX, |
| are | Pacuv. Chrys. |

${ }^{\nabla}$ Perhaps an extra-quotation.

- Or should the three opening lemmas follow recentiorum, p. 167 M.?
${ }^{3}$ Possibly inserted from 54. 18; 384. $15 . \quad{ }^{2}$ From note on ravis, below?
${ }^{2}$ From note on ruvant 'est rusticantur.' Cf. 186. 1, s.v. vilicari 'est rusticari.'
redhostit Acc. Amph., Acc. Didasc. II
repuer
cere
retori
ramite

ruspar
regredere Enn. Ach.
ruminari Varr. Tanaquil, Liv. Aegisth.

## Alph. Adverb.

rusticatim Pompon. Aleones

Plautus ii.
167 rumiferare Plaut. Amph.
Varro ii.
returare Varr. Agath.
rutuba Varr. Sexag.
revocare Varr. Sexag.
Gellius.
recentari (= Gell. XV 25)
Varro iii.
rapinatores Varr. Bimarc.
(?) Gloss. iv.
reda ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Varr. Epist. ad Varr.

Cicero vi.
recentiorum M. Tull. Fin. II
Varro v.
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { rumam } & \text { Varr. Catus } \\ 168 \text { reiculas } & \text { Varr. Catus }\end{array}$

S-SECTION.
Gloss. i.
saltuatim ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Sis. Hist. VI (cf. Gell. XII, xv )
scapum Varr. Bimarc.
sutrinas Varr. Herc. Socr.
scabre Varr. Manius
strigosus Masur. Sab. XVII (cf. Gell. IV, $\mathrm{xx}, \mathrm{II}$ )
suspiciosum Cato de ReFlor. (cf. Gell. IX, xii, 7)
169 subices ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Enn. Ach. (cf. Gell. IV, xvii, 14)
scraptas, Plaut. Nervol. etc. (cf. Gell. III, iii, 6)
sabulum Varr. Ta $\quad$. M $\epsilon$.
suctu Varr. 「 $\nu \hat{\omega} \theta_{t}$
super Virg. A. I
scabres ${ }^{〔}$ Enn. Androm.
secundare Virg. A. VII, Propert. Eleg. IV
simat Lucil. VII
I 70 scurrile Cic. de Or. II sordet Acc. Aegisth. sublabrare NoviusDecuma sublimare Enn. Medea superstitent Enn. Melan. septuose ${ }^{8}$ Pacuv. Antiopa sempiterne Pacuv. Medus septemfa- Santra Verb. riam Antiq. III simulter Plaut. Pseud. scripta ${ }^{\text {b }}$ M. Tull. Hort. succidiam ${ }^{\text {h }}$ M. Tull. Sen.

[^23]171 signatam
superbos
suggillare
satullem
singulum sperem
scalpurrire
172 somnurnas Varr. Prom. lib. satias
squalam
socienno
scaturrex somniculosus

Lucil. XXIX Plaut. Amph. Varr. Lex. Maen.
Varr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ aip.
Plaut. Cist.
Varr. Aborig.
Plaut. Aul. 467 Acc. Astyan.
Enn. Telam.
Plaut. Aul. 659
Varr. Est. Mod.
Laber. Sorores

## Plautus i

saevitudo Plaut. Bacch. 2
173 suavitudo Plaut.Bacch. 27 severitudine Plaut. Epid.
sorditudine Plaut. Poen.
Lucretius.
sententia Lucr. IV
speciem Lucil. XXX
sodalis Cic. de Or. II
Accius i.
solitate
subiti
sanctitudo Acc. Arm. Jud.

I 74 satu scriptione Acc. Pelopid. Varr. Anthrop.

Accius ii.
segnitas Acc. Aen. aut. Dec.

## Lucilius i.

scelerosi Lucil. Sat. I
Afranius.
speratum Afran. Fratr. (bis)

Terence.
175 screare Ter. Heaut. serescit Lucr. I, Virg. G. I, III

Lucilius ii.
sucerdae Lucil. XXX
simitu Lucil. XXX
subsicivum Lucil. XXVIII
supplosionem ${ }^{i}$

Cic. de Or. IIl
superbilo-
quentia $^{i}$ Cic. Tusc. IV
sarcinator Lucil. XXVIII
Alph. Verb. ${ }^{k}$
176 sospitent Enn. Melan. Pac. Medus.

Alph. Adverb. ${ }^{1}$
singulatim Caecil. Hypob. Rastr., Caelius Ann. I, Lucil. XIX
sollemnitus Liv. Aegisth.
Varro iii.
scenatilis Varr. Modius
saperdae Varr. Modius surditatem Cic. Tusc. V simplicitus Plaut. Merc. suscitabulum Varr." ${ }^{\text {onos } \lambda \text { ípas }}$ similitas Caecil. Syracus.

Cicero vi.
177 salebras M. Tull. Fin. (II)

Gloss. iv.
sublestum Plaut. (Pers.)
Cicero vii.
supellectilis Cic. Orat. 79
scurrile Cic. Orat. 88
sportas Sall. Hist. II
sodes
Cic. Orat. 154

[^24]scopas Cic. Orat. 235

T-Section.
Alph. Verb.
taetret Pacuv. Perib.
tetinerit Pacuv. Medus, Acc. Clyt., Pacuv. Hcrmiona
tetulit Acc. Androm., (?)Caecil. Hypobol.

Alph. Adverb.
taxim Pompon. Bucc. Adopt.
temerius Accius Didasc. II
testatim Pompon. Verr. Aegr.
tractim Plaut. Amph. 313

Varro ii.
tudiculare Varr. Marcip.
traps
179 tegillum Varr. Sesqueul.
(?) Gloss. iv. ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$

temperatura Varr. 'A $\lambda \lambda$ ' oú
torto
tonsus Plaut. Amph. 444
tuburcinari Titin. Prilia
tabificabile Acc. Medea
tuatim Plaut. Ampl 554
tetritudo Acc. Philoct. 180 temnere Lucil. XXX

## Varro iii.

taxis Varr. Modius ${ }^{n}$ tippula Varr. Bimarc. tonescit Varr. Bimarc.

## Cicero vii.

transenna Cic.de Or. I 162 tironem Cic.de Or. I 218
trutina Cic. de Or. II

Cicero viii.
r8 turpari Cic. Tusc. III
Gloss. v.
tenerascere Lucr. III
tricinum Varr. Eum.
tetrica Varr. Tov̂ $\pi a \tau \rho$., Virg. Aen. VII
tarditu- Plaut. Poen., dinem Acc. Alcm., Acc. Diom.
temeritu-
dinem Pacuv. Dulor.
tenta Lucr. II, VI, Lucil. Sat. VI and XI, XXX
182 tristitas Pacuv. Atal., Turp. Leucad.
torporavit Turp. Hetaera
titubare M. Tull. Phil. XIV,Virg. Aen. V, Ter. Heaut.
tortor Pompon. Full. Dec.
tunicare Varr. Logom.
Varr. v.
titionem Varr. V.P.R. II

V-Section.
Gloss. i.
vulgavit Plaut. Amph. (frag.)
183 veget Pompon. Maial. verecundum Plaut. Amph. 901
venor Enn. Nemea

[^25]vicissatim ${ }^{\circ}$ Naev.Bell.Pun. IV
visceratim ${ }^{\circ}$ Enn. Androm.
unose ${ }^{\circ}$ Pacuv. Iliona
utrasque Hemina Hist. IV
vivum Virg. A. I
vegrande Lucil. XXVI
(?) Plautus i.
184 vanans ${ }^{p}$ Acc. Alcm.
vanitudo Plaut. (Capt.)
Lucretius.
variantia Lucr. I 653
viscus Lucr. I 837
vagor Lucr. II

## Accius i.

vetustas $q$ Ter. Hec.
vastities ${ }^{\text {r }}$, Plaut. Psend., etc. Acc. Eurys.
185 vastescant Acc. Phoen. vicissitatem Acc. Phoen.

Accius ii.
ullo Acc. Epig.
verruncant Acc. Aen. aut Dec.

Turpilius.
vilitant Turpil. Lindia $t 86$ vilicari Pompon. Ergast., Afran. Suspecta, Turpil. Parater.
vinnulum (auct. inc.)
Sallust.
vitabundus Sall. Hist. III volentia Sall. Hist. IV

Naev. Dan.
valentia Naev. Danae

Lucilius ii.
vomica Lucil. XXIX
Viriatum Lucil. XXVI
vescum Lucil. XXVI
Plautus ii.
187 volup
Plaut. Asin. 942
Varro ii.
virginde-
miam Varr. Agath.
viracium Varr. Meleag.
vulga
Lucil. II, Varr.
Sexag.
Gellius.
verrucam ( $=$ Gell. III 7)
vibices $\quad\left(=\right.$ Gell. $X_{3}$ )
vellicatim ( $=$ Gell.XIIr5)
188 victurus (=Gell. XIV 1 )
virescit (=Gell. XVIII
11)
(?) Gloss. iv.
viro Lucr. II
venerata Virg. A. III
uter Caecil. Imbr.

## Sisenna.

vicatim Sis. Hist. III

## Cicero vii.

vultuosum Cic. Orat. 60
vermicu-
latum Cic. Orat. 149
venalicii Cic. oratio Corneliana II (ap.
Orat. 232)
vocare Cic. de Or. I
189 verecundari Cic.deOr.III 36
versutilo- Cic. de Or. III $\begin{array}{ll}\text { quas } & \mathbf{1 5 4}\end{array}$

[^26]Cicero viii.
uncinatum Cic. Acad. IV vendita-
tionem Cic. Tusc. II

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Varro iv. } \\
\text { viere } \quad \text { Varr. R.R. I } \\
\text { Varro v. }
\end{gathered}
$$

undulatim Varr. V.P.R. I verbecem Varr. V.P.R. I zonatim Lucil. VI

Book III, De Indiscretis Generibus, i.e. Words used with different genders.

A-Section.
Gloss. i.
190 angiportus Plaut. Cist., M. Tull. de Div.
arbitrium Plaut. Asin.
armenta Enn. (Ann.) Pacuv.
absintium Varr. Quinquatr.
aerarium Varr.Gerontod.
admonitio M. Tull. Fin.
V. 4
rgI auditus M. Tull. Fin. V. 42
artus Plaut. Men.
Plautus i.
angues Plaut. Amph.
Acherontem Plaut. Capt.
amnem Plaut. Merc.
192 araneae Plaut. Stich. aetas Plaut. Trin.

Lucretius.
accipiter Lacr. IV
Naev. Lyc.
arva
Naev. Lycurg., Pacuv.

Accius i. 193 anfractum Acc. Eurys.
(?) Varro i.
aevitas Varr. Tithon.

## Cicero v.

aera M. Tull. Hort.
acina M.Tull.Sen. 52
Gloss. iv.
autumnus Varr. Serranus
(?) Gloss. v.
alvus Accius Annal., Calvus
accubitio-
nem ${ }^{5} \quad$ M.Tull. Sen. 45
B-Section.

I94 bubo
balneae
balteus

III, Liv. IX
buxum Enn.
blandimen-
tum Cic. Rep. IV
C-Section.
(?) Gloss. i. iii-v.
clivus
195
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { cima } & \text { Corn. Celsus } \\ \text { consitura } & \text { M. Tull. Rep. I }\end{array}$
crux Enn. Ann. XI
culter et cul-
tellus Varr.Gerontod.
cor Enn. (Ann.)
XIII
cupressus Enn. (Ann.)
carra Sis. Hist. IV, Varr. Caeus II
certamen Sis. Hist. IV

[^27]|  | querellae compita | Virg. A. IV <br> Varr. de scen orig. III, Cae cil. Titthe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | consor- | Lucil. XXIX, |
|  | tium ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | M. Tull. Off |
|  |  | III, Tit. Livius |
|  | chartam ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Jucil. XXVII |
|  | clipeus | Virg. A. IX, |
|  |  | Lic. Macer, Laber. Sorores |
|  | caemen | Enn. (trag.) |
|  | clunes | Plaut. Agroec. |
| 197 | caelum | Lucr., Varr. |
|  |  | Rer. Div. VI, |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { (bis) }}{\text { Enn. (Ann.) }}$ |
|  | castitas et | Varr. Rer. Div. |
|  | castimo- | I (bis), Naev. |
|  | nia | Carm. Pun.Bell. |
|  | callis | Liv. XXII |

(?) Varro iv.
culleus
corbes

Varr. R.R. I 2 Varr. R.R. I 15

Gloss. i.
quis
198 canalis
cinis
colus capillus cursus Liv. (trag.) Caecil.
(curriculum Cic. Timaeus)

## Plautus i.

| $\quad$ qualest | Plaut. Amph. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 199 contagio | Lucr. III |
| catellae | Plaut. Curc. |
| corius | Plaut. Poen. 39 |
| calx | Plaut. Poen.908 |

## Lucretius.

cortex Virg. Buc. IX, Lucr. IV
200 calor Plaut. Merc.

Novius.
caseum Nov. Macc.
Accius ii.
collum Acc. Epig.
castra Acc. Aen. aut Dec.
copulae Acc. Phin.
Lucilius i.
20I cepe
Lucil. Sat. V (bis)
cubitus Lucil. Sat XVI
colubra Lucil. Sat. XX

Cicero i.
contemtus M.Tull. Rep.V
Varro i.
202 compendium

Varr. Sciam.
Sallust.
crocum Virg. G. IV, Sall. Hist. II
(?) Gloss. iii-v. conatus Acc. Atreus. candela-
brum cardo
census Cic. in Consulatu Suo
crines Plaut. Most., Atta epigramm. Cinna

[^28]D-Section.

| 203 | dorsum Plaut. Mil. <br> decorem M. Tull. Deor. <br>  Nat. II <br>  despectus | M. Tull. Off. II |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| dominatio | M. Tull. Rep. I |  |
|  | (bis) |  |
| debitum | M. Tull. pro |  |
|  | diluvium | Planc. <br> Lucr. V, Horat. |
|  | C. IV |  |

E-Section.
Lucretius.
204 eventus Lucr. I (bis)
Accius ii.
error Acc. Neopt.
Lucilius i.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { epulum } \\ \text { ervum } & \text { Lucil. Sat. XIII } \\ \text { Varr.Long.Fug. }\end{array}$
F-Section.
Gloss. i.
frontem Titin. Setina
Lucretius.

| 205 finem | Lucr. I |
| :---: | :--- |
| funis | Lucr. II |
| fretum | Lucr. VI |

Accius i.
206 favor Acc. Oenom.
fetus ${ }^{\nabla} \quad$ Virg. Buc. VII
frenos Virg. A. VIII, Acc.
Pomponius.
forum Lucil. III, Sall. I, Pomp.Petitor
Alph. Adverb.
frigus ${ }^{x}$ Varr.CrasCredo

Lucilius ii.
fulmentum ${ }^{y}$ Lucil. XXVIII famulatio Cic. de Amic.

Sisenna.
207 fluvius Sis. Hist. IV
Cicero vii.
flexus
Cic. Orat.
G-Section.
Plautus i.
guttur Plaut. Mil. glor.
Lucilius i.
genu Lucil. Sat. IV gelu Afran. Epist.

Varro i, Virgil.
208 grando Virg. G. I, Varr. Trih. Trip.
(?) Lucilius ii.
gladius ${ }^{z}$ Lucil.
Varro ii.
ganeum Varr. Sesq.
Gloss. v.
grues Laber. Fullon., Virg.G.I, Lucil.
Sat. IV
greges ${ }^{*}$ Lucr. II
H-Section.
horrea Calidius in Q . Gell.

I-Section. Lucilius i.
intiba Lucil.Sat.V and XX
$v$ Perhaps inserted from Virgil list along with extra-quotation to frenos.
x Cl. 139.9 s.v. medullitus.
y Have this and the preceding lemma been transposed?
${ }^{z}$ Cf. clipeus, p. 196 M. a Recurs in Book II, p. 80 M. 26 in same series.

Cicero iv.

| 209 interitus | M. Tull. Verrin. <br> frument. (II, |
| :---: | :--- |
| iii) |  |

L-Section.
Gloss. i.
210 lentem Titin.
Plautus i.
lux Plaut. Aul.
lucrum Plaut. Pers.
labium Plaut. Stich.
Accius ii.
lacerti Acc. Atreus
(?) Virgil.
211 loca
Virg.A. I, Naev. Bell. Pun. VI
(?) Gloss. iii.
lapides Enn. (Ann.)
Varro iii.
luxuria Varr. Manius
Cicero vi.
lusus
M. Tull. Fin. V

Sisenna.
labrusca ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Virg. Buc. V, Culex
(?) Gloss. iv.
liba Nigid. de Dis

Varro iv.
212 lupinum Varr. R.R.I ${ }_{13}$ Gloss. v. lympha Lucil.
licentiam Laber. Paupertas
latrina Laber. Compital., Lucil. VI, XI
lutum Claudius Ann., Cic. Epist. ad Hirt.
lanitium Laber. Paupertas, Virg. G. III

M-Section.
Plautus i.
mercatus Plaut. Trin., Plaut. Amph.
Accius ii.
213 melos Acc. Bacch.
Lucilius i.
medimnum Lucil. Sat. XV
Varro i.
margaritum Varr. Pap. pap. and Hecatombe

Lucilius ii.
214 messem Lucil. XXVII mendum M. Tull. Verr. (II, ii) Lucil.
Gloss. iii.
metus Naev. (Bell. Pun.), Enn. (Ann.)
Varro ii.
murmur Varr. Sexag.
(?) Gloss. v.
mundus Lucil. XVI
miseria Laber. Carcer
b Cf. 449. 13 s.v. labra. The lemma came from a note on Sisenna Hist. IV fr. 103 P.

N -Section.

## Lucilius ii.

nundinae Lucil. XXVI
Gloss. iii.


O-Section.
Plautus i.
obsequium Plaut. Asin.
Lucilius i.
216 ostrea
Lucil. Sat. IX, XIII and III
(?) Gloss. iii-v.
oves Varr. Rer.Hum. XXII (et alibi)
obsidio
Enn. (Ann.)
P-Section.
Gloss. i.
putei
piscatio
217 pulvis posticam

Varr. Gallus vel Fund.
Cic. Fin. II
posticam

Enn. VIII Ann. Titin. Velit., Titin. Fullones, Lucil. VIII

Plautus i.
partus
Plaut. Aul. 75, 276, and Truc.
218 praesepia Plaut. Curc. 228 panis

Plaut. Curc. 367
pingue est ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Nov. Tripert. perdix Varr. Admirand.
Lucretius.
palpebrum Lucr. IV
(?) Novius
pannus Nov. Tabellaria purpurissum Nov. Sanniones
(?) Accius i.
permities ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Acc. Melan.
219 palumbes Lucil. XIV, Plaut. Bacch., Pomp. Dives
Lucilius i.
pigror Lucil. Sat. X
(pigret Enn. (Ann.) XVI, Accius Clytaem.)

Varro i.
pali
Varr. Flaxt.
Cicero ii.
periculum M. Tull. Deor. Nat. II
Afranius.
paratio Afran. Privig.
Lucilius ii.
penus ${ }^{e}$ Lucil.
220 polypus Lucil. XXIX
Gloss. iii-v.
paupertas Caecil. Ploc.
papaver Varr. Admirand., Plaut. (Trin.)
pilleus Plaut. Cornic.
prosecta Lucil. XIV, Varr. Rer. Div. XIV

[^29]| pedis <br> pluvia |  | Plaut. Vid. <br> Laber. Late Loq. | simile est |  | Titin. Fullones Naevius Gym nast. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221 | pistillus | Munatius |  | Plau | tus i . |
|  | propago patibulum | Licin. $\quad$ Rer. |  | schema | Plaut. Amph. |
|  |  | Rom. XXI, |  |  | I17 |
|  |  | Plaut. Carbon. | 225 | scrobes | Plaut. Amph (frag.) |
| R-Section. |  |  | syngraphas Plaut. Asin. |  |  |
| Lucretius. |  |  | Lucretius. |  |  |
|  | rictus | Lucr. V and |  | silex | Lucr. I |
|  |  | Titin. |  | spicae | M. Tull. Sen. |
|  | (?) Afranius. |  | Accius i. ii. |  |  |
|  | rogus | Afran. Epist. | 226 | squalor | Acc. Eurys. |
| Virgil. |  |  |  | servitus | Acc. Clyt. |
| 222 | rudens | Virg. A. III, Plaut. Rud. | Turpilius. |  |  |
|  | reticulus | Claudius I |  | scutum | Turpil. Demi. |
|  | ramentum | Plaut. (Bacch.) |  |  | urg. |
|  | rastros | Corn. Cels. | Varro i. |  |  |
| Terence. |  |  |  | seplasium | Varr. Syneph. |
|  | raptus | Ter. Adelph. |  |  | and Anthrop. |
| Cicero iv. |  |  | Cicero iii. |  |  |
|  | reditus | M. 'Tull. Phil. I |  | stupor ${ }^{8}$ <br> statura | Acc. Erigona M. Tull Off |
| S-Section. |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 223 | Gloss. i. |  | (?) Gloss. iii. |  |  |
|  | sexus | Sall. Hist. II | stirpem |  | Enn. Ann. V, |
|  | specus | Enn.Ann.XVII |  |  | Pacuv. Atal, |
|  | strigilim | Varr. Bimarc. |  |  | Virg. A. XII |
|  | sortes | Virg.(Aen.) III |  |  |  |
|  | salis | Varro |  | Cice | M. Tull. Sen. |
| 224 | socrus | Naev. Pellex | 227 | satio | M, Tull. Sen. |
|  | salum | Enn. Hec. |  |  |  |
|  | sibilum | Virg. Buc. V | T-Section. |  |  |
|  | sagum | Enn. (Ann.) |  |  |  |
|  | spari | Lucil. | Gloss. i. |  |  |
|  | sanguis | Enn. Hec. |  |  | Cic. Tusc. IV |
|  | subcubonem | Titin. Psaltria |  | tale | Titin. Setina |

Plautus i.

| tonitrus | Plaut. Amph. |
| :--- | :--- |
| tergum | Plaut. Asin. and |
|  | Pseud. |

Lucretius.
textus Lucr. V
Accius i.
terriculae Acc. Epinaus.
Lucilius i.
228 torquem Lucil. Sat. XI
Pacuvius.
timor Pacuv. Perib.
Varro i.
tractus Varr. Mut. Mul. Virgil.
tribulae Virg. G. I
229 torpor ${ }^{b}$ Sall. Hist. I tapete Virg. (A. IX)

Gloss. iii.
tributum Cato Orig. testa

Varro ii.
turdi Varr. Quinq.
Varro iii.
tarditas Varr. Bimarc. tibia Varr. Modius Tartara Virg. VI

U-Section.
Plautus i.
uterus Plaut. Aul.
230 venatus Plaut. Mil. glor.
Lucretius.
vultus Lucr. IV

Accius i.
vulgus Sis. Hist. III, Virg. A. II, Acc. Eurys. Plaut. Amph, Acc. Oenom.

## Lucilius i.

231 vectis ${ }^{i}$ Lucil. Sat. IV

## Pomponius.

vepres ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Pomp. Porcus
Sallust.
vadum Sall. Hist. I
Virgil.
vespera Plaut. Amph, Virg. G. III
(?) Lucilius ii.
utres Lucil.
Varro ii.
232 vigiliae Varr. Endym
Cicero vi.
victus M. Tull. Fin. V

Book IV, De Varia Significatione Sermonum, i.e. a Latin Lexicon.

A-Section.
Gloss. i.
advorsum Titin. Gemina
anima (?) Titin. Fu'lones

Accius i.
233 aptam
(?) Acc. Melan. and 'Demer.'

## Lucilius i.

235 aequales (?) Lucil. Sat. V

[^30]Turpilius.
236 apertum (?) Turpil. Demiurg.

## Pacuvius.

237 autumare Pacuv. Perib. and Dulor.
altum $^{\mathrm{k}}$ (?) Acc. Arm. Jud.
aditus ${ }^{k}$ Acc. Medea
Accius iii.
238 adtendere Acc. Diomed. (bis)
appellare ${ }^{1}$ M.Tull.Off.III (appellere Afran. Except.)

Sallust. (Cicero i ?)
aemulus (?) Sall. Hist. III, M. Tull. Rep. I

| 239 argutum | Virg., etc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| accipere | Virg., etc. |
| 240 ardere | Virg. |

## Cicero iii.

acre
(?) M. 'Tull. Off. I (bis)

## Virgil.

241 aer Virg. G. II 123
amarum Virg. A. IV ${ }_{15}$
242 admonere $^{m}$ Virg. A. X 586 ambire (?) Virg. A. IV 283
agerere ${ }^{n} \quad$ Varr. Bimarc.
audacia Virg. A. V
atrum
(?) Virg. A. VI 576
alumnos (?) Virg. A. VI 595 and 876
243 agere
(?) Virg. A. VII 199

244 asperum
(?) Virg. A. IX 263
aequare Virg. A. IX 337
Cicero iv.
accommo- M. Tull. in datum Verr. act. II (i) and in Verr. frument. (iii)

Lucilius ii.
245 aridum Virg., Plaut. anceps Lucil. XXIX (bis)

Gloss. iii.
aura Virg.Varr.Eum.
Alph. Verb.
argutari Enn. Phoen., Nov. Exod. Titin. Fullonia
246 attollere Virg. A. III, Pacuv. Medus, Pacuv. Arm. Jud.
auscultare Pacuv. Chrys., Afran. Sim., Priv., Enn. Melan., Caecil. Symb., Pomp. Asina

## Cicero v.

adducere (?) M.Tull. Sen. aequor Virg.

## Plautus ii.

247 advenire Plaut. Amph. aestus (?) Plaut. Asin.

## Varro ii.

acerbum Varr. Agath. album Varr. Sesq.

[^31]Gloss. iv.
adolere ${ }^{\circ}$ Virg.
(?) Gloss. v. 248 alescere Lucr. II, Laber. B-Section.
(?) Lucilius ii. bellum

Lucil. XXIX
C-Section. Gloss. i.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { committere (?) Pacuv. Nip- } \\ & \text { tra } \\ & 249 \text { confutare } \text { Titin. Setina } \\ & \text { condere } \text { (?) Sall. Catil. }\end{aligned}$

|  |  | bell. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | colere | Virg., etc. |
| cedere | Virg., etc. |  |
| 251 | cursus | Virg. |
| custigare | Virg. |  |
| 252 | carpere | Virg. |
| cunctari | Virg., etc. |  |
| capere | Lucr. II, Virg., |  |


| 254 | corripere |
| :---: | :--- |
| quassatum | Virg. |
| certare | Virg. |
| 255 crepare | (?) Horat.Carm. |
|  | I | comparare Ter. Eun, Ter. Andr., Titin. Gemina

$\begin{array}{cl}257 & \text { componere } \\ \text { calx } & \text { Virg., etc. } \\ \text { Lucil. Sat. VII, }\end{array}$ Virg. A. V
callet Caecil. Asot., Acc. Aegisth., Afran.A idducta, Pacuv. Atal., Serenus Opusc.
$25^{8}$ contendere Plaut.Vid., Cic. Epist. Cass., Virg. A. V, Licin. Macer

## Accius.

260 cernere $\begin{aligned} & \text { Acc. Chrysipp., } \\ & \text { Epinaus. }\end{aligned}$
Lucil. i.
261 circumferre Lucil. Sat. II

## Turpilius.

262 confidentia Pacuv. Atal. (bis), Turpil. Leucad. and Hetaera

Pacuvius.
consternari Pacuv. Perib. and Dulor.
263 curriculus Varr. Epist. ad Jul. Caes.

Cicero i.
calumnia M. Tull. Rep. III

Varro i.
calidum Varr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{i} \kappa \in \rho$.
Cicero ii.
caelum M. Tull. Deor Nat. II
contentus Virg., etc.
264 cogere Virg., etc.
265 claudere Virg.
Sallust.
citum Virg. Aen.VIII, Sall. Cat. bell.

## Cicero iii.

citare
(?) M.Tull.Off.I

## Virgil.

$\begin{array}{ll}266 \text { cadere } & \text { (?) Virg. G. I } \\ \text { capessere } & \text { (?) Virg. A. I }\end{array}$


## Terence.

269 concedere (?) Ter. Phorm., Hec. and Adelph.
270 consequi M. Tull. Epist. ad Caes. I (et alibi) ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$
conserere Virg.
convenire (?) Ter. Eun. and Phorm.
271 caedere
(?) Ter. Andr.
272 quatere
(?) Ter. Eun.
Cicero iv.
constat
(?) M. Tull. ad Caes. iun. II
273 constituere (?) M. Tull. in Verr. act. II i
colligere (?) M. Tull. in Verr. act. II ii and Phil. VI

[^32]
## Accius ii.

281 dignatus Acc. Neopt.

## Lucilius i.

dominus Lucil. Sat. VI

## Turpilius.

282 deliberare Turp. Philop. Sallust.
discrimen (?) Sall. Hist. I

## Virgil.

duci
(?) Virg. Buc. IX
284 differre (?) Virg. G. III
durum (?) Virg. G. IV
decernere Virg., etc.
286 dimissum (?) Virg. A. III 320
dignari Virg. A.III 475
discere Virg. A. V
287 defunctum Virg. A. VI 83 and 306

Terence.
distrahere (?) Ter. Phorm. and Virg. A.VII
dicare M. Tull. Epist. ad Caes. I
detrahere (?) Ter. Heaut.
Cicero iv.
288 despicere M.Tull. in Verr. act. II i.
deicere M.Tull. in Verr. act. I, Phil. I and ad Caes. iun. II

## Lucilius ii.

289 deductum ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Lucil.XXX and XXVII
deferre Lucil. XXIX destinare Lucil. XXIX docere Lucil. XXIX
(?) Alph. Verb.
290 deligere Plaut. Curc., Titin. Prilia
(?) Alph. Adverb. dextrum Virg.

Gellius.
deprecor (=Gell. VII, xvi)

Cicero vii.
divortium Virg. A. IX, Cic. de Or. III

E-Section.
Plautus i. (? Gloss. i.)
exigere (?) Plaut. Aul. and Capt.
29 I elidere (?) Plaut. Rud.
292 exanclare (?) Plaut. Stich.
Accius i. and ii. (? Gloss. i.)
eliminari Enn.Med.exul, Acc.Phoen.and Meleag.
Cicero i.
elidere M.Tull. Rep. II
293 excipere (?)M.Tull. Rep. IV

Sallust.
evadere (?)Sall.Jug.bell. 56
294 explorare ${ }^{t}$ (?)Virg.G.II 75 exercere (?)Sall.Jug.bell. 71 and M. Tull. Off. I

Virgil.
295 equitem Virg. G. III 116
exterritum Virg. G. III
experiri (?) Virg. A. I
*The example from Cic. Rep. I may be inserted from 85. 17.

| 6 exire expedire | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (?) Virg. A. II } \\ & 496 \\ & \text { (?) Virg. A. III } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 378 and II 632 |
| 297 enixa | Virg. A. III 327 and 391 |
| efferre | (?) Virg. A. |
|  | 424 and 443 |
|  | (?) Virg. A. X |
| excnt | (?) Virg. A. |

Terence.
299 exponere (?) Ter. Heaut. 629
exornare
(?) Ter. Heaut. $95^{\circ}$ and Eun.

Cicero iv.
explicare M.Tull.in Verr. act. (Div.)

Lucilius ii.

300 exui
excidere ${ }^{u}$
eiectum
exultare ${ }^{x}$

3 or eligere
excludere
(?) Lucil. XXIX
(?) M. Tull.Phil. XII
eiectum Lucil. XXIX Lucil. XXX, M. Tull. Rep. II and frag. inc. Lucil. XXIX
' excidere, dissentire (300. 18) Lucil. XXVII

Cicero v.
expectare M. Tull. Off. II

## Plautus ii.

expetere Plaut. Amph. r 74 and 495

F-Section.
Gloss. i.
felix
Virg.
302 fastigium Virg.G.II,Varr.
R.R. I

Virg. etc.
Virg.
Virg. A. VII 293
304 fatigare Virg.
Virg. A. VII 618, Ter. Eun.
factio
ferox
305 fama
306 fortis
facessere
Titin. Fullon. Virg. A. IV, Ter. Adelph.
(?) Plaut. Mil. Enn. Ann. I, Enn. Eum., Titin. Gemina

Accius i. ii.
307 fatiscere Acc. Epinaus.
ferus (?) Acc. Medea
fuga (?)Acc. Teleph.
308 frigit Acc. Meleag. (bis) (friguttire Plaut. Cas.)

## Lucilius i.

fingere (?) (Lucil. Sat.) VIII

Sallust.
309 facinus Sall. Cat. bell. 2 and 23
3 30 furtum (?)Sall. (Hist.) I
fiducia
fatigare Sall. (Hist.) II M.Tull.Off.III, Virg. A. I 280

Virgil.
frigus (?) Virg. Buc.
u Should this lemma follow explicare?
a Perhaps from note on insullare Lucil. XXIX. (ap. Non. 330. 20).


[^33]

[^34]Cicero iii.

| Cicero iii. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 339 | languor ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | M. Tull. Off. I |
|  | longum | 123 <br> (?) M. Tull. Off. |
|  |  | 169 |
| 340 | luxuria | M. Tull. Off. I Io6 |
|  | laxum | M. Tull. Off. I |
|  |  | 139 |
| Virgil. |  |  |
| $3+1$ | locandum | (?) Virg.A.I 427 |
|  | laetum | (?) Virg.A.I 590 |
|  | lassum | Virg. A. IX |
|  | (?) Gloss. iii. |  |
|  | locus | Acc. Eurys., |

M-Section.
(?) Gloss. i.
(macte
Virg.A. IX, Cic. Tusc. I)
Plautus i.

|  | mactare |
| :--- | :--- |
| 342 modicum | Plaut. Amph. |
| Plaut. Bacch. |  |
| $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ mitis | Plaut. Mil. |
| mater | Plaut. Men. |
| Pomponius. |  |
| 344 merum | Pomp. Piscato- <br>  |
|  | res |

Lucilius i.
meret
(?) Lucil. Sat. XV
Varro i.
345 medica-
mentum Varr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{l}$ है $\xi a \gamma$.
minutum Cic. Fin. I
malignum Virg.
(?) Cicero iii.
346 moliri
(?) M.Tull.Off.I

Virgil.
molle
347 mirari
micare Virg. G. III 84 and A. I 90
(?) Virg. G, III 323 and A.I 202
mandare (?) Virg. A. III 50
349 maturum
(?) Virg. A. V Virg. A. VII 60 and VI 276
manere (?) Virg. A. VII 596
350 monstrum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Virg. A. III 59 and $65^{8}$
Cicero iv, Lucilius ii. maculosum M. Tull. Verr. act. I, de suppl. Verr. (II v) and Lucil. XXX

Lucilius ii.
metiri Lucil. XXX
manicae Lucil. XXX
maestum Lucil. XXIX (bis)
351 mutare Lucil. XXVI (ter)

## Cicero v.

movere M.Tull. Off. III
Plautus ii.
meditari Ter. Andr., Plaut. Amph.

N-Section.
(?) Gloss. i.
nobile
(nobilitarent, 'Titin. Prilia)
b Has this lemma been transposed from its proper place after luxuria, below, through a scribe's confusion of langzor with lonsum?
c Should this lemma follow mandare, above?

Accius $\mathbf{i}$.
$35^{2}$ numero (?) Acc. Oenom. Pacuvius.
353 niti
(?) Pacuv. Perib
(?) Gloss. ii.
nare
Virg.
Sallust.
necessitudo Sall. Jug. bell. and Cat
Virgil.

354 nomen
nota
nudum

Virg. A. II Virg. A. V 87 Virg. A. V 871 and 135

O-Section.
Gloss. i, Plautus i.
occupatus Plaut. Amph. and Cic. Tusc. V, Titin. Velit.
Accius.
356 opinio
obscenum Acc. Oenom. and Astyan.
357 obitus Acc. Antig. omen Virg.

Lucilius i.
olim
358 optare
Virg. A.I, Lucil. Sat. XIX

Turpilius, Pacuvius.
offendere Turp. Parater, and Pacuv. Iliona

## Cicero iii.

359 obscurum M. Tull. Off. I 116
observare (?) M. Tull. Off. I 149
(?) Virgil.
360 oratores Virg. A XI
Cicero iv.
occurrere M. Tull. de suppl. (Verr.II, v)

Lucilius ii.
offerre Lucil. XXIX and XXVI
obducere Lucil. XXIX
Cicero v.
361 orare M.Tull. Off.III
Plautus ii.
oppido Plaut. Amph. oppetere Plaut. Asin.
(?) Gloss. iii.
obesum Laevius Carm.

P-Section.
(?) Gloss. i.
proprium Sis. Hist. IV, Plaut. Cist. (Most.), Virg., Ter. Andr.

Plautus i.
362 praevertere Plaut. Amph. 527 and 1068 promittere Plaut. Rud.

Turpilius.
363 protelare ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ (?) Turp. Lemn.
Lucilius i.
prodere ${ }^{c}$ (?) Lucil. Sat. V
Cicero i.
364 periculum (?) Sis. Hist.IV, M. Tull. Rep. III

Sallust.
 Virgil.


373 producere Ter. Adelph. 314, 402, and Heaut. 144
proluvies Ter. Adelph. 985 and Heaut. 294
Cicero iv.
proiectum M.Tull. de sign. and Phil. IV (Verr. II iv, 2 I)

374 praestrin- M.Tull. de sign. gere and Phil II (Verr.II iv, 105) poscere ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Varr. Parm., Virg.

Lucilius ii.
proferre M. Tull. Rep. III, Lucil. XXVII

Alph. Verb.
penetrare Plaut. Trin.
Alph. Adverb.
pariter Novius Dec., Titin. Prilia, Afran. Omen, Afran. Abducta, Pacuv. Niptr.

Plautus ii.
375 posterius Plaut. Asin. 63 postulare Plaut.Asin. 506
(?) Gloss. iv. paret Virg.

## Cicero viii.

portenta Cic. Tusc. I
Gloss. v.
376 protinus Afran. Epist., Plaut. Astraba, Plaut. Curc., Naev. Colax, Varr. L.L.VII, Virg. G. IV, etc., Sisenna ${ }^{i}$ Hist. I, IV, Tubero Hist. I, Virg. Aen. X, etc.
Virg. A. VII, IV, Pacuv. Atal. Varr. Prom., Afran. (inc.)


[^35]| 397 | surgere |
| :---: | :---: |
| sacrumVirg. G. I, Sall. <br> Hist. V <br> (?) Plaut. Poen. |  |
| Accius i. |  |
| 398 saucii $\quad$ Acc. Phoen. |  |
| Accius ii. |  |
| supplicium (?) Acc. Epig. |  |
| Lucilius i. |  |
| SamiumLucil. Sat. VII <br> and XIII |  |

## Ennius.

399 spernere Enn. Hect. lytr. Turpilius.
subducere Turp. Lind. and Parater.

Varro i.
400 stupidus Varr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \xi \xi a \gamma$.
Sallust.
suspicere Sall. Jug. Virgil.
subigere (?) Virg.A.I 266 40 I summum (?) Virg. A. II
402 spectare Virg. G. I 158 , etc.
stringere (?) Virg.A.VIII 62
403 succedere Virg. A. VIII
subit 123

| subit |  | (?) Virg.A.VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 362 and IX 34 |
|  | secare | Virg. A. X 107 |
|  |  | and IX 102 |
|  | squalidum | Virg. A. X 314 |
| Terence. |  |  |
|  | scitum | Ter. Andr. |
| Cicero iv. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Gellius.
subnixum
$(=$ Gell. XVII
ii. $\left.4^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$
T-Section.
Gloss. i.
tandem Titin. Gemina 406 tamen toga Plaut. Rud. Titin. Gemina and Fullon

## Plautus i.

tollere
(?) Plaut. Poen.
Ennius.
407 tenacia Enn. Hect. lytr.
tempestas Sall. Jug.
408 trepidare ${ }^{n}$ Virg. A. IX. in 4 and 418

Turpilius.
tangere (?) Turpil. Demetr.

Varro i.
409 triste
(?) Varr.Trihod. Tripyl.

Cicero ii.
410 trahere (?)M.Tull.Deor. Nat. II

Virgil.
tardum (?) Virg. G. II 126.
tendere Ter. Phorm., Virg.
m The two (one?) Virgil quotations are added by Nonius.
n Perhaps inserted here from the 'Virgil' list along with extra-quotations to tangere from A. IX.

|  | turpe | (?) Virg. G. III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 I and 299 |
|  | tenerum | Virg. G. III 326 |
|  | tenue | (?) Virg. G. III |
| 412 | tenet | $335$ <br> (?) Virg. G. IV |
|  |  | 321 and 483 |
|  | tremere | Virg. A. II 199 |
|  | trahere | Virg. A. II 508 and II 550 |
|  | temptare | (?) Virg. A. VIII |
|  | taetrum | (?) Virg. A. X |

Terence.
torquere (?) Ter. Eun.
Cicero iv.
tueri
M. Tull. Epist. ad Caes. I, Virg.

Lucilius ii.
414 tergora
(?) Lucil.
XXVIII
transmittere Lucil. XXVIII

## Plautus ii.

temerarium Plaut. Asin.
Varro ii.
tegetes
Varr. R.R. I 22
taleas
Varr. R.R. I 40

U-Section.
Gloss. i.
volare
415 vastum ${ }^{\circ}$

Ter. Hec.
(?) Cic. de Or. (I)

Plautus i.
ventus Plaut. Cist.
virtus
Plaut. Mil. 676 and 728
Lucretius.
vesci (?) Lucr. V

## Pomponius.

+16 velare $\begin{array}{ll}\text { vomp. Pannuc. } \\ \text { vola } & \text { Varr. Epitaph. }\end{array}$

## Cicero i.

vanum
(?) M. Tull.) Rep. V
Varro i.
417 vastitas Varr.Prom.Lib. Cicero iii.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ultimum } & \text { (?) M.Tull.Off.I }\end{array}$

## Virgil.

418 urguere
(?) Virg. G. II
vincere
(?) Virg. G. III 289 and 17
vertere (?) Virg A. II
419 vindicare - (?) Virg. A. IV
usus
(?) Virg. A.VIII
vita Virg. A. VI
venire Virg. A. VII
420 verrere
via
vestigium Virg. A. V
Lucilius ii.
volutari Lucil.XXX(bis)
vis Lucil. XXVI
(?) Alph. Adverb.
vix (?) Virg. (Aen.)

Results of Analysis of Books IT-IV.
It is plain from the foregoing analysis that the 're-arranged' books retain the characteristic features of the others, and that their evidence must not be neglected in determining the proper
sequence of the fragments of lost Republican literature; although some sections of Book III especially are too small to be of service, and in Book IV the attainment of certainty in our analysis is hindered by a good many things. One of these hindrances however may be something of a help. I refer to the way in which a lemma is occasionally broken up in our MSS. For example, the concluding part ( $256.36-41$ ) of the lemma comparare ( 255.30 256. 41) appears in our MSS. in three portions, separated from the rest of the lemma: viz. vv. 36-37 after 257 . 10, vv. 38-39 after 258. 16, vv. $39-4 \mathrm{I}$ after 262. 36. The last editor of Nonius, Prof. Lucian Mueller, has made a practice of treating almost every case ${ }^{p}$ of the kind in Book IV as a mere scribal error, and has reunited all these straggling portions with the main body of the lemma. Undoubtedly there was every temptation for a scribe to omit part of the lemmas in this book, for they consist of a number of clauses, each beginning with the same word, e.g. Comparare est sociare, adiungere . . . . Comparare, adaequare . . . . Comparare, componere, machinari, and so on. A scribe's eye would readily wander from the word comparare in one line to the same word a few lines below, with the result that the intervening portion would be omitted. The reviser of the MS. would add the omitted portion in the top or bottom margin of the page; and when the MS. came to be copied, the reviser's addition would be written in a wrong part of the text. In the case of 257. 36-37 Comparare iterum aestimare, etc., the word iterum shews us that these lines must have originally formed part of the whole lemma and could not have been set down by Nonius in the place in which our MSS. offer them, viz. in the middle of the lemma Componere. The text presented by our MSS. is clearly wrong: Componere, lenire. Vergilius Aen. lib. I Sed motos praestat componere fluctus. Comparare iterum aestimare. Accius Epinausimache Proin tu id cui fiat, non qui facias, compara. Componere, disponere, constituere, etc. At the same time it is rash to assume that Nonius never made the mistake of entering one or two meanings of a word from one of his lists in oblivion of the fact that he had entered other meanings of the same word from a previous list. We have already found instances in the

[^36]other books of the same word being entered from different lists at different parts of the book; for, as we have seen, there is practically no indication of Nonius' dictionary having been subjected to any process of revision before publication. And in point of fact one or two of these isolated portions of lemmas in Book IV give clear indication of having been set down by Nonius himself in the place which they occupy in our MSS., for their ' leading-quotation 'comes from a list which is precisely the list that should follow in order of sequence at this particular point. Some clear cases of genuine repetitions of this kind I have indicated in the analysis above. Unfortunately it is not possible in each and every case of repetition to obtain clear evidence of genuineness or the reverse.

This leads to another question. How far can we posit a regular order of sequence in Nonius' employment of his various lists, and use this as a means of detecting transpositions and omissions in our MSS.? At first sight there would seem to be no reason why Nonius should not have varied the order in the different books, taking up one list or another as his fancy directed him. But when we look at the actual analysis of the whole work, we are struck with the great regularity with which the lists follow each other ; first the 'Gloss. i.' list, with its characteristic quotations from Titinius, \&c., then the 'Plautus i' list, then the 'Lucretius' list, then the others in the order in which I have enumerated them on pp. 7 sqq., and finally the 'Varro v' list with quotations from Varro's 'Vita Populi Romani' and 'Catus.' That Nonius should have omitted occasionally to consult one or more of his lists is also likely enough on a priori considerations. But how far do the facts attest this? It would of course be absurd to regard every case of absence of representatives of this or that list from this or that book as a proof that something had been omitted in the archetype of our MSS. In Book X, De Mutatis Conjugationibus, there is hardly opportunity for the use of list no. 28 (from a Glossary of Adverbs) ; in Book XI, De Indiscretis Adverbiis, no. 27 (from a Glossary of Verbs) could not well be represented. We cannot be surprised that in this or that book (e.g. Book XVIII, de Genere Ciborum, Book XIII, de Genere Navigiorum) Nonius found nothing available in certain of his lists; and indeed we can see from his use of Gellius' 'Noctes Atticae' how capricious and superficial was his selection even from ample materials. We need not wonder if in Book I he seems to take from Virgil a word
here and a word there so as to make a small alphabetical sequence, or if in Book II, S-Section ( $\mathrm{I}_{72-3}$ ), the use of Plautus (i.e. 'Plautus i') is limited to a few words ending in -tudo. Often indeed the absence of a list is more apparent than real. Nos. 2 (' Plautus $i$ ') and 4 I ('Varro $v$ '), which generally shew themselves near the beginning and at the end of a book, are not really absent from Book XIX, de Genere Armorum. From the first Nonius took catapulta (Plaut. Capt.), from the second, rorarii (Varro V.P.R. III), but finding these lemmas already entered, the first from list no. $I$, the second from list no. 9, he merely appended the quotation from Plaut. Capt. and the quotation from Varro V.P.R. III to the quotations which he had already entered from list no. i (Plaut. Curc., Titinius Setina) and no. 9 (Lucil. VII, Lucil. X). The extra-quotations in a book thus attest the use of a list which has failed to supply the leading-quotation of any lemma. That these extra-quotations were accumulated as Nonius went through his various lists, is certain. For they follow the same order as the lists themselves. Suppose the first extra-quotation to be from list no. 2 ('Plautus i'), the next will be from list no. 3 ('Lucretius'), or, if Lucretius fails to supply a suitable quotation, from the next list, and so on. Now this method of procedure seems to help us to judge rightly the cases of abnormal sequence of the lists. If in any particular book the order of the lemmas with their primary quotations violates the normal sequence observed by Nonius in his use of his lists, and if the order in which the extra-quotations present themselves shews precisely the same deviation, then we can rest assured that the deviation i sdue to Nonius himself, who, for some reason or other, took up one list before, instead of after, another. But if the extraquotations in the book follow the normal order, then we must examine whether the order of the lemmas has not been transposed by some accident in the transmission of the text, such as the detachment of a leaf or the omission (and subsequent insertion at a wrong place) of the contents of a page.

This new clue to the textual criticism of the 'Compendiosa Doctrina' must therefore be made available before we attempt to apply the knowledge we have already gained by the examination of the leading quotations of the lemmas. We must examine the extra-quotations too. To go through the extra-quotations of all the books would take too much space. I shall content myself with giving as a specimen the analysis of the extra-quo-
tations of Book I and of the other Books (excluding II-IV) where the number of extra-quotations is sufficiently large to make an analysis profitable.
v. The extra-quotations ${ }^{9}$ in Books I, $V \cdot X$.

Book I.


3 velitatio

4 tolutim

5 temulenta (I3) M. Tull

|  | Rep. IV, (I5) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Varr. Est mod., |
|  | (33) Varr. Mo- dius |
| cinaedi | (9) Lucil. Sat. I, |
|  | (33) Varr. "Oyos |
|  | $\lambda$ úpas |
| 6 exercitus | (3) Lucr. II, |
|  | (i9) Afran. |
|  | Susp.,(22) Virg. |
|  |  |
| pelicis | (this word re- |
|  | curred in no. |
|  | 32 and Nonius |
|  | re-wrote the |
|  | paragraph with |
|  | the help of Gell, |
|  | IV iii. 3 ) |
| calvitur ${ }^{\text { }}$ | (5) Acc. Eurys., |
|  | (9) Lucil. Sat. |
|  | XVII, (ı 2 ) Pac. |
|  | Dulor., (18) |
|  | Sall. Hist. III |
| 7 frigere | (31) Varr. Virg. |
|  | div., (33) Varr. |
|  | 'Opos $\lambda$ ipas |
| 8 tricae | (9) Lucil. Sat. |
|  | XI, (iI) Turp. |
|  | Demiurg.,(19 ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Afran. Epist., |
|  | (31) Varr. Ge- |
|  | ront. |
| 9 mutus | (5) Acc. Epi- |
|  | naus. |
| io inlex et exlex (9) Lucil. Sat. |  |

q To save space I indicate by numerals, not by the titles hitherto used, the lists from which the extra-quotations have come. Thus the number '(I)' represents 'Gloss. i'; the number '(2)' represents 'Plautus i,' etc. See the table of lists on p. 7. I do not take account of single extra-quotations, where these may have come from a note on the line which forms the leading-quotation.
${ }^{r}$ The two quotations from Pac. Medus come from the note on Plaut. Cas. 169.


[^37]| procacitas | (23) Ter. Hec., | 34 praestringere | (29) M. Tull. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (27?) Liv. |  | Sen., (31) Varr. |
|  | Aegisth. |  | Andab., (34) |
| 24 portitores | Plaut. Men. ${ }^{\text {², }}$, |  | Cic. Fin. IV |
|  | (20)M.Tull.Off. | 37 impertire | $\text { ( } 27 \text { ?) Nov. De- }$ |
|  | I, (23) Ter. |  | cuma, M. Tull. |
|  | Phorm., (30) |  | Rep.V, M. Tull. |
|  | Plaut. Asin., |  | ad Hirt. V |
|  | Virg. VI ${ }^{\text {y }}$, (31) | 40 supersedere | (36) Sis. Hist. |
|  | Varr. Myst. |  | IV |
| 27 exodium | (31) Varr. Taф. | 43 viritim | (30) Plaut. Aul., |
|  | $\mathrm{M} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { L }}$, |  | (41) Varr. |
| 28 compedes | Varr. Flaxt. ${ }^{2}$, (31)Varr.Parm., |  | V.P.R. I <br> (34) M. Tull. |
|  | (31)Varr.Parm., Varr. Sesq. | concinnare | Fin. IV, (39) id. |
| 29 pedetemptim | (25) Lucil. |  | Acad. I |
|  | XXVII, (28?) | 51 laevum | (26) or (38) |
|  | Quadrig. Ann., |  | Enn. Ann. III |
|  | Caelins.Ann.VI | 53 vestibula | (37) Cic. Orat. |
| mediocritas | (25) Lucil. |  | (38 ?) Laber. |
|  | XXVII, (28?) |  | Paupert. |
|  | Ter. Andr. (39) | 54 recepticium | (37) Cic. de Or. |
|  | Cic. Tusc. III |  | II |
| 30 dirum | (29) M. Tull. | 55 arcera | (35?) Varr. |
|  | Sen. |  | Geront. |
| 30 exordium | M.Tull. Hort. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | colinam | Plaut. Most. ${ }^{\text {e }}$, |
|  | (25) Lucil. |  | (4I) Varr. |
|  | XXIX, (26?) |  | V.P.R. I |
|  | Cic. Tim., (33) | 56 infans | Acc. Atham ${ }^{\text {f }}$, |
|  | Varn."Opos $\lambda$ ípas |  | (37) Cic. de Or. |
| inops | Virg. G. I ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (23) |  |  |
|  | Ter. Adelph., | 66 politiones praeficae | (4I ?) Varr. |
|  | (29) M. Tull. |  | (41) Varr. |
|  | Off. II |  | V:P.R. IV |
| 31 sudum | Plaut. Mil. ${ }^{\text {c, }}$, | 67 proletarii | (4I) Varr. |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} (25) & \text { Lucil. } \\ \text { XXIX } & \end{array}$ |  | V.P.R. I |
| irritare | Virg. $\mathrm{X}^{\text {d }}$, Sall. | Bоok V. |  |
|  | Hist. $I^{\text {d }}$, (25) |  |  |
|  | Lucil. XXVIII, | 422 horrendum (5) Acc. Medea, |  |
|  | (30) Plaut. | et horri- | (9) Lucil. Sat. |
|  | Amph., (3I) | dum | XVI, (13) M. |
|  | Varr. Sesq. |  | Tull. Rep. I, |

[^38]IV, (35 A) Varr Epist. ad Caes., (36) Sisenna Hist. IV
(8) Acc. Neopt., Acc. Androm., (1r) Turp. Demetr., (12) Pac. Dulor.,(r8)Sall. Jug. bell., (3r) Varr. Parm.
425 fors et for- (9) Lucil. Sat. XIII, (35.A) Varr. Epist. ad Fuf.
ferus et ferox (18) Sall. Jug. bell., (22) Virg. Aen. II, III
anticus et ( $\mathbf{I}_{3}$ ) M. Tull. antiquior Rep. I, (24) M. Tull. ad Caes. iun. III (II) Turp. Demetr. ${ }^{5}$, (?) Varr. Arm. iud. s, Lucil. Sat. XX, (19)Afran. Vop., (29) M. Tull. Hort., (37) id. de Or.
429 urbs et (I2) Pacuv. Tull. Rep. I, II, (36) Sisenna
431 merx et (3I) Varr. mercatura Sexag., (?) Serenus Opusc ${ }^{\text {h }}$, (39) Cic. Tusc. $\mathrm{V},(38$ ?) et de Off.
433 iuventus et (22) Virg. G. iuventa III, Varr. Tithon. ${ }^{\text {i }}$
morata et (29) M. Tull. morosa Sen., (30)Plaut. Aul.

44 r festinare et Virg. IV, id. properare Aen. II ${ }^{k}$

Book VI.

| 4.55 rostrum | (7) Nov. Pae dium, (9) Lucil. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Sat. V, IX, (15) |
|  | Varr. Peripl. II, |
| rictum | Varr. Serr. <br> (6) Pomp. Pros |
|  | tib., (9) Lucil. |
|  | Sat. III, (15) |
|  | Varr. Pap. pap. |
| 457 catuli | (3) Lucr. V, (9) |
|  | Lucil. Sat. IV, |

Book VII (I) Active Voice Section.
467 aucupavi (5) Acc. Asty., Acc. Medea
vagas SerenusOpusc ${ }^{1}$,
(?) Pacuv. Medus,Acc.Bacch., Turp. Leuc.,(5) Acc. Tereus, Acc. Medea, (io) Enn. Hect. lytr.,(12)Pacuv. Perib., (I5) Varr. Pseud. Apoll., (3I) Varr. Herc. t. f. ( 1 I) Turp. Philop., Turp. Het. (27?) Atta Lucubr., Caecil. Ploc., Naev. Bell. Poen. IV (27?) Enn. Melan., Pacuv. Chrys., Cic. ad Calvum, Virg. A.VII, M. Tull. Rep. IV
${ }^{5}$ Perhaps from notes on the two Lucilius passages.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ See section vii.


| 488 | vulgariam | (i i) Turp. Thrasyl., (i9) Afran. Privignus |  |  | tul., Varr. Sard. Venal., Acc. Alphes.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | nefantia | $\begin{array}{ll} (25) & \text { (Lucil.) } \end{array}$ | 497 | Acc. pro | (2) Plaut. Men., |
|  |  | XXIX, (26) or (35) Varr. |  | Abl. | Plaut. Trin., (9) Lucil. Sat. I, |
|  |  | Sciam. |  |  | Lucil. (i) Sat. Turp. |
|  | tumulti | ( i I) Turp. |  |  | Epicl., Paed., |
|  |  | Paed., (18) Sall. |  |  | (12) Pacuv. |
|  |  | Catil. bell., (19) |  |  | Dulor. |
|  |  | Afran. Vop. |  | Acc. vel | (13) M. Tull. |
| 490 | itiner | (12) Pacuv. |  | Nom. pro | Rep. V., (15) |
|  |  | Atal., Pacuv. |  | Abl. | Varr. Cygn., |
|  |  | Dulor. |  |  | Varr. Prom. |
| 491 | soniti et | (26 ?) Caecil. |  |  | Lib., (18) Sall. |
|  | sonu | Chalc., (36) Sis. |  |  | Hist. II., (19) |
|  |  | Hist. III |  |  | Afran. Vop., |
|  |  |  |  |  | Afran. Fratr, |
|  | Boor | IX. |  |  | ${ }^{(25)}$ Lucil. |
| 495 | Acc. Sing. | (2) Plaut. Pers., |  | Gen. pro | (2)Plaut.Amph., |
|  | pro Gen. | (3) Lucr. VI, |  | Abl. | (6) Pomp.Papp. |
|  | Plur. | Ter. Hec. $\mathrm{q}^{\text {, (5) }}$ |  |  | Agr., (8) Acc. |
|  |  | Acc. Eurys., |  |  | Erigona. (9) |
|  |  | Acc. Epinaus., |  |  | Lucil. Sat. VI, |
|  |  | (7) Nov. Eu- |  |  | VIII, IX, (12) |
|  |  | rys., (1 I) Turp. |  |  | Pac. Perib.,(I3) |
|  |  | Epicl., (12) |  |  | M. Tull. Rep. |
|  |  | Pacuv. Perib., |  |  | III, (19) Afran. |
|  |  | (15) Varr. Pap. |  |  | Except., (4I) |
|  |  | pap., Varr. |  |  | Varr. V.P.R.II |
|  |  | V.P.R. ${ }^{\text {r }}$, (Lu- |  |  | ((2)Plaut.Capt., |
|  |  | cil.) XVIII ${ }^{\text {r }}$, |  |  | (18) Sall. Jug. |
|  |  | (18) Sall. Hist. |  |  | bell., (20) M. |
|  |  | I, (19) Afran. |  |  | Tull. Off. I, (22) |
|  |  | Vop., (29) M. |  |  | Virg. A. I, (29) |
|  |  | Tull. Off. III |  |  | M. Tull. Hort., |
|  | Gen. pro | (2) Plaut. Aul., |  |  | (3ז)Varr.Eum.) |
|  | Acc. | (9) Lucil. Sat. |  |  | (2) Plaut. |
|  |  | VII, (ii) Turp. |  |  | Epid., Plaut. |
|  |  | Leuc., (25) Lu- |  |  | Mil.) |
|  |  | cil. XXVIII, | 499 | Dat. pro | (2) Plaut. Truc., |
|  |  | (30) Plaut. Aul. |  | Acc. | (8) Acc. Me- |
|  |  | (veretur illam |  |  | leag., (I3) M. |
|  |  | rem(1 2 ) Pacuv. |  |  | Tull. Rep. IV, |
|  |  | Herm., (27) or |  |  | (18) Sall. Catil. |
|  |  | (38) Atta Gra- |  |  | bell., (25)Lucil. |



This specimen-analysis of the extra-quotations shews clearly that they follow the order of Nonius' lists and that they were accumulated in the manner described on pp. 4, 81. That is why the size

[^39]of the lemmas so often gradually diminishes as we proceed through a book. The opening lemmas of a book have a number of lists to draw from, while the closing lemmas have at the most one or two. If several extra-quotations are taken from any particular list, they follow the order of the passages in the text from which Nonius compiled his list; so that in determining the order of the fragments of a lost author, the evidence of the extra-quotations must not be neglected. This gives us a test to apply to our analysis of the complicated lemmas of Book IV, in which it is so often hard to pick out the 'leading quotation.' If the quotations which we characterize as 'extra-quotations' belong invariably to lists that come later in order of sequence than the list which has furnished the 'leading quotation,' then our analysis will stand the test.

The question proposed on p. 81 may now be answered. In no case do the extra-quotations confirm an abnormal arrangement of the author-sequences, such as that in the A-Section, and other sections, of Book II. The theory that Nonius in these abnormally arranged sections capriciously departed from his usual order of consulting his lists receives no support from an examination of the order in which the extra-quotations occur. In this respect as in others the extra-quotations tell the same tale of a mechanical uniformity of procedure, which we should associate rather with a merchant's compilation of his ledger than with a scholar's composition of a learned work.

## vi. Application of these results to the textual criticism of Nonius.

We have now obtained the key to the composition of the Compendiosa Doctrina. We have seen with what mechanical regularity Nonius went through his lists one by one in a fixed sequence and set down in the order in which they came to hand the words suitable for the particular book on which he was engaged. To each word he appended the quotation of the sentence from which he had taken it, adding any parallel passage which he might find in the marginal note in his edition of the author. These leading quotations were gradually increased in number by the accessions they received from other lists; and the accessory quotations too were set down in the order in which Nonius came across them.

It remains to enquire how far this or that deviation from the
normal course of procedure entitles us to pronounce a passage to be corrupt, or its arrangement to have been accidentally altered in the course of the transmission of the text, and how far passages in our MSS. which are obviously corrupt, or out of place, can be restored by means of our new knowledge of the structure of Nonius' dictionary.

The most important question for the textual criticism of the Compendiosa Doctrina is whether Books II-IV took their present arrangement in alphabetical sections from Nonius himself or first received it at a later, say the mediaeval, period. In some of our MSS. we find the whole of the Compendiosa Doctrina re-arranged in alphabetical sequence, and thus made more suitable for use as a dictionary. Was the same process applied some time earlier to the archetype of our MSS. ? The answer to this question will determine whether the title-heading Per Litteras in Books II-IV is as spurious as it seems to be in Book I.

I do not know that we have the means of answering the question with certainty. That there has been a re-arrangement of these books can hardly be doubted, for their contents must have been at some time or other un-alphabetical ${ }^{\text {t }}$, unless we are to suppose that Nonius took the pains to go through bis forty-one (or fortythree) lists for each of the eighteen sections of all the three books; but I cannot see any clear proof that they were not originally published in their present form. It is true that there is more departure from the normal order of the 'author-sequences' in the first of the three books than in any other book " of the Compendiosa Docirina, and it would be a natural result of the interference of a mediaeval editor that at the outset of his re-arrangement the existing order of the lemmas was somewhat violently disturbed. Of course it might be argued that Nonius himself might on occasion have departed from the usual order of consultation of

[^40]his lists; although the striking regularity in this respect in the rest of his Dictionary speaks against this theory. But it seems to me that the facts point rather to the disturbance having been a mere accident in the transmission of the text and not the deliberate work, either of Nonius himself or of a mediaeval abbot. Section A, for example, of Book II begins at p. 68 M. apparently with a Sisenna-sequence ( $a \not p u d$, Sis. Hist. IV ; apisci, Sis. Hist. IV), and is followed by sequences from the later lists, while the list, which Nonius elsewhere uses first, does not come into play until p. 71. The evidence however, such as it is, that is furnished by the extra-quotations in the Book does not favour the view that Nonius really used his Sisenna-list first in compiling this section; for the extra-quotation from Sisenna to the lemma amolimini (p. 73) suggests that the Sisenna-list was consulted not at the beginning but later in the series of lists, presumably at its usual place in the series. The Sisenna-list is usually brought under contribution immediately before the list compiled from Cicero Orat. and de Or, ('Cicero vii'). The lemmas taken from that list appear in this section at p. 7 I (adtendere, Cic. de Or. I; adcommodat, Cic. de Or. II) and are immediately preceded by the lemma adestom from Sis. Hist. III, while the Sisenna-sequence at the beginning of the section is followed by the lemma adipatum from Cic. Orat. Clearly the opening lemmas of the section have been torn away from their proper position at the end of p .70 ; and the most natural way to account for the occurrence is to suppose that, after some scribe had omitted them at their proper place, a reviser of the MS. inserted them at the beginning of the section. The clear evidence of accidental disturbance at this part of the MS. makes one inclined to believe that the following sequences too ('Cicero viii', 'Varro ii', 'Gellius', 'Varro iii'), which precede the usual opening sequence (Gloss. i'), owe their place to the same accident, although there are no 'ragged ends' of sequences to shew where the text of the section has been rent. The I-Section of the same book begins (p. 122) with the lemma incurviscere, which is followed by a 'Cicero viii' sequence, after which the usual opening sequence ('Gloss. i') puts in an appearance (p. 123). Now the lemma incurviscere (Cic. de Or. III) is the 'ragged end' of the 'Cicero vii' sequence, which appears at p. I 30 : iactuosac, Cic. Orat. 125 ; incisim, Cic. Orat. 213 ; inportatum, Cic. de Or. I 38 ; infitiatores, Cic. de Or. I 168; insignite, Cic. de Or. II 349 ;
invitius, Cic. de Or. II 364. Here again we have clear traces that the lemmas with which our MSS. begin the section, had been omitted by some scribe and afterwards inserted by a reviser. The abnormal order of the author-sequences in the P-section perhaps admits of similar explanation *. Our archetype, apparently an early minuscule MS., say of the eighth century, cannot have failed to exhibit more than one instance of transposition on a large scale, the result, let us suppose, of a scribe having accidentally passed over a page or a leaf, the contents of which were afterwards inserted by a reviser either at the beginning of the book or alphabetical section, or at some other place, or of a loose leaf having been either reversed (so that page 1 became page 2 and vice versa) or fastened in at a wrong part of the book ${ }^{y}$.

Minor transpositions of single lines or single lemmas are so common an occurrence in MSS. of this kind that we need have no scruple in resorting to this explanation of an apparent violation
$x$ The opening Iemmas of the S -section are suspiciously like 'Gellius', 'Varro iii', 'Varro ii', 'Alph. Verb.', 'Alph. Adverb.', 'Cicero iv' and ' Plant. ii (i ?)' lemmas, thrown all together without much trace of arrangement. But see below, section vii.
y The traditional arrangement of the lemmas in Book VI lends itself readily to an explanation of this kind. It would be the natural result of a common kind of displacement of the leaves in that archetype, a detached leaf of which in the part containing Book IV has already been mentioned (p. 6). Each leaf of that archetype contained about three pages of Mercier's edition, each page therefore contained about a page and a half of the same. Suppose the fourth, or interior, broadsheet (i.e. 2 leaves, i.e. 4 pages) of a quaternion to have contained on its four pages (1) bibere (p. 453 M.)-bicipitem (p. 454), (2) defecata ( p .454 )-fatum ( p .455 ), (3) equisones ( p .450 )-ebrii et ieiuni ( p .45 I ), (4) ebrii ct ienni (p. 451)-transgressus (p. 452); and suppose this broadsheet, after having become loose, to have been inserted before page I of the quaternion and to have been turned inside out previous to this insertion. The order of its pages would then be: (3), (4), (1), (2), producing the order of these lemmas in our MSS. Suppose further the sixth leaf of the quaternion, containing on its first page edolare (p. 448)-interfici et occidi (p. 449), and on its second, interfici et occidi-abdicare (p. 450), to have become loose, to have dropped out, and to have been re-inserted before the inserted broadsheet. These two re-arrangements of the quaternion, which would not be at all unnsual occurrences for a mediaeval MS., would produce exactly the present abnormal arrangement of the lemmas in Book VI. Bibcre and libido, the two 'ownerless' lemmas at the end of the 'Gellius' sequence, would then belong to the 'Cicero iv' sequence. They are the 'ragged end,' which gives indication of a rent in the original texture of the book.
of the sequence observed by the lemmas of Nonius. The necessity of caution, however, is enforced by instances like the lemma fastidiliter in the F-Section of Book II (p. 112). It comes at the end of a batch of adverbs taken from the 'Alph. Adverb.' list, a list which Nonius seems to have compiled from a Glossary of Adverbs arranged in true alphabetical sequence. The lemmas taken from this list for this section are: facul, famulanter, fluctuation, fortunatim, frustatim, fastidiliter. The true place of fastidiliter (with quotation of a line of Varro's Menippean Satire 'Cras Credo, Hodie Nihil') would at first sight seem to be between famulanter and fluctuatim. But a reference to $\mathbf{1} 39.29$ suggests that Nonius had entered the quotation on his rough list under the heading mutatiliter, an adverb which is found in the same passage of Varro:
quíbus instabilis ánimus ardens mútatiliter hávet habere et nón habere fástidiliter ínconstanti péctore,
so that it really stood further down on his list than the other adverbs beginning with the letter F . On the other hand no hesitation is required in applying our newly discovered tests in defence of the traditional text. For instance the lemma prognariter in the P-Section of the same book ( 154.25 ) has been changed by the last German editor of Nonius, Prof. Lucian Mueller, to praegnaziter, in spite of the fact that the lemma recurs at 150.5 in the same form, the form likewise exhibited by the MSS. of Plautus in the line quoted by Nonius (Persa 588). We now know from the above analysis of contents of Book II that the lemma was taken by Nonius from this 'Alph. Adverb.' list. The batch of lemmas from this source is: palaestricos, populatim, praesente, primiter, prognariter, properatim. The change of prognariter to praegnaviter would disturb the alphabetical order. A cautious editor will not alter the traditional text of Book XIX, 555.5-8, with this Virgilsequence; cetra (Aen. VII), peltae (Aen. I), gaesa (Aen. VIII), but will content himsclf with calling attention to the fact that the true place of the lemma peltae may be before, and not after, the lemma cetra. For Nonius may have found the word peltae and its quotation from Aen. I in a note on the word cetra in the line quoted from Aen. VII. (Cf. the Plaut. Aul. quotations on P. 541 and p. 549.)

These minor cases of transposition, which, after all, are more the concern of an editor of Nonius than of the general student, have been, for a great part, indicated in the notes to the above
analyses of contents. I will mention here only one or two illus. trative examples. The lemma toralium in Book I, with quotation from Varr. V.P.R. I, is printed by editors of Nonius at II. I1, in the middle of a 'Plantus i' series : . . . inlex et exlex, Persa 407; lurcones, Persa 42 I ; toralium Varr. V.P.R. I ; concenturiare, Plaut. Pseud., etc. But in our MSS. it stands in the middle of the lemma inlex et exlex thus :

INLEX et EXLEX est qui sine lege vivat. Plautus Persa : inpure, inhoneste, iniure, inlex [torialim et toralium designator est Varro de Vita Populi Romani lib. I, etc.], labes popli.

That it stood in the margin of some archetype is clear from the way it has intruded into the text. (The same holds of the lemma fora et fori at p. 428 M.) If two lemmas were written in the margin in the usual straggling fashion of early minuscule, we should expect to find them intruding into the text not merely side by side, as we find paupertas and pandere in the 'Plautus ii' sequence in Book I (p. 43) ; zernas, Amph. ғ79, concinnare, Amph. 529 ; paupertas, Varr. V.P.R. I ; pandere, Varr. V.P.R. I; blatis, Amph. 626 ; percontari, Amph. 710 ; prodigia, Amph. 739, etc., but also at an interval of one or more lemmas, as we find ador and $i u$ geri in the 'Gellius' series in the same book (pp. 52-3) : humanitatem, Gell. XIII 17 ; ador, Varr. R.R. I 9 ; faciem, Gell. XIII 30; westibula, Gell. XVI 5 ; bidentes, Gell. XVI 6; iugeri, Varr R.R. I 1o; faenus, Gell. XVI 12, etc. A passage omitted on a page by a scribe would, when the page was revised by the 'corrector' or by the scribe himself, be entered usually on the bottom margin (or the top margin) of the same page, and the next copyist of the MS., when he had copied the last lines of the actual page, would go on naturally to copy the lines which stood immediately beneath them in the bottom margin. If in the D-Section of Book II a page of some archetype ended with the lemma deletile and the next page began with the lemma deletio, we can understand how it has come about that the lemmas datatin, dividia, dulcitas, discorditas, whose proper place is higher up, after the lemma deartunre, stand in our MSS. between deletile and deletio. The sequence here is a 'Lucilius ii" sequence. First comes deblaterare (Lucil. XXX), then delctile (Varr. Modius), then the four intruding lemmas, then deletio (Lucil. XXIX), and depeculassere (Lucil. XXIX). The lemma deletile came in all appearance from a note on deletio in
the line of Lucil. XXIX ; and we can hardly imagine two cognate lemmas like deletile and deletio being separated from each other by anything but an accident ${ }^{*}$.

I will now enumerate as briefly as possible some instances in which our new knowledge seems to throw light on textual questions. Near the end of Book VII a part of the lemma potior illam rem, containing an extra-quotation from Turpilius' 'Lindia' :
me vís potiri ? fác, ego potiar quód volo,
was omitted by the scribe of some archetype and appears in our MSS. at the end of the following lemma opus est illam rem. Editors, not knowing its exact place in its proper lemma, print it at the end, after the Pacuvius quotation. Our new knowledge of the order in which Nonius' lists contributed both lemmas and extra-quotations, enables us to assign it with certainty a place between the extra-quotation from the 'Accius i' list and that from the 'Pacuvius' list. In Book IV p. 393 the extra-quotation from Varro Eum. to the lemma spurcum is taken by Mueller from the place it occupies in the MSS. and put before the quotation from Plautus Asin. This would disturb the proper order, for the lemma belongs to a 'Plautus i' series and the line of Plaut. Asin. is the leading quotation. The same editor brackets two lemmas on p. 414, tegetes, Varr. R.R. I 22 ; taleas, Varr. R.R. I 40, in spite of the fact that they form a quite regular 'Varro iv' sequence and occupy the place proper to this sequence.

At 222. 13 Mueller cannot be right in transposing and bracketing the quotation from Cicero ('M. Tullius') Phil. I, for it holds its proper place as a quotation from the 'Cicero iv' list. At 419. Io Mueller deletes a sub-heading (vindicare, revocare) of the lemma vindicare. Its removal would disturb the natural order of the lists and make an extra-quotation from the 'Cicero iii' list (no. 20) follow extra-quotations from later lists. (Mueller's treatment of 333 . I5; 344. 39-40; 40I. 3-5 and many similar passages is open to the same criticism.) At 483.23 (s.v. quaesti) the MSS. offer :

Novius : pér deam sanctám Lavernam, quaé cultrix † quaestuisit.
Mueller ingeniously supposes the name of the play (in the Abl. Case) Paedio to have dropped out before per deam, and argues that

[^41]the omission of any mention of the play is suspicious. But the addition of the titles of Novius' plays is the rule only in the quotations from the 'Novius' list. This quotation, as we may infer from its position among the extra-quotations (see p. 86), came from a note on the line of Plaut. Aul. (the leading quotation), and there is no reason for supposing that the commentator in Nonius' copy of Plautus cited Novius in the same manner. (Similarly in 378.9 Varro Prometheo need not be changed to V.P. libero.) Among the lemmas furnished by the 'Alph. Verb.' list, a list which exhibits strict alphabetical arrangement, in the A-Section of Book II two are spelt in our MSS. affectare and abscondidit. But their position, the first between adaxint and adiugare, the second between albicatur and attigat, suggests that at least in the Glossary from which Nonius took them, if not in Nonius' original text, the spelling must have been adfectare and apscondidit. (Similarly conmetare p. 89, taetret p. 178.) The lemmas from the same list in the B-Section of Book II are bacchari, blaterare, bount; but between blaterare and bount editors insert a supposed lemma blandities on the strength of a quotation from Caecilius' ' Hymnis' which contains the noun blandities but not the verb blatero. A noun however is out of place in a verb-series; and it seems more likely that the quotation originally exhibited the verb blatero ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

In the U-Section the 'Cicero vii' list (from Cic. 'Orator' and 'de Oratore,' in this order) has as its first lemma vultuosum (Cic. Orat, 60), and as its second vermiculatum with quotation of a line of Lucilius which occurs both in the 'Orator' (\$ 149) and in the third book of the 'de Oratore' (§ 17r). Since lemmas from a later part of the 'Orator' and from earlier parts of the 'de Oratore' follow, viz. venalicii, Orat. 232, vocare, de Or. I ; verecundari, de Or. III 36 ; versutiloquas, de Or. III 154 , the reference for the Lucilius quotation should properly be 'Cicero in Oratore et (or idem) de Oratore lib. III.' What the MSS. actually shew is 'in Oratore lib. III.' In the scanty G-Section of Book III the MSS. offer as a leading quotation for the lemma gladius a line

[^42]of Lucilius, but without indication of the book from which it comes. Since the 'Lucilius i' series shews itself earlier in the section and the lemma gladius is followed by a lemma from the 'Varro ii' list, it would appear to be the 'Lucilius ii' list which has furnished gladius. There is therefore a probability that the quotation comes from the last five books of the Satires (so also r65, 9).

In the S-Section of Book III (p. 226) the illustrative quotation for suasiones has been lost through homoeoteleuton. It appears to have come from a play of Accius, Pomponius or Novius, for the preceding lemma, squalor, comes from Acc. Eurys. ('Accius i') and the following, servitus, from Acc. Clyt. ('Accius ii'). In the A-Section of Book IV (235. r) the name of the play of Accius, from which comes a quotation for the lemma aptam, appears in the MSS. in the corrupt form 'Demer.' We have seen that the suggestion of a lemma in this book often came to Nonius from finding in the same list, or in two neighbouring or approximate lists, the same word exhibiting two different senses. On his 'Accius i' list he found aptus in the sense of adeptus, (Acc. Melan. : óbviam ensi it, quem ádvorsum aptus álter in promtu óccupat), and the other Accius quotation (later in the lemma) with aptus in the sense of conexus et conligatus would seem to have come either from the same list or from the 'Accius ii' list. Since the number of Accius' plays used for the compilation of these two lists was limited, the possibilities of conjectural emendation of the corrupt 'Demer' are narrowed too. The true title may be Medea, for the Medea comes later in the 'Accius i' list than the Melanippa. In 552, $\mathrm{r}_{5}$, the Novius paceuo of the MSS. should probably be Novius Paedio. A Pacuvius quotation would be out of place. That the position of Q-lemmas among the C-lemmas is due to Nonius himself is indicated by the correct arrangement, e.g. of the lemma quatere ( $\mathrm{p} .27^{2}$ ) in the C-section of Book IV at the end of a Terence-sequence. The line from which Nonius got the suggestion of the lemma was apparently Ter. Eun. 358: homo quatietur certe cum dono foras, where quatere appeared as the equivalent of excludere. This position of the Q-lemmas does not however imply that Nonius used the spelling cuatere, etc.; for it may have been a mere matter of convenience, designed to reduce the number of sections in the book. Similarly there is no separate section for K-leminas. In Book X 'fervitur aeque pro fervetur' with its quotation from Afranius 'Vopiscus' stands
after a Pacuvius sequence: expedibo 'pro expediam,' nolito 'pro noli,' axim, 'egerim,' quite at the proper place for the use of the Afranius list. Mueller should not transpose it to the end of the lemma fervit, the opening lemma of the book. His arrangement is the more convenient one, no doubt, but it was not the arrangement made by Nonius. Similarly in Book XII the lemma negativae duae has been carelessly repeated by Nonius, who took the first lemma from his 'Varro iii' list (p. 530, with quotation from Varro 'Bimarcus'), and the second from his 'Varro v' list (p. 532, with quotation from Varro 'Vita Populi Romani' II).

Nonius' manner of building up his paragraphs by the addition of fresh material in the shape of extra-quotations, as they came to hand from the lists which he took up, one after another, explains to us, when we remember that his compilation was apparently published without revision of any kind, the awkward arrangement of lemmas like concinnare ( 43 M .17 ) from the 'Plautus ii' list in Book I. From an entry on this list (Plaut. Amph. 529) Nonius got the word concinnare with its etymology from cinnus. From the same list (Asin. 216) he got the same word, apparently with another etymology, from cano. Later, from the 'Cicero vi' and 'Cicero viii' lists he got examples of concino, and deemed this lemma a suitable place for them, so that the whole reads thus :

> Concinnare est facere ; ut Plautus Amphitryone ; 'lacrimantem ex abitu concinnas tu tuam uxorem':

sed proprietas verbi haec est, quod apud veteres cinnus potionis genus ex multis liquoribus confectum dici solet. -_ Recte autem concinnare et consentire intellegi potest, quasi concennare, ut multis diverse canentibus unus efficitur modus. Plautus Asinaria:
'auceps quando concinnavit aream, obfundit cibum.'

- M. Tullius de Finibus Bonorum et Malorum lib. IV: 'cum is, cum quibus re concinebat, verbis discrepare.' - Idem in Academicis lib. I : 'qui cum similitudine verbi concinere maxime sibi videretur.'

Paragraphs like these have been a stumbling-block to editors, who have insisted on re-casting them as they would undoubtedly have been re-cast, had Nonius' dictionary been properly revised before publication. But our new knowledge of Nonius' method of work shews us the correctness of the traditional arrangement.

An instructive example is found in the paragraph on cernere (p. 260 M.). At v. 7 of Mueller's page, we have: 'Cernere, amittere,' with a sentence from a Menippean satire of Varro, who quotes the famous line of Ennius Medea :
ter sub armis malim vitam cernere quam semel modo parere.

At another part of the paragraph we find 'Cernere rursum dimicare vel contendere,' with the same line quoted from Ennius' tragedy, but with vita (Abl.), not vitam (Acc.). We need not re-cast the paragraph nor bracket as spurious one or other of the quotations, nor even adapt the second to the first by the change of vita to vitam. We must picture to ourselves Nonius mechanically plodding through his lists and selecting from his 'Varro ii' list the Gerontodidascalus quotation, with its explanation of cernere as amittere, and from his 'Ennius' list the Medea quotation, as he found it in his copy of Ennius, with its explanation of cernere as dimicare.

In the paragraph on tollere (p. 406 M .) editors take offence at the repetition of the sub-heading 'tollere, occidere' (406, 22 and 407,10 ). But this repetition of the same sub-heading within a paragraph is of a piece with the repetition of the same lemma within a book. Both are the result of Nonius' dictionary having been published without any attempt at revision.

In the paragraph on siccum (p. 395 M.) Mueller's transposition of vv. 4-6 inproves the sense, but it runs counter to Nonius' order of sequence. The same editor has not justification for his theory (and frequent practice) in Book IV of transposing the sub-heading that contains the normal sense of the word to the beginning of the paragraph (e.g. occupatus, p. 355 M.; pretium, p. 365 M.; ducere, p. 282 M .), and in ruling out all paragraphs which contain only one meaning of a word (e.g. agerere, p. 242 M.; captare, ${ }_{2} 75$ M. ; trasmittere 414 M.; tegetes 414 M.; taleas 414 M.).

The paragraph religio (p. 379 M.) should be left in its traditional arrangement. Nonius took the lemma religio, with the quotation from Varro Anthrop. from the 'Gloss. i' list and added extraquotations from the 'Lucretius,' 'Virgil,' 'Terence,' 'Lucilius ii' and 'Cicero $v$ ' lists, and after all this got a new sub-heading religiosi dies from his 'Gellius' list. (Cf. specula et speculum, p. 434 M.) The paragraph dolitum (p. 99 M.) need not be altered.

These examples of the applcation of our discoveries to the textual criticism of the Compendiosa Doctrina might easily be greatly multiplied ; but I pass to a more interesting subject, namely the information which may be gleaned from Nonius' use of his various sources regarding the state of the text of these authors in Nonius' time, and regarding other points of literary history.

## vii. Nonius' sources: their nature and the manner in which he used them.

The attempt ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to copnect Nonius' explanations of words and illustrative quotations with this or that ancient commentary on this or that author has been a failure. Indeed it may be questioned whether Nonius' lemmas in author-sequences imply the use of a copius commentary, such as Servius' commentary on Virgil or Donatus' commentary on Terence. It is the exception, and not the rule, for the leading-quotation to a lemma to be accompanied by a parallel passage taken from a note on the line which furnished the leading-quotation, or for one lemma to be followed hy another lemma which has come from a note on the line containing the first. All that we usually ${ }^{c}$ find in the case of author-sequences (as contrasted with glossary or grammarian-sequences) is the explanation of the word and a single leading-quotation, namely of the line from which Nonius derived his lemma. The extraquotations come of course, not from the list which furnished the leading-quotation, but from the other lists successively consulted by Nonius. This suggests rather a form of text such as we find in more than one extant MS. that dates from Nonius' own time, that is to say, a text provided with a number of brief marginal notes. And that Nonius derived his lemmas from actual texts and not from mere commentaries is indicated by his habit of quoting the whole line or sentence in which the word occurs, while it is the practice of ancient commentaries, e.g. of Servius, Donatus, Asconius, to prefix to a note merely two or three words of text.

[^43]Further, that Nonius himself read through the texts or at least the marginal annotations of the texts we learn from the curious arrangement of the 'Iucilius ii' list, by which the books of Lucilius' Satires are put under contribution in the reverse order; first Book XXX, then XXIX, then XXVIII, XXVII, XXVI. This can hardly be anything else than a caprice of Nonius in compiling his list. To suppose however the explanations and parallel passages to be due to Nonius' own researches and not to information supplied in marginal notes is contrary to the impression which the Compendiosa Doctrina gives us of Nonius' mental attainments. And it is not favoured by the occurrence of two separate Plautus lists, one containing all the 2 I plays, the other only Amph., Asin., Aul. The forty-one lists, we may take it, represent the compilations from forty-one separate volumes of Nonius' private library or of the library of the town in which he lived. Most of these volumes were texts of authors, but some were glossaries or grammatical works, such as Aulus Gellius' .' Noctes Atticae.' To distinguish a glossary from a grammatical work by means of the lemmas furnished is not an easy task. Had we not possessed the actual source of list no. $3^{2}$, viz. the 'Noctes Atticae,' we might have supposed the lemmas which it furnishes to have come from the pages of a glossary. It is possible that nos. $26,27,28$ ('Gloss. iii,' 'Alph. Verb.,' 'Alph. Adverb.') really represent one source, some large grammatical work ${ }^{d}$ of the kind, with one chapter reserved for a treatment of Verbs, another for a treatment of Adverbs. The 'Gloss. $v$ ' lemmas shew some striking resemblances to Festus' epitome of Verrius Flaccus; although what we possess of Festus is a mere fragment, and Paulus Diaconus' epitome of Festus affords only a scanty and distorted representation of the original.

In the L-section of Book II of Nonius (p. 135) the three last lemmas of this series are:

Luculentitatem, a luculento. Caecilius Hymnide: 'vide luculentitatem eius et magnificentiam.' Laberius Tusca: 'dominus noster tua luculentitate captus.'
${ }^{d}$ Ilow far the occasional references to Nigidius of words from these three lists is significant, I do not know. The word facitur, quoted from a sentence of Nigidius himself, interrupts the alphabetical order of the 'Alph. Verb.' batch of lemmas in Book X (p. 507) : . . . dicebo, Nonius Dapat. ; edim, Caecil. Asot. ; facitur, Nigidius Commentationes Grammaticae, lib. XIX ; evenat, Enn. Hecuba, and so on.

Lustratus, luxuriosus : a lustris, abditis locis. Plautus Casina : 'unde es, nihili? ubi lustratu's? ubi bibisti?'

Lusciosi, qui ad lucernam non vident et $\mu \dot{\omega} \omega \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ vocantur a Graecis. Varro Disciplinarum lib. VIII: 'vesperi non videre, quos appellant lusciosos.' Idem Andabatis: 'edepol idem caecus, non lusciosus est.'

In Paulus' epitome we have on page 86 of Thewrewk's edition : Luculentus, a luce appellatus.

Lustra significant lacunas lutosas, quae sunt in silvis aprorum cubilia. A qua similitudine hi, qui in locis abditis et sordidis ventri et desidiæ operam dant, dicuntur in lustris vitam agere. Et cum eiusdem vocabuli prima syllaba producitur, significat nunc tempus quinquennale, nunc populi lustrationem.

Luscitio, vitium oculorum, quod clarius vesperi quam meridie cernit. In Book V of Nonius the same list furnishes these lemmas:

Legere et Eligere non sub eadem significatione intellegi vult Varro de Rusticatione lib. I . . . ut sit 'legitur' colligitur, ut plerumque ; ' eligitur ' melior quaeque de plurimis.

Miserari et Misereri veteres his sensibus esse voluerunt, ut sit 'miserari' flere et lamentari ; 'misereri' miserationem alienis casibus exhibere ; et activo ad primum effectum, passivo ad secundum. Accius Eurysace . . . et multi similia, sed aliquando variata generibus.

Acerosum et Aceratum, utrumque nove positum, sed distanti proprietate signatum. 'Acerosum' namque panem farre minus purgato nec sordibus a candido separatis dicendum veteres putaverunt. Lucilius lib. XV . . . Hunc Graeci aủtómvpop vocant. 'Aceratum' vero est lutum paleis mixtum, ut laterariis usus est. Lucilius lib. IX . . .

Here acerosum et aceratum disturbs the usual (rough) alphabetical arrangement of the lemmas taken from the 'Gloss. v' list.

In Paulus' epitome of Festus we have in the L-section (82 Th. 22):

Legimus aut scriptum aut oleam glandemve et alia quaedam; et addita praepositione dicimus 'colligimus,' 'deligimus';
and in the M-Section (88 Th. ${ }^{27}$ ) :
Miseratur is qui conqueritur aliena incommoda: 'miseretur'
is qui miserum sublevat. 'Miseret me' eadem forma dicitur qua piget, paenitet, taedet ;
while in the O-Section we find ( 209 Th .1 I) :
Obacerare, obloqui atque alterius sermonem moleste impedire; quod sumptum videtur a paleis, quas Graeci áXvpa vocant. Itaque et frumentum et panis non sine paleis 'acerosus' dicitur ; item lutum 'aceratum ' paleis mixtum.

On the other hand 'Gloss. iv' seems to be separated from Festus by the difference in the quotation illustrative of the word sublestus.
In the S-Section of Book II Nonius has entered from his 'Gloss. iv ' list this lemma:

Sublestum est leve, frivolum. Plautus: 'nam ubi ad paupertatem accessit infamia, paupertas gravior fit, fides sublestior.'

The corresponding lemma in Festus has been in great part preserved (416 Th. 33) :

*     *         * dicebant infir * * * Plautus in Persa: 'ad paupertatem si immigrant infamiae, Gravior paupertas fit, fides sublestior.' Id est infirmior. Item in Nervolaria vinum ait 'sublestissimum,' quia infirmos faciat vel corpore vel animo.

In Paulus' epitome of Festus the lemma is reduced to :
Sublesta infirma et tenuia. Plautus: 'gravior paupertas fit, fides sublestior.' Id est infirmior. Idem vinum ait 'sublestissimum,' quia infirmos faciat vel corpore vel animo.

It is well known that there were two (possibly more) rival ancient editions of Plautus.

The quotation in the source of Nonius' 'Gloss. iv' list follows one edition, with the reading si accessit infamia; the quotation in Festus takes the other version si immigrant infamiae ${ }^{\text {e }}$

Since Nonius' lemmas from 'glossary' lists often shew a wealth of quotations that contrasts markedly with the usual single quotation (i.e. leading-quotation) to lemmas in 'author' sequences, we must suppose the sources from which these 'glossary' lists were compiled to have been something different from the typical mediaeval glossary with its scanty treatment of each word, usually without any illustrative quotation. It is indeed a kind fortune which has preserved for us entire the source of one of these lists, Aulus Gellius' ' Noctes Atticae' ; for we thus get a sight of Nonius'

[^44]method of compiling. We see exactly how much (or rather how little) he took from the materials that were in this case available to him ${ }^{\text {f }}$

Occasionally Nonius adds a Virgil quotation to the materials supplied by Gellius (e.g. 87, 5). This cannot come from the 'Virgil' list, for that list was always consulted by Nonius at an earlier stage in his compilation of a book than the 'Gellius' list. The use of Virgil to illustrate the commoner meanings of words in Book IV has already (p. 37) suggested to us the possibility that many Virgilquotations in the 'Compendiosa Doctrina' come from Nonius himself. The Virgilian lemmas and quotations in the 'Gloss. v' series may therefore not belong to the actual glossary used by Nonius. Other quotations which one would be inclined to regard as Nonius' own contributions are those from late authors like Serenus, Gadullius Gallicanus, Apuleius.

Since 'Gloss. v' comes at the end of Nonius' lists, it is easy to assign to it with certainty its own extra-quotations ${ }^{6}$, whereas in the case of other 'glossary' sources there is often considerable difficulty in deciding whether an extra-quotation has come from the list which furnishes the Iemma and leading quotation or from a list consulted later by Nonius. Often we get a clue from an examination of the citations of authors in the different 'glossaries ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$.'

[^45]Of all the 'glossary' sources the most doubtful is 'Gloss. ii.' Even its existence is doubtful ; for it is most in evidence in Book I, and the lemma-series there assigued to it (valgus, vatrax, vatax, silo, broncus, compernis, varus) may be in reality a collection made from all his lists by Nonius himself. Possibly he had the intention of making a separate book on 'Adjectives denoting physical peculiarities,' but changed his mind on finding their number insufficient for his purpose ${ }^{i}$; although, so far as that goes, Book XX has equally slender material.

And the source of Book XX is equally obscure, for in this book, and in no other, illustrative quotations are wholly lacking. There is only a vague reference to Afranius and the early Jurists : de quibus exempla multa sunt in antiquis auctoribus, et maxime in Afranio et iuris vetustissimis scriptoribus. But Prof. Lucian Mueller has ingeniously argued that this list of words of relationship must come from some writer of the Augustan Age, since it begins with the two words caesares, and agrippae. Nonius may have taken his materials for the book from one of his 'Glossary' lists, e.g. 'Gloss. v.'

On the other hand, 'Gloss. i' stands out very clearly. It is almost as definitely a Titinius-glossary as 'Gloss. iv' is a Varro. glossary, although Varro is largely quoted in 'Gloss. i' also. And since no alphabetical arrangement is recognizable, it may well be

The close connexion of 'Gloss. iii ', 'Alph. Verb.' and 'Alph. Adverb.' is seen in the similarity of their citations. Both the dramas and the Epic of Ennius are cited, the latter with statement of the number of the book, e.g. 'Ennius Annal. IX.' Caecilius is widely used (especially the Hyp. Rastr., Fall., Asot.), the name of the play being always mentioned. Laberius is less, and Livius Andronicus more used than in 'Gloss. v.' While 'Gloss. v' draws only from the plays of Naevius, there three cognate sources cite both his plays and his 'Bellum Poenicum' (with mention of the number of the book). They use freely both Pomponius and Novius, of which authors there is scarcely a mention in 'Gloss. v.' The quotations from Sueius 'Pulli ' seem to be peculiar to them.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ Hamiotae, which may be the first lemma of this sequence, occurs in Plaut. Rud. 310 ; and in the following lines of this play there is a string of Adjectives (including silanus) descriptive of personal appearance. Does the sequence really originate from the 'Plautus i' list? We must not fail to notice that the Lucilius citations follow Nonius' own distinction (see pp. 8-9), e.g. 'Lucil. Sat. lib. II' : but 'Lucil. lib. XXVIII'; whereas in Lucilius' citations from some other sources, such as 'Gloss. iii', the title 'Satyrae' is omitted in the case of the earlier, as of the later, books. These sources quote also Books XXI-XXV.
that the order in which the Titinius-quotations come coincides with their actual order of occurrence in the text of the dramatist ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$. The plays cited are the Veliterna, Prilia, Psaltria, Gemina, Setina, Quintus, Varus, Fullones and Barbatus, and they seem to come in something like this order. There is therefore some possibility that in the Gemina, frag. xiv (ap. Non. 510.4) in the small Teubner text of the fragments should precede frag. ix (Non. 510. 12); in the Quintus, frag. iii (ap. Non. 277. 17) should precede frag. v (ap. Non. 277. 29) ; and again in the Gemina, frag. xv (ap. Non. 406. i) should precede frag. v (ap. Non. 406. i9), and frag. iii (ap. Non. 157. 15) should precede frag. iv (ap. Non. 157. 18). Titinius is seldom cited in the other 'glossaries.' They all quote freely from Plautus, not only the 'Varronian' plays, but also (except 'Gloss. $i$ ') the others too, e.g. the Cornicula, Astraba, Nervolaria. It is extremely interesting to find that the text quoted in a 'glos-sary'-sequence occasionally represents a different edition from the text quoted in the 'Plautus-sequences.' Thus Epid. 188 is quoted from the 'Gloss. iii' list in Book VII. (p. 479) with exugebo sanguinem, but from the 'Plautus i' list in Book II (p. 102) with exorbebo sanguinem; Cist. $3^{82}$ (in the small Teubner edition) in Book I (p. 64) occurs in the 'Gloss. v' series with mustulentus aestus, but in Book IV (p. 415) in the 'Plautus i' series with mustulentus ventus ${ }^{1}$

We see occasionally a marked difference in the citation of other authors too, when taken by Nonius from different sources. Cic. Rep. III. 40 (Teubn.) appears very differently as quoted from Nonius' copy of Cicero in Book XII (p. 522) and as quoted from a note on a passage of Sisenna in Book II (p. 68), the reason of the difference, no doubt, being that the commentator had quoted inexactly from memory ${ }^{m}$. An editor of Nonius must

[^46]therefore be on his guard against assimilating one quotation to another of the same passage, if the two quotations come from different sources ${ }^{\text {" }}$ (e.g. ${ }^{279 .} 27$ and 38.25 , a Lucilius passage); and editors of the author quoted by Nonius must give greater weight to quotations taken by Nonius from his own reading than to those taken from commentaries on authors. We see from Servius' notes on Virgil how careless commentators can be in the matter of quotations. In the 'Compendiosa Doctrina' a line of Virgil (G. III 143) is cited incorrectly from a note in Nonius' copy of Varro Vit. Pop. Rom. ( 531 M. 22), but correctly elsewhere (at 387,6 and 389,25 ). The passage from the Hermiona of Pacuvius (393 M. 2), which editors have laboured to restore to metrical form, does not come from the Pacuvius' list. The quotation from Plaut. Amph. 775 at 26 I M. 32, comes not from Nonius' copies of Plautus, but from a note in his copy of Lucilius I-XX. It should be left in editions of Nonius in its traditional form (cf. 24. 19; 308. 12). So should the quotation from Cic. de Div. II, 5 at 265 M. 29, which comes from a note in Nonius' copy of Cicero Off. I. The citations from Varro's Menippean Satire Eipt $\boldsymbol{i}$, etc., are too few to shew whether $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa а \theta \eta \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu(399$ M. 24) is an admissible variation of the title. It is possible that the quotation comes from a note in Nonius' copy of Turpilius. The quotation of a line of Afranius at 483 M. 30 comes from the 'Afranius' list, and has greater claim to accuracy than the quotation of the same line at 529 M .6 (from a note in Nonius' smaller copy of Plautus).

The volume which Nonius used, containing the twenty-one 'Varronian' plays of Plautus, exhibited a text which often disagrees with the 'Ambrosian' and the 'Palatine' texts. (So the two recensions of Plautus are styled, which have been transmitted to us in our MSS.) That is only to be expected, since the order of the plays in that volume was different from the 'Ambrosian' and the 'Palatine' order. Here is a scheme of the three arrangements :
editors find difficulty in assigning any place in the known text of the play ( 220 M . 1I, s.v. pilleus), does not come from Nonius' 'Plautus i' list. Nor do the misquotations of Men. 94 ( 108 M. 21), Cas. 245 (135, 7), Men. 195 ( 138,20 ).
${ }^{7}$ Another point to remember with regard to repetitions of the same passage, is that where the repetition is the work of some mediaeval student (see above, p. 6), the 'consensus' of reading goes for very little.

|  | Ambrosian' recension. | 'Palatinc' recension. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Amph.—Asin.—Aul. | Amph. | Recension used by Nonius. |
|  | Asin. | Asin. |
|  | Aul. | Aul. |
| Bacch. | ? Bacch. | Baccl. |
| Capt. | Capt. | Cist. |
| Curc. | Curc. | Cas. |
| Cas. | Cas. | Capt. |
| Cist. | Cist. | Curc. |
| Epid. | Epid. | Epid. |
| Merc. | Most. |  |
| Most. | Men. | Mil. |
| Mil. | Mil. | Men. |
| Men. | Merc. |  |
| Poen. | Pseud. | Pers.-Most. |
| Pers. | Poen. | Pseud. |
| Pseud. | Pers. | Poen. |
| Rud. | Rud. | Rud. |
| Stich. | Stich. | Stich. |
| ? Trin. | Trin. | Trin. |
| ? Truc. | Truc. | Truc. |
| ?Vidul. | Vidul. |  |

The position of the Vidularia in the recension used by Nonius is not quite certain, but the balance of probability inclines to a place after the Bacchides. Sinee the arrangement is alphabetical, the title of the play would seem in that case to have been spelt Bidularia. Still less certain is the priority of the Cistellaria to the Casina. Since the arrangement in Nonius' copies of the text of Plautus and of the other authors used by him is of interest, and may throw light on their literary history, it will be best to give in detail in an Appendix the evidence which Nonius' citations supply on this head.

Here it only remains to enquire what light our new knowledge of Nonius' method of compilation throws upon the titles and editions of the Republican writings from which he quotes. One has merely to turn over the pages of books like Teuffel's 'Roman Literature' or Ribbeck's 'Poetry of the Republic' to discover how greatly we are dependent upon Nonius for the literary history of Repub-
lican Rome. In many cases it is a single citation in this dictionary which has preserved for us the knowledge of a lost work.

We have already seen that Nonius adheres mechanically to one system of citing the authors whom he read for himself (e.g. 'Lucilius Satyrarum lib. II' but 'Lucilius lib. XXVIII'; 'Cicero de Oratore' but ' M. Tullius de Finibus'), and that a peculiarity in the form of citation often enables us to pronounce that Nonius is here drawing from a 'Glossary' list and not from an 'author ' list; further, that the different 'Glossary' lists occasionally provide criteria of the same kind.

That ancient books were, like modern, liable to changes of titles is an acknowledged fact. The best-known examples are some plays of Plautus which at the Plautine revival in the last century of the Republic were re-christened by stage-managers, the ' Mostellaria,' becoming the 'Phasma' and so on. A striking example of 'one form with many names' is that work of Varro's which is cited only five times by Nonius, but under four (perhaps really three) different appellations, viz. (1) Varro [pro] Gallo vel Fundanio de admirandis rebus (7I, 2 I ; from the 'Gloss. i' list), (2) Varro Gallo vel ${ }^{\circ}$ Fundanio de miris (217, 1; from the same list) ; (3) Varro Gallo aut Fundanio (-ia MSS.) $(205,33)$; (4) Varro in Admirandis (218, 16 and 220, 9 ; from a note in Varro's copy of Plautus and from 'Gloss. iii-v' respectively). Another example is that play of Accius which in quotations taken from the 'Accius ii' list is always cited as Aeneadae aut Decius (cf. Stasiastae vel Tropaeum Liberi, another play of Accius), but in quotations from the 'Alph. Verb.' 'Alph. Adverb' lists, etc., merely as Aeneadae ${ }^{\text {p }}$. Editors assign to a play of Titinius the title Psaltria sive Ferentinatis, because the same line is referred to the Psaltria by Nonius (33I, 26) and to the Ferentinatis by Priscian. Similarly the line quoted by Nonius (421, 19) from the Omen of Afranius is by Servius (ad Aen. IV 194) referred to the Cinerarius .

- The word wel is confirmed by the valuable corrections ( $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ ) in the Laurentian MS.
p Therefore 123, In-12 should not be bracketed as a scribe's anticipation, (through 'skipping' a leaf) of 126, r6-17.
$q$ These differences of title often throw a light on the form and succession of editions of an author's works. I add two examples from other authors cited by Nonius. A passage of Serenus is referred at 431, 16 to the Opuscula, but at 212, 25 to the Ruralia. A passage of Cicero is cited at 239, 1 from $M$. Tullius pro Marco Marcello (from the 'Cicero i' list apparently), but at 437, 9

Editors of these lost Republican authors have made hypothetical identifications of various titles, of the Fenerator and the Obolostates of Caecilius, for example ; but hypothesis has seldom passed into certainty. Our analysis of the 'Compendiosa Doctrina' gives us a sure test in many cases. Where Nonius cites, let us say, Accius, from one of his 'Accius' lists and employs different titles, these cannot be titles of the same play. The monographs on Accius, Pacuvius, Afranius and all these authors require to be re-written from this new point of view.

To discuss all these literary questions would require more space than is at my disposal. I content myself with mentioning a few considerations that should guide our investigations.

Nonius' mechanical, undeviating regularity in the citation of the authors whose works he himself consulted is an undoubted fact, but it must not be unduly pressed. Prof. Mueller has argued that Nonius must have used two copies of Cicero's speeches (as he used two copies of Plautus, one containing only the first three plays), because in certain cases this uniformity is not observed, notably in his citations from the fourth and fifth Verrines. Since these belong mainly to Book IV, where there is often great difficulty in assigning the 'extra-quotations' to their actual sources, it is difficult to obtain clear assurance. But it seems as though Nonius' unusual variety in this particular Cicero-citation were due to the unwieldy title which he found in his edition. His first use of the fourth speech is in Book I, where the 'Cicero iv' list comes into play, at 34 M . ro; and there he gives the long title, M. Tullius Verrinarum lib $V$ de Signis. But his very next citation from the same list (at 34 M . 14) appears as $M$. Tullius de Signis, an alteration that is surely due to desire of brevity. The title in his edition was, I fancy, M. Tullius Verrinarum (or in Verrem) lib $V$ de Signis; and in Book IV, where are found nearly all of the quotations, the shortened title is employed in all which can be definitely referred to the 'Cicero iv' list. The fifth speech is not cited in Book I ; but in Book IV, when cited from the 'Cicero iv' list, it appears variously as $M$. Tullius de Suppliciis and M. Tullis in Verrem de Suppliciis. It is perhaps safer to regard this isolated instance of want of uniformity as an 'exception that

[^47]proves the rule.' Similarly Miles and not Miles Gloriosus is the normal form of citation from the 'Plautus $i$ ' list ; but it would be difficult to rule out every case of the fuller citation (e.g. 103, 4 ; 120, 24 ; 146, 11 ; 230, 8).

Nonius has two reference-formulas to letters of Cicero (now lost), M. Tull. ad Caes. jun. and M. Tull. Epist. ad Caes. The question has recently been raised whether two publications are referred to or only oner. Can we solve it by the new means at our disposal? The facts seem to be these. The formula M. Tull. ad Caes. jun., with the addition of the number of the book, seems well-established for the 'Cicero iv' series. The citations $M$. Tull. (Epist.) ad Caes., with or without the word Epist. and with or without a book-number, appear, when they can be located with any confidence, in the middle of the Terence-sequence (e.g. 32, 15 ; 270,$22 ; 287,26$ ), the sequence immediately preceding 'Cicero iv.' All this means either that the 'Terence' list of Nonius was interrupted (like the 'Accius' lists) by a list from 'M. Tull. Epist. ad Caes.' (which would in that case be probably a different work from ' M . Tull. ad Caes. jun.') or that the author of the marginal notes in Nonius' copy of Terence quoted frequently from this book. The latter explanation seems the more likely, but further light is required. Similarly Nonius' use of quotations from the Gymnasticus of Naevius, between the 'Plautus i' and 'Lucretius' lists, is not quite sufficient to convince us that he actually excerpted this play.

It has been frequently remarked that several titles of books quoted by Nonius were written in abbreviated form in some early archetype or even in the first edition of the dictionary, and that these contractions have often been wrongly expanded by scribes. Varro de Re Rustica sometimes appeared as Varro de Re $R^{\prime}$., of which scribes have made de Re Populi Romani, or as Varro de Re Ru., which has become de Rerum Natura or de Republica. At 70 M. I6 the title Cicero de Finibus Bonorum et Malorum has in our MSS. the addition et Marcus, which seems to be a scribe's first attempt to expand the contraction $M \bar{a}$ for Malorum (cf. 35 . M. 5). Numerous instances might be added. Our best MSS. ( $L$. and Gen.) shew in book IV the actual contraction used in the archetype for de suppliciis, viz. de supl. What then is

[^48]more natural than that the slight variation in the title of a play of Titinius, as cited by Nonius (in the Ablative case), Fullonia and Fullonibus, should be ascribed to a mere error in transcribing a contractions. And yet, if we examine the occasions on which the play is cited, we find a regularity that cannot be accidental. The citations with Fullonia come from the 'Alph. Verb.' list (III, 10; 153, 25; 245, $3^{6 ; 470,2) ; ~ t h o s e ~ w i t h ~ F u l l o n i b u s ~ c o m e ~}$ all, or nearly all, from the 'Gloss. i' list ${ }^{t}$. Clearly it will not do to allow the possibility of scribal errors to make us too regardless of even minute differences in the form of citations. On the rare occasions when Varro's work on Husbandry is cited outside of the 'Varro iv' sequence, it appears as Rusticae Res or Res Rusticae. It is doubtful therefore whether Mueller is right in changing Varro de Rusticatione lib. I (444 M. 23) into Varro de Re Rustica lib. 1 .

Some other questions regarding the titles of books are discussed in the Appendix.

[^49]
## APPENDIX.

The arrangement of authors' zoorks in the editions used by Nonius ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

## Plautus i.

Amphitruo (III A, III S, IV S, VI, etc.).
Asinaria, after Amph. (III S, III T, IV S).
Aulularia, after Asin. (I, IV S, VII Pass., VIII).
Bacchides, after Aul. (I, VII Pass.).
Cistellaria, after Bacch. (II E. Cf. II R, II A).
Casina, after Cist. (? I), after Bacch. (? IV L, VII Pass.).
Captivi, after Cas. (I, IV L, VIII), after Cist. (II A ; 482. 3).
Curculio, after Capt. (I. Cf. 42 I. 16 ; II H, III P).
Epidicus, after Curc. (I ; 8. 15. Cf. II E, VIII).
Miles Gloriosus, after Epid. (II E, VI, XVII).
Menaechmi, after Mil. glor. (IV M, VII Act.).
Mercator (II G, III A, etc.), after Capt. (III A. Cf. 233.9).
Mostellaria (? IV R, ? 81. 17).
Persa, after Men. (VII Act. Cf. I). ' (In 342, 28 the word Milite seems to be corrupt).
Pseudolus, after Pers. (I. Cf. V).
Poenulus, after Pseud. (I, V. Cf. XI ; 258. 15).
Rudens, after Poen. (XI, XIII).
Stichus, after Rud. (IV E, XIII).
Trinummus, after Stich (III A. Cf. I, XI).
Truculentus, after Trin. (I. Cf. VI, VII Pass.).
Vidularia, stands between Bacch. and Cas. (VII Pass.). (The citation at 123.34 seems to come from 'Gloss i'.)

## Accius i .

Eurysaces ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (I; 495. 19; 517. 25).
Erisaces ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (II S).
a A 'leading-quotation' is indicated by reference to the book, or section of the book, in which it occurs; an 'extra-quotation,' by reference to the page and line. A reference which immediately follows the title of the work indicates a quotation which proves that the work belongs to the series in question.
${ }^{b}$ Whether the difference of spelling in the MSS. is sufficient evidence of

Armorum Judicium (II N), after Eris. (II S).
Astyanax (II M, V ; 5I 8. I3), after Arm. iud. (VIII. Cf. IV O).
Oenomaus (I, III F), after Arm. iud. (II H. Cf. I, III U, IV O).
Tereus (519.5), after Astyan. (V. Cf. ? II D, II S).
Alphesiboea (IV D. But cf. VII Act.), after Tereus (279.35), after Oenom. (? 73. 27). (Ct. 136. 16; 485. 26).
Amphitryo (II O), after Oenom. (469. 5. But cf. 292. 13).
Melanippus (I; 233. 22; ? 499, 17. But cf. III P), after Oenom. (I. Cf. 485.31 ; 52 1. 7).

Epinausimache (233.24; 495.2I), after Melan. (233. 24 Cf. 519. 8; 485. 16; 495.2I).
Pelopidae, after Melan. ( 485 33. Cf. II S).
Phoenissae (I), after Melan. (I. Cf. V; I74. 2).
Medea (I, IV I), after Phoen. (I), after Epinaus. (IV F). (Cf. 467.37).

Philocteta (I), after Medea (I, 323. 16. Cf. VII Act.; 512. 16).
Alcmeo, after Philoct. (VII Act. Cf. ? II G, VIII).
Telephus, after Alcm. (VIII. Cf. IV F, V; 13. 17; 174. I4; 227.29).
?? Paris (? 206. 15).

## Accius ii.

Epigoni ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ (III C ; 342. ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ ), after Teleph. (V. Cf. 16. 4; II P; 192.5).

Meleager (IV L. But cf. 503. 7), after Teleph. (IV F. Cf. VII Act.). Aeneadae aut Decius (III C, 484. 6), after Epig. (II U, III C).
a difference of plays is matter of opinion. The two are apparently discriminated by Nonius himself in a lemma from the 'Gloss. $v$ ' series in Book V (p. 445 M .) : Miserari et misereri . . . Accius Eurysace : 'ei mihi, ut etiam haec aerumna mihi luctum addit luctibus!', alia persona: 'quid miserare?', idem Erysace (id me erysacem MSS.) : 'tuam solitatem memoras, formidas tibi. Te conmiserabar magis quam miserebar mei.' (Compare however 316. 5-7: Novius Paedio . . . idem, where some MSS. have 'idem Paedio'. Also 394. 21; 526.9).
c The similarity of Epigoni and Erigona has caused mistakes in the MSS.; e.g. the lemma clepere in the 'Accius ii' series in Book I ( 20 M .9 ) has a leadingquotation from a play which the MSS. give as Epigone. Quicherat reads Erigone (Abl.), Mercier Epigonis (Abl.). Unfortunately the exact position of the Erigona is not certain, though it was probably a good deal lower on the 'Accius ii' list than the Epigoni. In 20. 9, where the play cited heads the list, we should read Epigonis (Abl.), but in 398. 20, where only one play of the list is cited (Epigono, -na MSS.), there is nothing to guide our selection.

Stasiastae vel Tropaeum Liberi (I), after Meleag. (IV L), after Epig. (v.l. Erig.) (I).

Athamas (IV I, VIII), after Meleag. (VII Act.).
Clytaemestra, after Meleag. (125.3. Cf. 488. 12).
Bacchae (II N, VIII), after Atham. (VIII. Cf. II M).
Neoptolemus (IV D, IX. But cf. VII Act.), after Aen. aut Dec. (? 258.6 ).
Erigona (II P ; 498. 5. But cf. III S), after Neopt. (? 469.2 ) , after Epig. (? II P).
Nyctegresia (336. 3 I; 484. 8), after Atham. (500. 6. Cf. 336. 31), after Aen. vel Dec. (484. 8).
Andromeda (I, ? II F), after Neopt. (424.3), after Stas. vel Trop. (I). Phinidae (I, III C, VIII), after Androm. (I. Cf. III C; 504. 32), after Bacch. (VIII).
Atreus (281.5), after Neopt. (28I. 5 ; 505.8).
Agamemnonidae (II O).
?? Diomedes, after Atham. (? VII Act.).

## Accius i-ii.

Antigona (IV O; 352. 19), after Oenom. (IV O; 352. I9).
? Chrysippus (? IV C).
?? Deiphobus (? XIII).

## Pomponius ${ }^{\text {d. }}$

Pictores (I, ? IV D, IV I).
Prostibulum (I, II O), after Pictores (I).
Pannuceati (I, II N), after Prostib. (I).
Pappus agricola (VII Pass. ; 498. 5 ; 517. 28).
Pistor (81. 22; 517.30), after Papp. agr. (517.30), after Prostib. (146.25).

Praeco posterior (I ; 342. 14; IX, etc.), after Pannuc. (I).
Piscatores (VIII ; 484. 4).
? Petitor (? III F). (Cited in the 'Gloss. iii' sequence in VII Act. as Cretula vel Petitor.)

[^50]? Porcus (? III U). (Cited in the 'Alph. Adverb.' sequence in I as Porcaria.)

## Novius ${ }^{e}$.

? Decuma (II F).
Fullones feriati (81. 24 ; 233. 26).
? Gallinaria ( 4.3 ; ? 342. II).
Paedium (XIV; 316. 5, 7; 539. 21 ; ? 540 . 11).
?? Tabellaria (? III P).
? Ficitor (II F), after Dec. (II F).
Agricola (IX), after Full. fer. (81. 26).
? Maccus (III C ; ? 5 18. 17).
? Maccus exul. (? IV L, 4.5 ; 2 10. 26), after Gall. (4.5).
? Milites Pometinenses ( $\mathbf{?} 212.29$ ).
? Pappus praeteritus (? 4.25 ).
?? Sanniones (? III P), after Tabell. (III P).
Zona (VIII; 481. 18).
? Praeco posterior (210. 29), after Macc. ex. (210. 29).
In 495. 24 'Eurysace', the reading of the MSS., is thought ${ }^{f}$ to have been substituted for the title of some other play of Novius.
The quotation belongs to this series.

## Ennius.

Hectoris lytra (VIII; 355. 16; 467.39; 504. 35, etc.).
Telephus (V, VIII, 15.8 ; 342. 18), after Hect. lytr. (VIII).
?? Andromacha aechmalot. (? 505.12 ). (The contraction Aechmalo. is found in two citations, perhaps both from the 'Alph. Adverb.' list, 402. 3 and 515.12. Aechnalotus is apparently the form used in 292. 7, Aechmalotis in 505. 12).
?? Andromacha (? 504. 17).
?? Ambracia (? 469. 28).
?? Medea (? ${ }^{2} 467$ 13).

## Turpilius.

Boethuntes (I, V ; 342. I, etc.).
Demetrius ( 55.8 ; 216.7; 352.21; 424. 5; ? VIII).
? Canephorus (? VIII), after Demetr. (? VIII).

[^51]Demiurgus (IV A, III S ; 8. ı9, etc.), after Caneph. (? VIII).
Epiclerus (VII Pass., IX ; 84. 21, etc.).
Thrasyleo (VII Pass.), after Epicl. (215. 29; VII Pass.).
Paediun (VII Act. ; 489. 30; 497. 16; 306. 36), after Epicl. (497. 16).

Philopator (IV D, II L; 358.6; 484. 26), after Thras. (321. 12), after Boeth. (429. 19).
Leucadia (IV C, XIII; 21. 27; 496. 22), after Paed. (XII. Cf. 32 1. $23 ; 322.22$ ), after Demetr. (408. 34; 322. 23).
Lindia (II U, 343. 16, etc.), after Demetr. (352. 23 ; 397, 39).
Lemniae ( 14.23 ; 256.25 ; ? IV P), after Leuc. (534.6).
Paraterusa (II U, IV O, etc.), after Lind. (II U), after Philop. (II L).
Hetaera (IV C, VII Act., XVII ; 539. 25), after Parater. (ェ86. го. Cf. IV C, VII Act.; 468. 18; 526. 2), after Leuc. (262. 13. Cf. 47 o. 37), after Demiurg. (483. $3^{1}$ ).
The order of the plays suggests an original alphabetical arrangement, according to the Greek alphabet, which has been to some extent abandoned.

## Pacuvius.

Atalanta (II P, 355. 29 ; 429. 7; 495. 29).
Periboea (I, II M, etc.), after Atal. (495. 31).
Dulorestes (I, II M, etc.), after Perib. (I, II M. Cf. II P, 490. 15).
Hermiona (II D, 234. 24), after Perib. (237. 5).
? Iliona (? IV O), after Atal. (? IV R).
?? Niptra (? 77. 19).
?? Medus (? II A), after Niptra (? 77. 19).
?? Chryses (? 467.13 ; ? 469 . ェo).
?? Armorum Judicium (? 261. 23).
?? Antiopa, after Herm, (? 73. 14).

## Varro i.


${ }^{"} E_{\chi \omega}^{\omega} \sigma \epsilon, \pi \epsilon p i ̀ \tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ (343. i. But cf. II T).
пє $\rho \grave{l}$ é $\xi a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \eta_{\rho}$, (IV M, IV S, VI ; 352. 26).
Mutuum muli scabunt, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \psi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ô (III T; 235.9).
'A $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ óto $\lambda \iota s, \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda t a k \hat{\eta} s$ (III S, VII Act.; 504. I2). (In 555. 7 , where this piece is cited from the 'Gloss. v' list, its title $A n t h$. is given in Latin characters and the alternative title is wanting.)

Marcopolis, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ d a ̀ ~} \rho \hat{\eta} s$ (234. 4).

Sciamachia, $\pi \epsilon р \grave{\imath}$ ти́фоv (1о. 15 ; 131. 22 ; III C).
Synephebus, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu o v \eta s s$ (III S, IV I).

'A入入' où $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ i ~ \sigma \epsilon, ~ \pi \epsilon р i ̀ ~ \phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v p i a s ~(X I X . ~ B u t ~ c f . ~ I I ~ T) . ~$
 may come from the 'Alph. Verb.' list.)
Pseudulus Apollo, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$ 8டay ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (VII Pass.; ? 468. 2), after $\epsilon \bar{\nu} \rho \epsilon \nu$ (VII Pass.).
Cosmotoryne, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \phi \theta_{o p} \hat{\jmath}$ к $\dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \circ v$ (503.47), after Marcopolis (234.5).
Gloria, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ i $\phi$ óyov (IV I), after Syneph. (IV I). (I take the citation in 148. I to be 'in Gloria' and in 325 . 16 to be 'Gloria '.)
 (VI).

Testamentum, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\delta} \delta a \theta \eta \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$ (VII Pass.), after Pseud. Apoll. (VII Pass.).
 after Anthropop. (504. 14). (In 466. 29 the title Hecat. is given in Latin characters and the alternative title is wanting. But the citation is an interpolation apparently.)
Periplus (? 455. 22; ? II L. But cf. 392. 39), after Hecat. (? II L).
Octogesis, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \nu с \mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ (78. 9), after Peripl. (171. 18). (The Greek alternative title is wanting in 510.27 , where the citation comes from a note on a line of Plautus, and again in 20. 15.)

$?^{7}$ E $\omega$ s по́тє, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, after Hecat. (27. 15).
Desultorius, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ t o u ̂ ~} \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (XIII).
? Devicti, $\pi є \rho \grave{\text { ì }}$ фıóvıкıas (? VIII).
Prometheus Liber (I, etc.), after Hecat. (I), after Devicti (? VIII), after Cygnus (497.27).
$\pi \in \rho i ̀ ~ к \in \rho a v \nu o u ̃ ~(I, ~ I V ~ C), ~ a f t e r ~ P r o m . ~ L i b . ~(I) . ~$.
 after ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \omega \sigma$ (343. 3).
Est modus matulae, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \eta s$ (I), after $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \kappa \in \rho$. (I).
? Epitaphiones, $\pi \epsilon p i$ rá ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (? IV U).
 ? IV T. But cf. II E).

? $\pi \in \rho \grave{\imath}$ aípéa $\epsilon \omega \nu(? 35 \mathrm{I} .25$ ).
There seems to be no justification for Mueller's theory (ad 392.39) that the title 'Periplus II, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \phi \iota \lambda о \sigma o \phi i a s$ ' is spurious.

The regularity with which the Greek alternative title is given in citations of these bi-titled plays, especially in citations from the 'Varro i' list, throws suspicion on the assignment to this list of the three citations of the same sentence from Varro Est Modus Matulae (without $\pi \in \rho \grave{\iota} \mu_{\hat{\prime} \theta \eta s \text { ) at p. 545. The first two }}$ seem to belong to the 'Gloss. iv' sequence; the third may be an interpolation (see above, p. 33).

In the citations (both from the 'Gloss. i' list ?) at 72. 9
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \psi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$, editors are apparently right in bracketing the words aut and graece.

## Varro ii.

Marcipor (I, II B, II T).
Andabatae (I), after Marcipor (I).
Lex Maenia (II B), after Marcipor (II B, ? II E).
Mysteria (I, II D), after Andab. (I).
Agatho (I, II D, II R, etc.), after Myst. (I, II D).
Quinquatrus (II A, II C, II D, II N), after Agath. (II D).
Endymiones (I ; 543. 14), after Agath. (I).
Virgula Divina (I, XII, XVIII), after Endym. (I).
Gerontodidascalus (I), after Virg. Div. (I), after Quinquatr. (II C).
Parmeno (II C, II P), after Gẹront. (II C, VI).
Hercules tuam fidem (I), after Geront. (I).
Meleagri (I, II D), after Herc. t.f. (I. Cf. II D, II U).
Taфウ̀ Mєvíntou (I, II C, II M, etc.), after Meleag. (I), after Parm. (II C, II P), after Lex Maen. (II E).
Sesqueulixes (I, II D), after Taф. Mev. (I, XIV, 248. 16).
? Hercules Socraticus (? XIV), after Sesq. (XIV).
Sexagesis (I, II C, II D, etc.), after Sesq. (I, II D. Cf. II C, II E). $\Gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in a \cup \tau o ̛ ̀ \nu(I, ~ I I ~ O), ~ a f t e r ~ S e x a g . ~(I, ~ I I ~ A . ~ C f . ~ I I ~ M) . ~$.
Eumenides (I, II C), after $\Gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota}$ (I. Cf. II C, VI, VII Pass.).

## Varro iii.

Bimarcus (I, II A, II D, etc.).
Manius (II F, II L, II P, etc.), after Bimarc. (VI).

Modius (I, II A, II G, etc.), after Man. (VI. Cf. I, II A, etc. But cf. II T).
${ }^{*}$ Opos $\lambda$ úpas (I, II D, II M, etc. But cf. 49. 32), after Mod. (I, II M, II S).

## Afranius.

Vopiscus (I, II O, V, VIII, etc.).
Privignus (I, II I, V), after Vop. (I, V, VIII).
Fratriae (I, II A, V, etc.), after Priv. (I, V. Cf. II O; 280. 3 I ; 497. 32 ).

Exceptus (II M, II P, ? XII, ? XIII, ? XIV), after Fratr. (? XIV).
? Divortium (? XI), after Fratr. (? 394. r).
? Suspecta (? II E; ? 504. I6).
? Epistola (? III G, ? III R ; ? 540. 13).
?? Inimici (? 477. 28).

## Terence.

Andria (IV S, VIII).
Adelphi (VIII, II D, IV C), after Andr. (VIII. But cf. IV C).
Phormio (II E, II G, IV C), after Adelph. (? IV C).
Hecyra (I, IV C), after Phorm. (I).
Heautontimorumenos (II S, IV D), after Phorm. (IV D).
Eunuchus (I, II R, etc.), after Hec. (I), after Heaut. (IV E) (cf. II R), after Adelph. (VIII ; 190. I2).

Is this an alphabetical arrangement with the spelling Formio?


[^0]:    2 Meridiem . . . nos in Epistulis quae inscribuntur 'De Peregrinando a Doctrinis': "exvigila igitur aliquando et moracium cogitationum, priusquam aetas in meridie est, torpedinem pelle."
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because he quotes from some works of Cicero under the name ' M . Tullius' and from others under the name 'Cicero,' he has been charged with the absurd error of suppasing 'M. Tullius' and 'Cicero' to be two different persons (Riese, 'Symbol. Bonnens.' p. 484 n.). This charge is surely unfair. Nonius is merely reproducing with mechanical fidelity the title-headings of the editions which he used,
    c The 'Noctes Atticae' of Aulus Gellius, a series of gossiping disquisitions

[^1]:    g See Reitzenstein 'Verrianische Forschungen' (vol. I of Breslauer Philologische Abhandlungen), Breslau, 1887.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Philologus, Iv, 168.

[^3]:    ${ }^{n}$ I give the lemmas of each book of the 'Compendiosa Doctrina,' arranged in their order of sequence. After each lemma I give the reference to the passage of the author from which Nonius obtained it; but, for the sake of saving space, I omit the references to the extra-quotations. The quotation that stands first in Nonius' paragraph is almost invariably the source from which the lemima came. Where however this practice is departed from, or where there is any reasonable doubt about the exact source, I give more than one reference. In the case of Glossaries all the quotations cited in the Glossary are given. At the head of each batch of lemmas I indicate by means of the symbols, already explained, the rough list from which Nonius took them. The numbers on the left give Mercier's paging.

[^4]:    b Possibly inserted from 4I5. 2; 257. 5I ; 406. 35.
    c That this lemma originally preceded the lemma immune is suggested by the apparently designed alphabetical order of these Virgil-lemmas.
    d This and the preceding lemma have, I fancy, been transposed.
    e Possibly taken from a note on Ter. Hec. 431 in arcem. Cf. Paul. Fest. 12. 5 Arcani sermonis significatio trahitur ab arce, etc.
    ${ }^{\text {f }}$ See p. 9, above. $\quad g$ Possibly inserted from $460.6 ; 386.38$.
    h Possibly belongs to preceding series. Cf. 373. 26.
    ${ }^{i}$ Cf. fenestrae, below.
    ${ }^{k}$ Cf. foramina, above. Are both lemmas the result of one marginal insertion?

[^5]:    1 Possibly inserted from 279. 35.
    m Probably from a discussion of the Adverb saepe.
    ${ }^{n}$ These lemmas look like the conclusion of the Alph. Adverb. series above.

[^6]:    s Taken from note on Plaut. Bacch. (frag.), just quoted ?

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ Perhaps from a note on some line of Lucil. like II Mu. 27 non laudare hominem quenquam neque mu facere in quem.
    ${ }^{2}$ From a note possibly on Turpil. Epicl. (ap. Non. 501. 17); at enim scies ea quae fuisti inscius.
    ${ }^{2}$ Possibly from a note on some passage of Pacuvius in the style of vv . $83-85 \mathrm{R}$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ It is possible that this lemma comes from a note on Cic. Off. I, 69, the

[^8]:    e This may come from the 'Varro iv' list, but see section vii, below.

[^9]:    . Cf. virgines, p. 458, above.
    1 Inserted from 255. 22 ?
    $k$ Have these lemmas been transposed ?
    m Cf. ini. 35 s.v. famulanter. Inserted from 302. 10 ?

[^10]:    - Inserted from 497. 21? p Inserted from 419. 25? q Inserted from 503. 39? r Inserted from 464. 25? From this point I omit to notice non-use of lists. $\quad$ Inserted from 317.31?
    t A marginal adscript? See section vi, below.

[^11]:    y Belonged to the 'Varro ii' series and was entered here by Nonius in suite . of the extra-quotation to parti from Varr. Andab. (See above, p. 6.)
    z See section vii, below.
    ${ }^{2}$ Probably from a note on some line of Accius, e.g. 682 R.
    b Possibly inserted from I54. 21, as a parallel to fetis, below.

    - Cf. Rolerorum, below.
    d These lemmas form the conclusion of the 'Lucilius i' series, and should stand after bacchanaliorzm, p. 489.

[^12]:    r Should this stand beside compos, p. 521 M. ?
    a Does the first part of this lemma belong to 'Gloss. i' ?

[^13]:    ${ }^{t}$ In Book I likewise (p. 3 M.) this Plautus-quotation may belong to 'Gloss. i.' But the remaining lemmas strofium-chlamys seem to have been entered here in suite of the extra-quotation to palla from the Lucilius i list.
    $\mathfrak{u}$ Inserted from 240. 25, 549. 16?

[^14]:    $\times$ Have these lemmas been transposed?
    y Inserted from 545, 2? For the intruding Virgil lemmas see section vii.

[^15]:    ${ }^{z}$ Have these lemmas been transposed ?

[^16]:    ${ }^{a}$ The transposition of a single lemma does not much injure the text, as a rule. But the transposition of a batch of lemmas is more serious. It might come about in various ways; e.g. a leaf may have become loose and have dropped out and then been replaced at a wrong part of the MS. Since Nonius seems to observe an amount of regularity in the order in which he uses his various lists, we may find a means of detecting such transpositions.

[^17]:    ${ }^{b}$ I follow the same plan as with the analysis of contents of the other books. In Book III Nonius' practice is to mention first, with or without an illustrative quotation, the normal gender (or form) of the word and then its peculiar gender, so that the passage which has supplied him with his lemma comes, as a rule, in the second, not the first, place. The same is true of Book IV. In Book IV however the difficulty of determining with absolute certainty the actual passage from which Nonins took his lemma is often very great. This book corresponds in form exactly to our own Latin dictionaries. The various meanings of a word are enumerated with illustrative quotations, the normal meaning being usually placed first. The quotation to illustrate the normal meaning (and often quotations illustrating other meanings too) comes generally from Virgil. Nonius thus appears, after having obtained his lemma from some passage where an unusual meaning of the word had occasioned a marginal note, to have supplemented the note with quotations from Virgil, as well as with the extra-quotations which the lists later consulted by him would provide. If these Virgil quotations are rightly regarded as not having come from any of his lists, the same may be true of such lemmas as offer nothing but Virgil-quotations (see section vii). Often we can see that what has suggested a lemma to Nonius has been the occurrence of the same word in different senses in the same list or in two lists consulted by him in immediate sequence. In such cases I join the two sources with the word 'and.'
    c These four lemmas shonld, I fancy, follow adesunt, p. 70 M . The quotation from M. Tull. de Off. (leg. de Rep. III) is either inserted from 522. 26 or comes from a note on the Sisenna-passage.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ See note on apud, p. 68 M .

[^18]:    $q$ The concluding words of this lemma stand at the end of the lemma callis. cerunt (below) in the MSS., a sure sign of a disturbance of the sequence in the archetype.
    r From a discussion of celebrescat, above?

[^19]:    $x$ Inserted from 30. 20? y From note on exculpere, Plaut. Cist.
    ${ }^{2}$ Possibly entered here along with extra-quotation from 'Cicero viii' list to effutive, below.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ought these lemmas to follow fortunare, above?
    ${ }^{6}$ The source of these two lemmas is uncertain.

[^21]:    h This with the following five lemmas should stand after invitius, P. I 30 M .

[^22]:    $r$ The quotation from Caecilius may come from the 'Gloss. v' list. See below, section vii. s Cf. profligare, p. 160 M .
    t Ought this, with the three succecding lemmas, to follow putamina, p. 157 M.?

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Perhaps s.v. rumpere (ramites). ${ }^{\circ}$ Or is this lemma inserted from 26. 10?
    d Possibly inserted from 187.32 (in a 'Gellius sequence ').
    ${ }^{e}$ Cf. subiecere (p. 387 M. ), in a 'Gloss. i ' sequence.
    ${ }^{f}$ This and the seven following lemmas seem to belong to the Alph. Verb. series.
    g This and the three following lemmas seem to belong to the Alph. Adverb. series.
    ${ }^{b}$ These two lemmas seem to belong to Cicero v.

[^24]:    ( Inserted here in suite of extra-quotation to subsicizum from 'Cicero vii' list.
    ${ }^{k}$ See note on.scabres, p. 169 M . It is worth mention that sospilare occurs in a line of Lucil. XXVII ( 472 M . 13).
    ${ }^{1}$ See note on septuose, p. 170 M .

[^25]:    n Inserted from 176 . 14?

[^26]:    - Inserted from • Alph. Adverb.' list along with extra-quotation to utrasque ? Or do all four belong to 'Alph. Adv.'?
    p From note on vunitudo, or inserted from 16. 19?
    $q$ Perhaps from note on Acc. Phoen. ap. Non. 426 M. I, s.v. antiques.
    r From note on vastescant?

[^27]:    s Possibly should stand between aera and acina, above. Or is it inserted from 42. 8?

[^28]:    Do these belong to the 'Lucil. ii ' series, possibly with clipeus (cf. gladius p. 208 M.)?

[^29]:    c From note on Plaut. Curc. 367 ?
    d Should this lemma follow palpebrum, above? Or does it come from the note on serviti Acc. Clytaem. ap. Non. 226. 10?

    - Possibly from a note on Virg. A. I 703.

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Perhaps comes from the 'Sallust' list and should precede tribulac,
    ${ }^{i}$ Have these two lemmas been transposed?

[^31]:    ${ }^{k}$ Should these lemmas follow aptam, p. 233 M. ?
    ${ }^{1}$ Inserted here from Cicero v list in suite of extra-quotation from that list to adtendere?
    $m$ Perhaps inserted here along with extra-quotation to ambire from Virg. A. X 243.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Possibly inserted here in suite of extra-quotations to capessere from Plautus ii list.
    q Do these lemmas come from the 'Alph. Verb.' list ?
    $r$ See below, section iji.

[^33]:    y Possibly belongs to Virgil series and should follow gradus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. insolum, 'insolitum' in 'Gloss. i' series, 124. 19.

[^34]:    Has this lemma been inserted here from the 'Alph. Adverld.' list in suite uf the extra-quotation to imsure from Acc. Philoct. (taken from the 'Alph. Verb.' list) ?

[^35]:    ${ }^{k}$ From note on Plaut. Men. 350. Cf. 461. 31.
    ${ }^{1}$ Possibly still Lucilius ii. Cf. 165.9 s.v. reciproca.

[^36]:    p Not, e.g., elidere p. 291 and 292, dignatus p. 281 and dignari p. 286, plagae p. 368 and plaga p. 378, trahere p. 410 and p. 412. In other books he tolerates these repetitions, c.g. (Book V) fora et fori p. 428 and p. 447 .

[^37]:    ${ }^{s}$ From a note on the line of Accius?
    ${ }^{t}$ Should this precede the Ciceroquotation?
    u From note on Turpil. Philop., the leading-quotation.

[^38]:    $\times$ From a note on the Cic. Rep. passage?
    y From a note on the line 0 Plaut. Asin.? $\quad$ a From a note on the leading-quotation? a From a note on Virg. Aen. IV 284 ?
    b From a note on Virg. Aen. VIII 100?
    c From a note on the leading-quotation?
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ From a note on the line of Ter. Phorm. ? quotation?
    e From a note on the line of Varr. Modius, the leading-
    ${ }^{f}$ Possibly inserted from 416. I4.

[^39]:    B Inserted from 498. 5 ?

[^40]:    ${ }^{t}$ In a chapter of the 'Noctes Atticae,' XVIII, xi, Gellius defends certain coinages of the poet Furius: lutescere, noctescere, virescere, purpurare, opulescere, and quotes the lines in which they occur. Nonius has taken as suitable for Book I[ from his 'Gellius' list the lemmas lutescit ( p .132 M. ), noctescere (p. 145 M.), virescit (p. 188 M.), opuliscere (p. 148 M.). Before the re-arrangement of Book II, these lemmas must have stood side by side. The 'Lucilius i' list supplied butga, the 'Varro ii' list the same word with different spelling, vulga. The two words are now widely separated, butga p. 78 , vulga p. 187.
    ${ }^{\text {" }}$ In Book VI there is a similar departure, on which see p. 92 , below.

[^41]:    $z^{\text {The }}$ Thission of the three concluding lemmas of the 'Lucilius i' series in Book VIII (p. 489 M.) has similarly divorced bacchanaliorum from holerorzm, the former word having apparently come from a marginal note on the latter.

[^42]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the American Foumal of Philology, vol. XXII, p. 37, I have tried to shew that the lemma-word, when it recurred in a quotation, was often indicated in the archetype by the first letter merely, a practice which has often resulted in the loss of the word from the quotation. Here the words sine blande blaterem may have been written sine blande blë, which was miscopied sine blanditie.

[^43]:    b By P. Schmidt, 'De Nonii Marcelli auctoribus grammaticis.' Leipzig (Teubner), 1868.
    c The tedious discussion of Virgil's phrase ante diem, 'before the day of her doom' (Aen. IV 697), in Book XII (p. 526 M.) is an exception. It might pass for a lucubration of Nonius himself, were it not that we have in Servius' commentary and in Gellins Noct. Att. XIII, i equally diffuse discussions of the same kind, so that it would seem to have been a theme for grammarians,

[^44]:    e The first version is probably what Plautus actually wrote, the other being a later re-casting of the line to avoid the obsolete scansion accessit.

[^45]:    i That Nonius actually borrowed from Gellius is, I take it, put beyond question by the foregoing exposition of Nonius' method in constructing the Compendiosa Doctrina. If a lemma taken from the Gellius-list occasionally shews extra-quotations, which are not found in Gellins, that is of course the result of Nonins having met with the same word in some other list which he consulted later. In some cases the text of Nonius enables us to restore the true text of Gellius, e.g. at the lacuna in the Gellius MSS. in Noct. Att. V. 4.
    If we were to put together all the notes which Nonius seems to have taken from his copy of this or that author (e.g. Plautus), so as to get a picture of the complete commentary in the edition he used of the author, the picture would probably be wholly inadequate. Prof. Hertz has put together Nonius' borrowings from the ' Noctes Atticae.' But they give us no real idea of what the work of Gellius was like.
    ${ }^{8}$ So that Nonius' remark s.v. conticinium (p. 62 M. 21), a word furnished by this list in Book I : anctores multi sunt mihi, sed auctoritate deficiunt, must refer to the quotations supplied by this glossary.
    ${ }^{h}$ Thus it is the Epic of Ennius, not his dramas, which is drawn upon by 'Gloss. v ', and the number of the book is as often omitted as not. In citations from Caecilius by 'Gloss. $v$ ' the name of the play is often not mentioned. Laberius furnishes a large number of quotations in this glossary. The quotations from Catullus seem to be peculiar to it.

[^46]:    k Author-sequences are, as was mentioned before (p. 4), a common feature of Latin glossaries. An example from the glossaries used by Nonius is found on p. 267 M. ('Alph. Verb.') Afranius Simulans, Afran. Matertera, Afran. Incendium ; cf. p. 78 ('Alpl. Verb.') s.v. blaterare; p. 392 ('Gloss. i') s.v. coicere ; p. 517 ('Gloss. i') s.v. derepente ; p. 124 ('Gloss. i') s.vv. insolum, inibi. We must be on our guard against confusing these cases where an author is quoted from a 'Glossary' list with the cases where an author is quoted from the list compiled by Nonius himself from his own copy of the author.

    1 The monographs of Reblin ('de Non. Marcelli locis Plautinis') and Caesar ('de Plauti memoria apud Nonium servata.' Strassburg, 1886) require to be re-written from this new point of view, for they do not distinguish between Plautus-quotations from the 'Plautus i', 'Plautus ii' and the other lists.
    $m$ Notice that the so-styled fragment of the 'Captivi' of Plautus, to which

[^47]:    from M. Tullius in Caesarianis. This citation comes either from the 'Lucilius ii' list or from a note on Virg. Aen. VI 173. Servius in his note on Aen. V I87 cites the same passage from Cicero in Caesarianis.

[^48]:    r By Gurlitt, 'Nonius Marcellus und die Cicero-Briefe ', Steglitz I888, and by Luc. Mueller, ad Non. 528 M. 26.

[^49]:    ${ }^{s}$ See Havet 'Mél. Graux' p. 8ir n., who argues that the true form is Fullonia.
    ${ }^{t}$ In 470,2 , futlonia specta was the reading of the archetype, as is proved by the consensus of L. and the Extract MSS.

[^50]:    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ That the Pomponius-list preceded the Novius-list in Nonius' order of consultation seems probable (see Bk. VIII and 81. 22 sqq.), but is not free from doubt (see 342. II sqq., where however the best MS. (Gen.) has vv. II (Gallin.) -I4 (Pomp.) in the margin, perhaps exactly reproducing the archetype and pointing to a transposition of the two quotations). In 518.17 sqq. the quotation from Pomp. Praec. post. appears to come from the 'Alph. Adverb.' list.

[^51]:    - The number of Novius' plays is large and the quotations from them few, so that there is seldom unimpeachable evidence that this or that play belonged to the volume used by Nonius.
    ${ }^{1}$ There is however a 'Phoenissae' of Novius, so why may there not have been a 'Eurysaces'?

